EEO Connection

Statewide EEO Coordinators Message

INSIDE THIS ISSUE:

2
3
4-5
6-7
8
9
10
11
12

What's your Mission?

If you will notice, the second page of our last few newsletters has shown the "OSEEOC's Mission Statement." I really like it in that space in the newsletter. It's a constant reminder of our purpose so that we won't forget our direction. It is the reason why we do the work that we do. When I read this mission statement, it sparks that passion that I have for the work that we do to make a difference. Those three simple bullet points are the driving force behind the core values of this office and the State of Maryland's EEO program.

As EEO professionals, if you have not created a "Mission Statement" for your agency's EEO program, go ahead and use ours. We don't mind sharing. We only ask that you display it where others can constantly be reminded. So, when you read our newsletters, don't just flip pass our Mission, read it.

In this edition of the EEO Connection, after reading the "OSEEOC's Mission Statement," learn why the OSEEOC Team will be visiting you and about the importance of self-auditing on page three. In the Noteworthy Ruling section, read why a Baltimore Company had to pay more than \$200,000 to settle one of EEOC's first sexual orientation discrimination cases. Also, learn why the Supreme Court has put to rest the notion that affirmative action is unconstitutional on page five.

Have you checked your EEO postings lately? Maybe you should, because EEOC has more than doubled the monetary fines for employers that fail to properly post federal laws– learn more on page six. In our ADA Corner, read why Lowe's paid \$8.6 million to settle an EEOC discrimination suit. Take a look at the upcoming meetings and trainings on page 10 so that you know what is happening in our community. Diversity is part of all of us– take our diversity quiz on page 11.

As always...

Enjoy,

Glynis Watford Statewide EEO Coordinator

Office of The Statewide EEO Coordinator Mission

- Administer and enforce state and federal equal employment opportunity laws and policies.
- Promote a work environment free of any unlawful discrimination, harassment, and retaliation.
- Assist in building a well-diversified workforce for Maryland state Government employees and applicants.

Fairness Thingalt Mission Statement Gou

The Importance of Auditing Your Agency's EEO Program



When most people hear the word "audit", they get nervous, defensive or anxious in anticipation of finding out what they have done wrong. The truth is, there is no need for you to have any of these feelings. Here's why: according to dictionary.com, an audit is defined as the inspection or examination of a process to evaluate or improve its appropriateness, efficiency, or the like. Therefore, an audit is not a bad thing, but instead a tool that can be utilized to improve and make things better.

Over the last several weeks, the OSEEOC team has worked diligently to audit various State agencies EEO programs. The primary purpose of the audit is to evaluate and assess agencies EEO programs to ensure full compliance with the State of Maryland laws, policies and procedures, including the Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pension Article, Code of Maryland Regulations and the Executive Order, Code of Fair Practices. As importantly, this is an opportunity for Fair Practices Officers and EEO Officers to improve their EEO Programs in an effort to avoid any violations, complaints or charges. We strongly recommend quarterly or semi-annual self audits of your EEO program to be sure that you are compliant. The key is to be proactive at all times.

We thank you all for your support and professionalism throughout this audit season. We look forward to helping you make your EEO program more efficient and effective.

- OSEEOC Team

NOTEWORTHY RULINGS

IFCO Systems Will Pay \$202,200 In Landmark Settlement Of One Of EEOC's First Sexual Orientation Discrimination Lawsuits

BALTIMORE - The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) announced that Pallet Companies, doing business as IFCO Systems, will pay \$202,200 and provide significant equitable relief to settle one of EEOC's first lawsuits alleging sex discrimination based on sexual orientation.

EEOC charged that a lesbian employee at IFCO's Baltimore facility was repeatedly harassed by her supervisor because of her sexual orientation. Her supervisor made numerous comments to her regarding her sexual orientation and appearance, such as "I want to turn you back into a woman" and "You would look good in a dress," according to the suit. EEOC charged that the supervisor also made sexually suggestive gestures to her. IFCO retaliated against the female employee by firing her just days after she complained to management and called the employee hotline to report the harassment, according to the suit.

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination because of sex and retaliation. As the federal law enforcement agency charged with interpreting and enforcing Title VII, EEOC has concluded that harassment and other discrimination because of sexual orientation is prohibited sex discrimination. EEOC filed suit in U.S. Court for the District of Maryland, Baltimore Division (*EEOC v. Pallet Companies, d/b/a IFCO*, Civil Action No. Case 1:16-cv-00595-CCB). On the same day, EEOC also filed an unrelated suit against Scott Medical Health Center in U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania (Case 2:16-cv-00225-CB), alleging discrimination based on sexual orientation. EEOC filed both lawsuits after first attempting to reach a voluntary pre-litigation settlement through its conciliation process.

"This consent decree marks EEOC's first resolution of a suit challenging discrimination based on sexual orientation under Title VII," said EEOC General Counsel David Lopez, "EEOC is committed to ensuring that individuals are not subjected to discriminatory treatment in workplaces based on their sexual orientation and looks forward to the day that this fundamental right is widely recognized."

The two-year consent decree requires IFCO to pay \$182,200 in monetary relief to the female employee and donate \$20,000 to the Human Rights Campaign Foundation to support the Human Rights Campaign's Workplace Equality Program. The decree enjoins IFCO from engaging in sex discrimination or retaliation in the future. The company will retain an expert on sexual orientation, gender identity, and transgender training to assist in developing a training program for IFCO's top managers, supervisors and employees on LGBT workplace issues. IFCO will also distribute its equal employment opportunity policies and toll-free employee hotline number and Web address to all employees in its north region. The company will provide the female employee with a letter of reference. Finally, IFCO will also post a notice about the settlement and report to EEOC on its compliance with the decree, including how it handled any complaints of sexual orientation discrimination. **Read more at**

https://www1.eeoc.gov//eeoc/newsroom/release/6-28-16.cfm?renderforprint=1.



NOTEWORTHY RULINGS

Affirmative Action Isn't Dead

Concerns that the Supreme Court would find the use of affirmative action in higher education to be unconstitutional were put to rest June 23, as the court upheld the University of Texas' admissions factors, which included consideration of race in limited circumstances.

"For people in favor of doing things to achieve diversity, it was a very useful decision that what you have been doing, you can keep doing," said Jeffrey Robinson, an attorney with Lewis Baach in Washington, D.C.

Many employers care a lot about diversity and inclusion and were concerned when the Supreme Court agreed to review a challenge of the University of Texas' admissions program, said David Goldstein, an attorney with Littler in Minneapolis.

If affirmative action in higher education was struck down, as some were afraid it would be in the University of Texas case (*Fisher v. University of Texas*, No. 14-981), some feared it would be the first domino to fall, and that diversity programs among private employers and affirmative action among federal contractors might be next, according to Scott Schneider, an attorney with Fisher Phillips in New Orleans and Houston.

That said, affirmative action regulations for federal contractors are an area of the law that "is pretty well settled," said Donald Lawless, an attorney with Barnes & Thornburg in Grand Rapids, Mich.

Employers with diversity programs understand they can't take race or sex into account in recruiting, Goldstein said. They instead are engaged in outreach toward minorities and women, he noted.

However, more diverse college campuses can lead to a more diverse pool of job candidates, and employers will be glad that universities are allowed to continue to use affirmative action in their efforts to promote diversity, according to Goldstein. **Read more at**

https://www.shrm.org/legalissues/federalresources/pages/texas-affirmative-

action.aspx?utm_source=Friday%20-

%20HR%20Daily%20PublishThis%20Template%20(20)&utm_medium=email&utm_content=June%2024, %202016&MID=01248408&spMailingID=25826596&spUserID=MTQyNTcwMDQ2OTkwS0&spJobID=82 3770542&spReportId=ODIzNzcwNTQyS0

IRMA THEN

EEO SPOTLIGHT

EEOC More Than Doubles Fines for Posting Violations

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) is more than doubling the monetary fines for employers that fail to properly post federal laws that protect workers from discrimination.

The EEOC announced the penalty hike on June 2 in the Federal Register, and the new fines will take effect in 30 days.

The maximum penalty for violating the posting requirements will now be \$525, more than double the previous maximum of \$210.

Employers covered by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Americans with Disabilities Act or the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act must post notices describing the key provisions of these acts in "prominent and accessible" spots in the workplace, according to the EEOC. The requirement applies to private employers, state and local governments, and educational institutions employing 15 or more individuals, as well as to federal contractors and subcontractors.

The EEOC first adjusted the penalty for violations in 1997, when it raised the maximum penalty per violation from \$100 to \$110. The EEOC's second adjustment was announced in 2014, raising the maximum penalty per violation from \$110 to \$210. The adjustments are part of a legally-required periodic review on possible adjustments for inflation. **Read more at** https://www.shrm.org/hrdisciplines/employeerelations/articles/pages/eeoc-penalties.aspx?utm_source=Friday%20-

<u>%20HR%20Daily%20PublishThis%20Template%20(17)&utm_medium=email&utm_content=</u> June%2003,%202016&MID=01248408&spMailingID=25651784&spUserID=MTQyNTcwMDQ2 OTkwS0&spJobID=820503092&spReportId=ODIwNTAzMDkyS0



EEO SPOTLIGHT

EEOC Seeks Public Input on Proposed Enforcement Guidance on National Origin Discrimination

WASHINGTON -- The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) announced that it has voted to release for public input a proposed enforcement guidance addressing national origin discrimination under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. EEOC's enforcement guidance documents express official agency policy and explain how the laws and regulations apply to specific workplace situations.

Title VII protects job applicants and employees from discrimination based on their race, color, religion, sex or national origin, as well as retaliation because a person complained about discrimination or participated in an employment discrimination investigation or lawsuit. Title VII prohibits employer actions that treat people unfavorably because of their national origin, including because they are from a particular country or part of the world, because of ethnicity, or because they appear to be of a certain ethnic background.

In 2002, the EEOC last comprehensively addressed national origin discrimination. Since that time, there have been significant legal developments addressing national origin discrimination. The revised guidance addresses important issues, including job segregation, human trafficking, and intersectional discrimination. **Read more at** <u>https://www.eeoc.gov/eeoc/newsroom/release/6-</u>2-16a.cfm



ADA CORNER

Employer-Provided Leave and the Americans with Disabilities Act

The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) enforces Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). The ADA prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in employment and requires that covered employers (employers with 15 or more employees) provide reasonable accommodations to applicants and employees with disabilities that require such accommodations due to their disabilities.

A reasonable accommodation is, generally, "any change in the work environment or in the way things are customarily done that enables an individual with a disability to enjoy equal employment opportunities."[2] That can include making modifications to existing leave policies and providing leave when needed for a disability, even where an employer does not offer leave to other employees.[3] As with any other accommodation, the goal of providing leave as an accommodation is to afford employees with disabilities equal employment opportunities.

The EEOC continues to receive charges indicating that some employers may be unaware of Commission positions about leave and the ADA. For example, some employers may not know that they may have to modify policies that limit the amount of leave employees can take when an employee needs additional leave as a reasonable accommodation. Employer policies that require employees on extended leave to be 100 percent healed or able to work without restrictions may deny some employees reasonable accommodations that would enable them to return to work. Employers also sometimes fail to consider reassignment as an option for employees with disabilities who cannot return to their jobs following leave.

This document seeks to provide general information to employers and employees regarding when and how leave must be granted for reasons related to an employee's disability in order to promote voluntary compliance with the ADA. It is consistent with the EEOC's regulations enforcing Title I of the ADA, as well as the EEOC's 2002 Revised Enforcement Guidance: Reasonable Accommodation and Undue Hardship Under the Americans with Disabilities Act (a link to the Guidance appears at the end of this document). **Read more at** https://www.eeoc.gov/eeoc/publications/ada-leave.cfm.



ADA CORNER

Lowe's to Pay \$8.6 Million to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit

LOS ANGELES - The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) announced the approval of the resolution of a nationwide disability discrimination case against home improvement, appliance and hardware giant Lowe's. U.S. District Court Judge André Birotte Jr. approved the consent decree which calls for the distribution of \$8.6 million.

According to EEOC's suit, Lowe's violated the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and engaged in a pattern and practice of discrimination against people with disabilities by firing them and by failing to provide reasonable accommodations to them when their medical leaves of absence exceeded Lowe's 180-day (and, subsequently, 240-day) maximum leave policy. EEOC also charged that Lowe's violated the ADA by terminating individuals who were "regarded as" disabled, had a record of disability, and/or were associated with someone with a disability.

In addition to monetary relief, the four-year consent decree settling the suit requires that Lowe's retain a consultant with ADA experience to review and revise company policies as appropriate; implement effective training for both supervisors and staff on the ADA; develop a centralized tracking system for employee requests for accommodation; maintain an accommodation log; and post documentation related to this settlement. Lowe's is also required to submit regular reports to EEOC verifying compliance with the decree.

Any person terminated by Lowe's between Jan. 1, 2004, and May 13, 2010, after having taken the maximum amount of leave then available under Lowe's leave-of-absence policies, can go to <u>www.loweseeocsettlement.com</u> or email <u>lowes.settlement@eeoc.gov</u> or call 1-855-725-4456 for more information on how to complete a claim form.

"This settlement sends a clear message to employers that policies that limit the amount of leave may violate the ADA when they call for the automatic firing of employees with a disability after they reach a rigid, inflexible leave limit," said EEOC General Counsel David Lopez. "We hope that our efforts here will encourage employers to voluntarily comply with the ADA." **Read more at** <u>https://www1.eeoc.gov//eeoc/</u> <u>newsroom/release/5-13-16.cfm?renderforprint=1</u>



Let's Build Something Together"

Meetings & Trainings

Faith In The Workplace Symposium University of Baltimore William H. Thumel Sr. Business Center 11 W. Mt. Royal Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21201 July 21, 2016—Invitation only event 8:30 a.m.— 4:00 p.m.

National Federation of the Blind

Intersect –26 Years of the Americans with Disabilities Act 200 East Wells Street Baltimore, Maryland 21230 July 26, 2016 10:00 a.m.—3:00 p.m. Register at http://mdod.maryland.gov/news/Pages/2016-ADA-Anniv.aspx

23RD Annual Mid-Atlantic ADA Update Conference

Conference: September 14, 2016 through September 15, 2016 Hilton Baltimore 401 West Pratt Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201 Register at http://www.adainfo.org/Content/ADA-Update

College & University Professional Association (CUPA) HR Annual Conference and Expo 2016 1919 Connecticut Avenue Northwest, Washington D.C. September 25-27, 2016 Register at http://conferences.cupahr.org/annual2016/

OSEEOC Presents a Movie and Discussion

Owings Mills Public Library 10301 Grand Central Avenue Owings Mills, Maryland 21117 September 2016 1:00 p.m.—4:00 p.m. Additional Details Coming Soon!

SHRM Diversity and Inclusion Conference & Exposition October 25—27, 2016 JW Marriott Austin, Texas Register at http://conferences.shrm.org/diversity-conference

Diversity Calendar

July 2016

July 4—Independence Day or Fourth of July July 26— 26th Anniversary of the Signing of Americans with Disabilities Act

August 2016

August 15—Bon Festival (Feast of Lanterns) August 15—Feast of the Assumption August 21— Hawaii Admitted to Union August 26—Women's Equality Day

September 2016

September 7—Labor Day September 8—International Literacy Day September 15— October 15—Hispanic Heritage Month September 16—Mexican Independence Day September 13-15—Rosh Hashanah (Jewish New Year) September 17—Citizenship Day (or Constitution Day) September 21—International Day of Peace September 22—23—Yom Kippur (Day of Atonement) September 25—School Desegregation Cones to Little Rock

	Diversity Quiz			
1.	Hawaii has the largest percentage of Asian Americans of any U.S. state.	True	_False	
2.	Bon Festival (Feast of Lanterns) is a Japanese Buddhist custom to honor the departed spirits of one's ancestors.			
		True	_False	
3. relig	A red dot worn on the foreheads of married women from India is called a "bindi;", it is ion	a symbol of the ur	niverse in the Hindu	
rong		True	_False	
4.	Muslims stop everything 5 times a day to pray.	True	_False	
5.	France is home to the largest Islamic community in Europe	True	_False	
6. and	Louis Braille was blind from the age of three and became famous in Paris as an organ dashes to enable the blind to read.		l the idea of embossed dots _ False	

Answers: A1.True, A2. True, A3. True, A4. True, A5. True, A6. True

Resources for EEO Professionals

Division of Rehabilitation Services (DORS) http://dors.maryland.gov/Pages/default.aspx

Department of Labor http://www.dol.gov/

Employee Assistance Program (EAP) http://www.dbm.maryland.gov/employees/Pages/EAP.aspx

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) http://www.eeoc.gov/

Job Accommodation Network (JAN) https://askjan.org/

Maryland Commission on Civil Rights (MCCR) http://mccr.maryland.gov/

Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM) http://www.shrm.org/pages/default.aspx

Office of the Statewide EEO Coordinator Contact Information

Glynis Watford

Statewide EEO Coordinator Glynis.watford@maryland.gov 410-767-4061

Nicole Webb

Senior EEO Compliance Officer Nicole.webb@maryland.gov 410-767-4761

Debra Mack

EEO Compliance Officer 410-767-1013

Norma Belton

Compliance Coordinator Norma.belton@maryland.gov 410-767-4735