

**MANAGING FOR RESULTS  
ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**Prepared for  
THE SENATE BUDGET AND TAXATION COMMITTEE  
And  
THE HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE**

**In Accordance With  
State Finance and Procurement Article  
Section 3-1002**

**DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT  
DAVID R. BRINKLEY, SECRETARY**

**JANUARY 2016**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	i
1. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND JOBS .....	1
Performance Detail – Economic Development and Jobs .....	4
2. REDUCE TAXES AND FEES .....	5
3. FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY .....	6
Performance Detail – Fiscal Responsibility .....	8
4. GOVERNMENT REFORM .....	9
5. IMPROVING QUALITY OF LIFE.....	10
Significant Performance Trends - Education.....	10
Significant Performance Trends – Public Safety .....	12
Significant Performance Trends – Health and Human Services.....	14
Significant Performance Trends - Environment.....	18
Performance Detail – Improving Quality of Life.....	22

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The State Finance & Procurement Article, §3-1002 (E) requires the Department of Budget and Management (DBM) to provide an annual report to the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee and the House Appropriations Committee discussing the State's progress toward achieving the goals outlined in the Managing for Results (MFR) State Comprehensive Plan (the State Plan). The Hogan Administration's Plan is currently being finalized, and this report is organized based on that draft plan. Note that since the new Administration has new priorities and associated metrics, and DBM has engaged in an effort to remove a number of metrics which were no longer as relevant, this report is significantly different from the 2015 report.


Data concerning each of the performance measures included in the State Plan are presented within the following Hogan Administration priority areas:

- Economic Development and Jobs (11 metrics)
- Reduce Taxes and Fees
- Fiscal Responsibility (6 metrics)
- Government Reform
- Improving Quality of Life (57 metrics)

As shown in the following table, performance for each measure has been categorized as favorable, stable, or unfavorable based on the most recent five years of data.<sup>1</sup> Five years of comparable data are not available for all measures. The percent change for measures with less than five years of data is calculated using available data (all percentages are rounded to establish categories).

Strongly Favorable Performance (Change >10%)
Favorable Performance (3% to 10%)
Stable Performance (-2% to 2%)
Unfavorable Performance (-3% to -10%)
Strongly Unfavorable Performance (< -10%)

The following chart summarizes overall performance for measures in the State Plan. The majority of measures are moving in a favorable direction, 62.2%. Performance is stable for 21.6% of measures. When combined, 82.4% of measures are either moving in a favorable direction or are stable, compared to 76.5% last year.



Both a summary table and a detailed presentation of performance trends are included in the following pages for each priority area.

<sup>1</sup>For determining trends when the beginning value is zero, the difference between zero and the ending value is calculated rather than a percent change.

## 1. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND JOBS

### Performance Overview

Performance Status (percentages are rounded)	Number of Indicators	Percent
Favorable (Change >10%)	4	36.4%
Favorable (3% to 10%)	2	18.2%
Stable (-2% to 2%)	3	27.3%
Unfavorable (-3% to -10%)	1	9.1%
Unfavorable (< -10%)	1	9.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>100%</b>

In the area of Economic Development and Jobs, 82% of Maryland indicators either performed favorably or held stable between the 2012 and 2016 report years. The next section highlights and explains the factors behind significant performing trends, but particularly notable favorable trends were seen in the following areas:

- the State Economic Momentum Index, which ranks states based on their performance in personal income, employment, and population growth, improved from -0.32 to nearly neutral at -0.09,
- the Maryland Port Administration total general cargo tonnage grew 11.5%, from 8.7 million to 9.7 million,
- State sales tax revenue attributable to tourism grew 18.5%, from \$356 million to \$425.9 million,
- annual growth in per capita income increased from 1.94% to 2.07%, and
- the number of jobs created or retained through Department of Commerce facility attraction and business technical assistance activities jumped by 16.5%.

However, one indicator exhibited strong negative performance over the past five years. Maryland's unemployment rate has historically been below that of the nation as a whole, but the gap between the two has shrunk by 24.1% since fiscal 2011. As of December 2016, Maryland's unemployment rate was 5.1% compared to the national average of 5.0%. The following section discusses this and other significant trends in performance.

### Significant Performance Trends


#### **Indicator 1.1: Maryland's growth in total real gross domestic product (GDP) (in millions of chained [2009] dollars)**

Total real GDP by state is an inflation-adjusted measure of each state's production, wherever sold, that is based on national prices for the goods and services produced within that state. The all industry total includes all private industries and government. Over the period of 2010 to

2014, Maryland's total real gross domestic product grew by 2.8%, compared to 7.0% growth nationwide.

**Exhibit 1.1** displays the Maryland and nationwide trends over the past decade. It shows that Maryland's economy generally performed more strongly than the U.S. as a whole from 2003 through 2011 (except 2006), but that trend reversed in 2012 and the gap between growth nationwide and in Maryland has grown to 2.6% in 2014. With about 15% of jobs in Maryland tied either directly or indirectly to the federal government, a large part of slow GDP growth in Maryland is related to the pullback in federal spending in recent years.<sup>2</sup>

*Exhibit 1.1 Annual Gross Domestic Product Growth, Maryland and the U.S. 2003-2014*



#### **Indicator 1.3: Maryland Port Administration (MPA) total general cargo tonnage, (millions)**

General cargo includes foreign and domestic waterborne cargo - it does not include bulk commodities, container weight, empty containers, or domestic non-waterborne cargo.<sup>3</sup> The annual total tonnage moving across MPA's terminals is a gross outcome measure of the attractiveness of MPA's infrastructure and facilities. Although there is a correlation between facilities and cargo volumes, there are

<sup>2</sup>Fry, D. "Unlocking Export Potential in Baltimore Area a Key to Economy of the Future." [www.CenterMaryland.com](http://www.CenterMaryland.com).

<sup>3</sup>Maryland Department of Transportation 2010 - 2012 Annual Attainment Reports on Transportation System Performance, and Maryland Port Administration fiscal year 2012 MFR Performance Measure Profile

many factors outside MPA's influence that impact the movement of freight, i.e. national and world economic trends, labor costs (here and at competing ports), value of the U.S. dollar, rail and highway service and rates, prolonged weather phenomena, and changes in vessel sizes.<sup>4</sup>

After experiencing a sharp decline during the global recession,<sup>5</sup> general cargo tonnage has experienced strong 11.5% growth over the past five years. In fact, the 9.7 million tons that moved through the Port in fiscal 2015 set a new record for the Port.<sup>6</sup> Nationally, the Port has been named the top U.S. port for container berth productivity, the top port for handling autos and light trucks, farm and construction machinery, imported forest products, imported sugar, and imported aluminum. The Port is an economic engine in Maryland, generating about 14,630 direct jobs, and about 108,000 jobs that are linked to Port activities.<sup>7</sup>

#### **Indicator 1.5: Total State sales tax revenue attributable to tourism (millions)**

This performance measurement reflects revenue collected by the Comptroller in specific sales tax categories that are tourism-related. All eight performance measurements under this metric saw increases in fiscal 2015.<sup>8</sup> With the exception of fiscal 2010 when there was a small decline, this performance metric has seen annual growth since it was first tracked in fiscal 2005.

#### **Indicator 1.6. Percent of MD State Highway Administration (SHA) Network in overall preferred maintenance condition**

The overall condition of the State Highway Administration Network reflects how well asset management strategies, improved operations, and technology have sustained the quality and safety of existing roadways.<sup>9</sup> A Composite Level of Service is assessed using the Maryland Condition Assessment Reporting System (MCARS). Twenty-one maintenance

<sup>4</sup>Maryland Department of Transportation, Maryland Port Administration, FY 2015 MFR budget book submission; Maryland Department of Transportation 2012 Annual Attainment Report on Transportation System Performance

<sup>5</sup>Maryland Department of Transportation 2010 - 2012 Annual Attainment Reports on Transportation System Performance

<sup>6</sup>Governor Hogan Praises New Cargo Records Set at the Port of Baltimore, Press Release August 31, 2015

<sup>7</sup>Governor Hogan Praises New Cargo Records Set at the Port of Baltimore, Press Release August 31, 2015

<sup>8</sup>Fiscal year 2017 MFR Performance Discussion, Department of Budget and Management

<sup>9</sup>2012 Annual Attainment Report on Transportation System Performance, Maryland Department of Transportation


elements in four categories are assessed. The categories are shoulder, drainage, traffic control/safety, and roadside. Actual maintenance conditions are compared against desired conditions.<sup>10</sup> Maryland's performance has fluctuated between 82% and 86% over the past five years due in part to the availability of funding for maintenance.

Following through on his campaign pledge to provide funding for highways and state owned local roads, on June 25, 2015 Governor Larry Hogan announced \$1.97 billion for highways and bridges from Western Maryland to the Eastern Shore. The priority projects, which will get underway by 2018, include \$1.35 billion in new projects going to construction and \$625 million in preserved projects. The \$1.35 billion in new projects includes \$845 million for major projects and \$500 million to fix bridges and improve roads.<sup>11</sup>

#### **Indicator 1.7: Ratio between Maryland's unemployment rate and the U.S. rate**

While the ratio between Maryland's unemployment rate and the U.S. rate has grown in recent years, from 0.79 in 2011 to 0.98 in 2015, Maryland's rate continues to compare favorably to the U.S. unemployment rate. Between 2011 and 2015, the difference between the two ranged between 2% to 21%. **Exhibit 1.2** compares the Maryland and U.S. employment rate over the past decade.

*Exhibit 1.2 MD and U.S. Unemployment Rate, 2004-2015*



<sup>10</sup>Managing for Results Performance Measure Profile Fiscal Year 2012, State Highway Administration, Maryland Department of Transportation

<sup>11</sup>"Governor Larry Hogan Announces \$1.97 Billion in Transportation Funding", Press Release June 25, 2015.


### **Indicator 1.9: Annual percent change in Maryland per capita personal income (estimated)**

Annual estimates of per capita personal income are an indicator of economic well-being of the residents of a state. Maryland's per capita personal income has significantly exceeded (by \$4,000 to \$10,000) the national per capita personal income for the past fifteen years. Maryland has a large Federal employment base, as well as an economic concentration in industries such as information and professional services that frequently require college and advanced degrees,<sup>12</sup> and therefore pay higher salaries. Maryland's per capita income has increased annually for the past five years, ranging from 1.9% to 4.3% in growth each year.

### **Indicator 1.10: Homeownership**

Homeownership rates are another key economic measure, with higher rates indicating market stability. **Exhibit 1.3** displays that, similar to other indicators, Maryland's homeownership rates have historically exceeded the U.S. rate. While Maryland has performed poorly over the past five years, with homeownership declining from 68.9% in 2010 to 66.2% in 2014, the Exhibit clearly shows that this decline is a national trend that started in 2004.

*Exhibit 1.3 MD and U.S. Homeownership Rate, 2003-2014*



<sup>12</sup>Moody's Investors Service, Moody's assigns Aaa rating to Maryland's \$728 million General Obligation State and Local Facilities Loan of 2012, Second Series, July 18, 2012

## Performance Detail – Economic Development and Jobs

### Key Performance Area 1 – Data by Report Year

Indicator	Agency/ Data Source	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	4 Year Change	Specific Target
<b>1.1.</b> Maryland's growth in total real gross domestic product (in millions of chained [2010] dollars) (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	U.S. Commerce BEA	310,905*	315,215*	316,414*	315,797*	319,464	2.8%	N/A
<b>1.2.</b> State Economic Momentum Index (2011 - 2015)	FFIS	-0.32	0.29	-0.19	-0.55	-0.09	71.9%	N/A
<b>1.3.</b> Maryland Port Administration total general cargo tonnage, (millions) (2011 - 2015)	MDOT	8.7	9.3	9.6	9.6	9.7	11.5%	N/A
<b>1.4.</b> Annual BWI Marshall passenger growth rate - Number of passengers (2010 - 2014)	MDOT	21.9	22.4	22.7	22.5	22.3	1.7%	N/A
<b>1.5.</b> Total State sales tax revenue attributable to tourism (millions) (2011 - 2015)	Commerce Comptroller	\$359.5	\$377.5	\$392.0*	\$401.4	\$425.9	18.5%	N/A
<b>1.6.</b> Percent of MD State Highway Administration network in overall preferred maintenance condition (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	MDOT	85.8%	82.2%	85.1%	83.4%	84.0%	-2.1%	Maintain at or above 84%
<b>1.7.</b> Ratio between Maryland's unemployment rate and the U.S. rate (2011 - 2015)	U.S. DOL/BLS	0.7909*	0.8387*	0.8754*	0.9227*	0.9815	24.1%	N/A
<b>1.8.</b> Rate that adult employment trainees enter employment (2011 - 2015)	DLLR	76.8%	81.5%	79.5%	80.3%	78.6%	2.3%	Meet or exceed federal standard
<b>1.9.</b> Annual percent change in Maryland per capita personal income (CY 2010 - CY 2014)*	U.S. Commerce BEA	1.94%*	4.26%*	2.47%*	1.44%*	2.07%	6.8%	N/A
<b>1.10.</b> Homeownership (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	U.S. Census	68.9%	69.7%	68.5%	66.9%	66.2%	-3.9%	N/A
<b>1.11.</b> Number of jobs created/retained through Department of Commerce facility attraction and business technical assistance activities (2011 - 2015)	Commerce	10,097	10,576	10,834	10,627	11,764	16.5%	N/A

\*Numbers have been updated since last year's report.

## 2. REDUCE TAXES AND FEES

### **Performance Discussion**

While tax and fee reduction does not easily lend itself to performance metrics, this section of the Performance Report highlights the steps the Hogan Administration has taken to reduce taxes and fees.

#### **Tax Reduction**

Taxes in Maryland are set in statute, and therefore the Administration requires cooperation from the General Assembly to pass tax relief legislation. During the 2015 Legislative Session, the Governor introduced a number of tax relief bills, including legislation:

- exempting all military retirement income from the income tax with a four year phase-in,
- exempting any retired law enforcement, fire, rescue or emergency personnel from tax on retirement income specific to their service as a first responder,
- repealing the “rain tax,”
- eliminating the personal property income tax for businesses that have less than \$10,000 in personal property, and
- repealing the automatic gas tax increases passed in 2013.<sup>13</sup>

While the General Assembly did not pass most of the legislation, the Governor did sign into law bills increasing the military retirement income exemption and repealing the “rain tax” in May 2015.

In the 2016 Legislative Session, the Hogan Administration has introduced over \$480 million in tax relief measures, including reductions for retirees, small businesses, working families, and manufacturers.

#### **Fee Reduction**

In May 2015, the Hogan Administration rolled back tolls statewide, saving Maryland citizens \$270 million over the next five years. On September 15, 2015, the Hogan Administration announced that eight agencies would reduce or completely eliminate hundreds of individual fees currently levied by Maryland’s government, saving Marylanders an estimated \$51 million over the next five years.<sup>14</sup>

Major fees reduced include:

- Reduction to \$1 for homeless identification cards
- Elimination of \$1.50 monthly EZ-Pass fees
- \$4 reduction in vehicle emissions test fees for self-service kiosk customers
- 10% reduction in numerous business license fees associated with the sale and registration of new and used motor vehicles
- Reduction or elimination of outdoor advertising fees
- \$10 reduction in MVA’s vehicle title correction fee
- A range of business license fees in the Prevention & Health Promotion Administration
- Numerous food manufacturing and processing license fees
- Reduction in the three-year controlled dangerous substance (CDS) registration fee
- \$2,000 reduction in ambulatory surgery center fees
- Multiple reductions in real estate broker, salesperson and home appraisal license fees
- Numerous fees associated with financial regulations
- \$65 reduction in annual license fee for veterinarians
- 20% across-the-board reduction in lab fees for animal health diagnostics (115 individual fees)
- Elimination or reduction of business fees associated with asbestos contractor licenses
- Elimination of license fees for underground storage tank technicians, removers and inspectors
- Elimination of state park boat launch fee for seniors with Golden Age Pass
- Elimination of \$25 child support income tax intercept fee

---

<sup>13</sup>“Governor Hogan Unveils Tax Relief Measures,” Governor Hogan Press Release February 4, 2015.

<sup>14</sup>“Governor Hogan Eliminates or Cuts Fees Statewide, Saving Marylanders \$51 Million Over Five Years,” Governor Hogan Press Release September 15, 2015.

### 3. FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY

#### Performance Overview

Performance Status (percentages are rounded)	Number of Indicators	Percent
Favorable (Change >10%)	1	16.7%
Favorable (3% to 10%)	1	16.7%
Stable (-2% to 2%)	2	33.3%
Unfavorable (-3% to -10%)	1	16.7%
Unfavorable (< -10%)	1	16.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100%</b>

In the area of Fiscal Responsibility, four out of six indicators either performed favorably or held stable in between the 2011 and 2015 report years. The next section highlights and explains the factors behind significant performing trends.

#### Significant Performance Trends

##### **Indicator 3.1: Bond ratings from three nationally recognized bond rating agencies for each issuance of State General Obligation Bonds**

Maryland uses the proceeds from the issuance of General Obligation Bonds to finance capital projects such as schools, community colleges, university projects, and hospitals. A triple A rating, the highest possible rating, means that the State has an extremely strong capacity to meet financial commitments. Maryland has consistently maintained triple A bond ratings from all three nationally recognized rating agencies, each of which has acknowledged Maryland's strong financial management, diverse, wealthy economy, strong debt oversight, and moderate debt burden. Retention of the triple A rating allows the State to save millions of taxpayer dollars resulting from the low interest rates achieved because of these ratings.

##### **Indicator 3.2: Capital debt service as a percent of State revenue**

Capital debt service as a percent of State revenue measures whether the State can pay the debt service, and considers the ability of the State to manage debt over time to achieve goals. Tax supported debt is tracked by the Capital Debt Affordability Committee. Under criteria imposed by the Capital Debt Affordability Committee, debt service on State tax-supported debt may not require more than 8% of revenues. Each year during the period of 2011 through 2015, the capital debt service as a percent of State revenue was below the affordability benchmark of 8%. Overall there was a 5% increase in the


debt to revenue ratio from 2011 to 2015. Maintaining debt below the threshold has contributed to the continued triple A bond ratings given by the bond rating agencies for Maryland's General Obligation bond issues.

##### **Indicator 3.3: Asset to liability ratio for the MD State Retirement and Pension System (funded ratio)**

The funded ratio measures the ability of the Maryland State Retirement and Pension System to pay all projected retirement benefits as they become due. The funded ratio is the primary measure of funding progress. The System is fully funded if the funded ratio is greater than or equal to 100%. When analyzing the overall funded status, it is important to keep in mind that a funding plan is over a long horizon in which fluctuations in the market are expected.

Pension reform legislation was passed during the 2011 legislative session with the goal of improving the funded ratio of the System. **Exhibit 3.1** displays that, in fiscal 2015, the results of that reform are starting to be realized with an uptick of the funding level to nearly 70% from a low of 64.1% in fiscal 2010. In fiscal 2017, the Governor has provided a \$150 million for the pension system beyond what is actuarially required.

*Exhibit 3.1 Maryland State Retirement and Pension System  
Funded Ratio, FY 2000-14*




##### **Indicator 3.4: Difference between the actual rate of return for the composite portfolio and the actuarial return assumption set by the State Retirement Agency (SRA) Board of Trustees over one year**

The State pension system, including over 300,000 active and retired members, is funded through three sources of

income: (1) State government contributions, (2) contributions from employees in the system, and (3) investment returns. Employee contribution rates are set in statute, but when the Board of Trustees is determining how much the State budget should include in order to move the system towards full funding, they must make certain assumptions regarding how much investment income the system will collect. If that assumption is exceeded, the State can contribute less in future years, but if investment returns fall short the system is short-funded and the State budget has to make up the difference in future years. In June 2013, the Board lowered its annual investment return assumption from 7.75% to 7.55% over four years.

**Exhibit 3.2** shows the degree to which the system either fell below (-%), met (0%), or exceeded (+%) this assumption over the past eleven years. In seven of the years, returns were strong. However, the impact of the recession and slow recovery can clearly be seen. It is this low performance that has led to discussions regarding the possibility of further lowering the return assumption.

*Exhibit 3.2 Pension System Investment Performance Above or Below Return Assumptions, FY 2005-15*




#### Indicator 3.6: Percent of repeat audit findings for State agencies

The Maryland Office of Legislative Audits (OLA) is a unit within the Department of Legislative Services which conducts audits and evaluations of Maryland State government agencies and local school systems. Fiscal compliance audits are conducted of each State agency within the Executive and Judicial Branches every three years to evaluate internal controls and compliance with certain State laws and regulations. For certain agencies, this category also includes financial statement audits and

follow-up reviews of actions taken to implement audit recommendations.

**Exhibit 3.3** displays a clear decline in the number of agency repeat audit findings over the past decade, as well as a decline in the number of reported audit items. Since 2005, the overall percentage of repeat audit findings has decreased from 45% to 23% in 2015. While the percentage of repeat findings has remained virtually unchanged since 2013, the number of findings continues to decline. OLA has attributed the decline to an increased emphasis among agencies on implementing audit recommendations. This emphasis is partially due to the work of the Maryland Department of Budget and Management Audit Compliance Unit. The Unit works with Executive Branch agencies to reduce repeat audit findings by assuring that corrective action plans are adequate and successfully implemented.

*Exhibit 3.3 Percentage of Repeat Audit Findings and Total Number of Audit Findings, FY 2005-15*



## Performance Detail – Fiscal Responsibility

### Key Performance Area 3 – Data by Report Year

Indicator	Agency/ Data Source	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	4 Year Change	Specific Target
<b>3.1.</b> Bond rating from all three nationally recognized bond rating agencies for each issuance of State General Obligation Bonds (maintain AAA rating) (CY 2011 - CY 2015)	Treasurer's Office	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	No change	Maintain AAA
<b>3.2.</b> Capital debt service as a percent of State revenue (FY 2011 – FY 2015)	CDAC	6.57%*	6.64%*	6.60%*	6.86%*	6.90%	5.0%	At or below 8%
<b>3.3.</b> Asset to liability ratio for the MD State Retirement and Pension System (funded ratio) (FY 2011 – FY 2015)	State Retirement and Pension System	64.7%	64.4%	65.5%	68.7%	69.7%	7.7%	100% funded by 2039
<b>3.4.</b> Difference between the actual rate of return for the composite portfolio and the actuarial return assumption set by the SRA Board of Trustees over one year (FY 2011 – FY 2015)	State Retirement and Pension System	12.4%	-7.4%	2.8%	6.7%	-4.9%	-139.7%	0.0% or higher
<b>3.5.</b> Percent of repeat audit findings for State agencies (FY 2011 – FY 2015)	DBM	26%	25%	21%	23%	23%	-11.5%	
<b>3.6.</b> Projected percentage of ongoing revenues covering ongoing spending based on the Governor's 5-year plan included in the budget allowance (FY 2013 – FY 2017)	DBM	97.9%	99.8%	99.2%	99.2%	100.1%	2.2%	N/A

\*Numbers have been updated since last year's report.

## 4. GOVERNMENT REFORM

### **Performance Discussion**

Another major principle of the Hogan Administration is reform: “We must improve our State government’s ability to be more responsive to, and to better serve and represent all of our citizens.” It is difficult to measure reform efforts; therefore this section of the Performance Report highlights the steps the Hogan Administration has taken to reform State government in Maryland to date.

### **New Agency Leadership**

Real change starts at the top. As the Governor said in his State of the State address, “I’m proud of the experienced, diverse and bipartisan Cabinet that we have assembled to take over the reins of state government.” The leadership team he has compiled brings fresh, innovative ideas and valuable real world, private-sector management expertise to their agencies.

### **Improving the State’s Business Climate**

The Governor introduced and supported a number of bills which would improve Maryland’s business climate. In May 2015 he signed several bills into law, including legislation:

- establishing the Advisory Council on the Impact of Regulations on Small Business,
- establishing the State Customer Service and Business Development Efforts Training Program to improve customer service provided by state agencies to businesses and customers in the state,
- requiring the Motor Vehicle Administration to establish a program to assist veterans and members of the military transitioning out of military service to obtain a commercial driver’s license,
- limiting the amount of a bond that a small business has to post to proceed with an appeal or verdict, and
- reorganizing and renaming the Department of Business and Economic Development to the Department of Economic Competitiveness and Commerce and establishing an Office of the Secretary of Commerce in the Office of the Governor.

Regarding the last bill, the Department of Commerce was renamed as of October 1, 2015 and has since (1) placed more of its team members in customer-facing positions, (2) worked to expand its team of business representatives who can assist businesses with everything from expanding and finding a new location to financing assistance and navigating regulations, (3) started hiring more regional

and strategic industry representatives and putting more emphasis on core and growing industries in Maryland including life sciences, cybersecurity, manufacturing, and aerospace and defense, and (4) begun plans to add a liaison to the State’s higher education community, which combines two of the main ingredients for Maryland’s economic success—highly educated workers and cutting-edge research.<sup>15</sup> The end result is a Department that better serves both Maryland’s businesses and its citizens.

### **Regulatory Reform**

In July 2015, the Governor signed Executive Order 01.01.2015.20, establishing the Maryland Regulatory Reform Commission. The Commission is tasked with resolving regulatory issues that impact Maryland’s business environment, while still continuing to protect the health, safety, and welfare of Marylanders. The Commission’s initial report was submitted December 2, 2015, based on input from more than 500 citizens obtained through six public outreach meetings, as well as departmental meetings and commission research. The Administration is currently reviewing the recommendations and developing plans to implement them.

### **Government Efficiency**

The Hogan Administration’s first year included multiple steps to enhance the efficiency of State services. In July 2015 the Governor announced the closure of the Baltimore City Detention Center, ending a long history of corruption, appalling conditions, and waste. In the fall of 2015, the Administration began the consolidation of (a) human resources functions, which were spread inefficiently throughout a multitude of agencies, under the Department of Budget and Management’s Office of Personnel Services and Benefits and (b) information technology functions, which were also widespread, under the Department of Information of Technology. This consolidation will annually save State tax dollars and improve efficiency.

<sup>15</sup>“Governor Larry Hogan Announces Formation of Maryland Department of Commerce”, Press Release, October 1, 2015.

## 5. IMPROVING QUALITY OF LIFE

### Performance Overview

Performance Status (percentages are rounded)	Number of Indicators	Percent
Favorable (Change >10%)	22	39.3%
Favorable (3% to 10%)	16	28.6%
Stable (-2% to 2%)	10	17.9%
Unfavorable (-3% to -10%)	4	7.1%
Unfavorable (< -10%)	4	7.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>100%</b>

The final major Hogan Administration priority, improving quality of life, encompasses many areas of performance across the State. Overall, 86% of related indicators either performed favorably or held stable between the 2012 and 2016 report years. These indicators can be broken down into four different categories: (1) Education, (2) Public Safety, (3) Health and Human Services, and (4) Environment. The next section highlights and explains the factors behind significant performing trends in each category, but particularly notable favorable trends were seen in the following areas:

- Education
  - Prekindergarten enrollment jumped 11.1%, from 27,337 in 2011 to 30,385 in 2015.
  - The percent of high school dropouts fell from 11.93% to 8.35%.
  - The number of higher education graduates in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) fields grew by 22.8%.
- Public Safety
  - The homicide rate in Maryland dropped by 17.6% between 2010 and 2014, from 7.4 per 100,000 to 6.1 per 100,000.
  - The rate of arrests for youth 10 to 17 for violent crimes plummeted by 34.8%.
  - The traffic fatality rate fell by 9.2% between 2010 and 2014.
  - The Part I crime offense rate per 100,000 went from 3,547 to 2,960, a decline of 16.5%.
- Health and Human Services
  - Maryland's uninsured rate was reduced by more than half in the past three years.
  - The percent of children fully immunized grew from 73.3% to 81.8% between 2010 and 2014.
  - The heart disease mortality rate fell from 170.9 to 163.2 per 100,000, a change of 10.3%.
  - The rate of new HIV diagnoses dropped 18.3% between 2010 and 2014.

- The rate of live births to adolescents between 15 and 19 plummeted by 34.6% in the past five years.
- The rate of children placed in out-of-home care declined from 11.6 to 9.9 per 100,000.

- Environment

- The oyster biomass index more than doubled, jumping from 0.9 to 2.1.
- The number of waters impaired by nutrients per the Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality fell from 21 to just 7.
- The three-year average of days that eight-hour ozone standards were exceeded declined by 48.2% from 28.3 to 14.7.
- The number of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead levels dropped by 41.6%.

However, there were four areas with strong unfavorable performance. First, the percent of students entering Kindergarten demonstrating full readiness fell by 42.2% but, as discussed in the next section, this was due to change in the assessment measuring readiness. Second, the two college affordability measures—percent of Maryland median family income required to cover tuition and fees at Maryland public four-year institutions and community colleges—both declined by over 30% as an indication that college became less affordable. Third, the rate of syphilis incidence grew by 29.3% between 2010 and 2014. Finally, the number of heroin overdose-related deaths in Maryland more than doubled, jumping from 238 to 578.

The following section discusses these and other significant trends in performance.

### Significant Performance Trends - Education


#### **Indicator 5.1: Percent of students entering Kindergarten demonstrating Full Readiness on the Kindergarten Readiness Assessment**

A comprehensive new Kindergarten Readiness Assessment (KRA) was administered for the first time in fall 2014 (AY 2015). This assessment is part of Maryland's new *Ready for Kindergarten: Maryland's Early Childhood Comprehensive Assessment System (R4K)* which was developed to align to more rigorous PreK-12 College and Career-Ready Standards. Ready for Kindergarten builds on and advances the Maryland Model for School Readiness

(MMSR), which was the statewide kindergarten assessment tool in use from 2001 through 2014.<sup>16</sup>

While the 2015 assessment showed a large drop (42%) from prior years, this drop was expected due to implementation of a new testing mechanism. Because it is an entirely different assessment, the result cannot be compared to results from prior years and the percent change is not included in the total count for this report. The results from the fall 2014 KRA establish a new baseline for measuring kindergarten readiness going forward. **Exhibit 5.1** shows that, absent this drop due to new tests, Maryland saw 51% growth in performance over the past decade. State strategies to improve school readiness are focused on the quality of teaching personnel, the quality of early care and education programs, and increased awareness and involvement of families in the early education of their children.<sup>17</sup>

*Exhibit 5.1 Percent of students entering Kindergarten demonstrating Full Readiness, AY 2004-2015*



### **Indicator 5.3: Prekindergarten enrollment**

The increase in the number of students enrolled in prekindergarten in Maryland—from 27,337 in 2011 to 30,385 in 2015—reflects a growing national emphasis on the importance of prekindergarten for student achievement. With \$4.3 million in new State funds provided starting in fiscal 2015 to expand access to prekindergarten to low-income families, and \$15 million in additional new federal grants starting in fiscal 2016, Maryland’s upward prekindergarten enrollment trend should continue in future years.

<sup>16</sup>Fiscal year 2017 MFR Performance Discussion, Maryland State Department of Education.

<sup>17</sup>Children Entering School Ready to Learn, 2010-2011 Maryland Model for School Readiness, Maryland State Department of Education.

### **Indicators 5.4 and 6.5: High school completion:**

- Indicator 5.4: High school graduation rate
- Indicator 5.5: Percent of high school dropouts

Graduation rates and dropout rates are two sides of the same equation regarding high school completion. Completion of high school program requirements indicates students’ potential readiness for post-secondary education and/or employment.<sup>18</sup> At the same time, failure to complete high school is closely linked with decreased employment opportunities, low pay and limited paths to advancement.<sup>19</sup> Unemployment rates of high school dropouts are nearly three times higher than that of individuals with bachelor’s degrees.<sup>20</sup> From school year 2011 to 2015, Maryland performed strongly in both areas, with high school graduation rates growing from 82% to 86% and dropout rates declining from nearly 12% to 8.4%. Part of this improved performance is likely due to laws that recently increased the high school drop-out age to 17.

### **Indicator 5.9: Percent of bachelor’s degrees awarded to racial/ethnic minorities at public and private Maryland colleges and universities**

From 2011 through 2015, the percent of bachelor’s degrees awarded to racial/ethnic minorities at Maryland colleges and universities increased by 9.7% (31.8% to 34.9%), nearing the goal of 38% by 2018. From 2012 to 2013, the percentage of degrees awarded to racial/ethnic minority students increased by 5.2%, accounting for more than half of the increase from 2011 to 2015.

The Maryland Higher Education Commission (MHEC) will continue to work with the Segmental Advisory Council and representatives of its member campuses to discuss the merits and outcomes of plans designed to increase the degree attainment rate of minority students.<sup>21</sup> MHEC’s work on near completers, reverse transfer, and course redesign is expected to increase degree attainment, particularly for students from minority backgrounds. In addition, MHEC will continue to work with the Historically Black colleges and universities to revise and refine the summer bridge programs and other initiatives.

<sup>18</sup>Maryland Results for Child Well Being 2009.

<sup>19</sup>Maryland Results for Child Well Being 2009.

<sup>20</sup>Alliance for Excellent Education, Issue Brief, May 2011 – Saving Now and Saving Later: How High School Reform Can Reduce the Nation’s Wasted Remediation Dollars

<sup>21</sup>Fiscal year 2016 MFR Strategies, Maryland Higher Education Commission.


## **Indicators 5.11 & 5.12: Percent of Maryland median family income required to cover tuition and fees**

- Indicator 5.11: At Maryland public four-year institutions
- Indicator 5.12: At Maryland community colleges

The State is committed to ensuring that more Marylanders have access to its postsecondary institutions, and keeping colleges and universities affordable is a major part of this effort. In fiscal 2006, Maryland's resident tuition at public four-year colleges and universities was the 8th highest in the United States, and resident tuition at Maryland community colleges was the nation's 9th highest. Due to the State freezing tuition at public four year colleges and universities from fiscal 2007 through 2010, and capping growth in tuition for in-state undergraduates at the University System of Maryland at 3% or less in subsequent years, Maryland's tuition costs declined to 27th highest and 20th highest respectively by fiscal 2014.<sup>22</sup>

Unfortunately, sluggish growth in median family income has resulted in unfavorable trends in these metrics in recent years. The percent of median family income required to cover tuition and fees at public four-year institutions has grown from 8.7% in 2011 to 11.5% in 2015, and for community colleges from 4.0% to 5.4%. **Exhibit 5.2** clearly shows this initial increase in college affordability, followed by a decline since the recession.

*Exhibit 5.2 Percent of Maryland Median Family Income Required to Cover Tuition and Fees, FY 2005-2015*



<sup>22</sup>Fiscal year 2016 MFR Performance Discussion, Maryland Higher Education Commission

## **Indicator 5.13: Number of graduates in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) from Maryland's public and private higher educational institutions**


Identifying workforce shortages and determining how to best meet them is important to maintaining a strong economy. STEM jobs represent an ever growing portion of the Maryland workforce, as shown by a report from 2013 ranking Baltimore 10<sup>th</sup> for growth in STEM jobs among U.S. metropolitan areas.<sup>23</sup> 13,850 students graduated from Maryland higher education institutions with degrees in a STEM field in fiscal 2015, 22.8% more than in fiscal 2011.

## **Significant Performance Trends – Public Safety**

### **Indicator 5.15: Homicide rate per 100,000**

The rate of homicides in Maryland declined significantly from 2010 through 2014, with an overall drop of nearly 18%. **Exhibit 5.3** shows the large improvement in performance for this measure through time.

*Exhibit 5.3 Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population, 2005-14*




### **Indicator 5.6: Traffic fatality rate per 100 million miles traveled**

Over the past five years, Maryland's traffic fatality rate has declined and remained an average of 23% below the national rate. This corresponds with a trend of generally declining traffic collisions and injuries in the State. To address traffic safety challenges, the Maryland Department of Transportation has worked with multiple agencies and jurisdictions to develop a five-year, statewide coordinated safety plan known as the Maryland Strategic

<sup>23</sup>Pope, E. (2013). Maryland Business News: Sourcefire, NASA, STEM Jobs. *MDBiznews*.

Highway Safety Plan (SHSP), which provides a framework for reducing transportation fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. Recently enacted legislation has also enhanced traffic safety, including utilizing speed cameras in school and work zones, banning text messaging and hand held cell phone use in moving vehicles, providing clearance for bicycles and emergency vehicles, strengthening the graduated licensing process, and combating driving under the influence of alcohol and drugs.<sup>24</sup> **Exhibit 5.4** shows Maryland and national trends in this measure through time.

*Exhibit 5.4 Traffic Fatality Rate per 100 Million Miles Traveled, 2004-14*



#### **Indicator 5.18: Part I crime rate (offenses per 100,000 population)**

Part I crimes include murder, rape, robbery, aggravated assault, breaking or entering, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson.<sup>25</sup> Overall, the Part I crime rate declined by 16.5% from 2010 to 2014.

Maryland is fighting and solving crime through a variety of strategies including increasing inter-agency cooperation, aligning State resources with the priorities of local governments at increased levels, enhancing warrant service to swiftly remove offenders from the streets, expanding efforts to reduce illegal gun possession and use, and improving use of technology such as DNA


<sup>24</sup>Maryland Department of Transportation, 2010 and 2011 Annual Attainment Reports on Transportation System Performance, Maryland Department of Transportation, e-mail correspondence, September 28, 2010, Maryland Department of Transportation fiscal years 2011, 2012, and 2013 MFR Performance Discussions

<sup>25</sup>Department of State Police, fiscal year 2012 MFR Data Definition and Control Procedures

Fingerprinting, License Plate Recognition, Crime Mapping, Crime Analysis, and the Public Safety Dashboard. The Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) continues to be a primary strategy to track and supervise the State's most violent offenders in a community setting.<sup>26</sup> The Initiative has been enhanced to include drug treatment, mental health counseling, family counseling, and job readiness training. Following the tragedy on September 11, 2001, the Maryland Coordination and Analysis Center (MCAC) was formed which coordinates the efforts of federal, state and local agencies to gather, analyze, and share intelligence information with law enforcement, public health, and emergency responder personnel. The Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services has also implemented a network of police officers and community supervision agents who work together to exchange real time information to respond effectively to non-compliant offender behavior.<sup>27</sup>

**Exhibit 5.5** shows trends in this measure through time.

*Exhibit 5.5 Maryland Part 1 Crime Rate, 2004-14*




#### **Indicator 5.21: Rate per 100,000 of arrests of youth ages 10 to 17 for violent criminal offenses**

Involvement in violent offenses increases the risk of injury or death, and continued criminal activity into adulthood. The violent offense arrest rate for youth has declined by 35% since 2010. **Exhibit 5.6** (next page) shows trends in this measure over the past decade.

<sup>26</sup>Fiscal year 2015 MFR Performance Discussion, Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services

<sup>27</sup>Fiscal year 2014 and 2015 MFR Performance Discussion, Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services

*Exhibit 5.6 Youth Age 10 to 17 Arrest Rate (per 100,000),  
2005-14*



Success in assessing the needs of juveniles (physical and mental health services, drug abuse services, improved education, or social services), and treating troubled juveniles for their needs are important factors in preventing juvenile crime. The Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is collaborating with other child serving local and State agencies to improve outcomes for youth. DJS initiatives include: the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative, in which DJS works with courts to identify community alternatives to detention, the Youth Crossover Model, in which DJS and the Department of Human Resources coordinate services for youth in both systems, and the Under 13 Initiative, which provides wraparound services to pre-teens who have had contact with DJS.

### **Significant Performance Trends – Health and Human Services**

#### **Indicator 5.25: Maryland's uninsured rate (estimated), individuals under 65**

Prior to 2012, one-year data was not available from the U.S. Census Bureau, necessitating a two-year estimated number which is not comparable to the now-available one-year number from the Census Bureau. In the past four years since the metric was revised, the uninsured rate for individuals under 65 in Maryland has declined from 14.4% to just 6.5%.


This significant improvement in metric performance reflects a national trend, and can be attributed largely to the Affordable Care Act. Most of the major provisions of the Act went into effect in January 2014, including the individual mandate which requires that most Americans obtain and maintain health insurance, or an exemption, each month or pay a tax penalty.

#### **Indicator 5.26: Percent of Maryland children fully immunized (by 24 months)**

The immunization status of young children is a good predictor of avoidance of death, disability, or developmental delays associated with immunization-preventable diseases.<sup>28</sup> Current Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines call for children to be immunized using the 4:3:1:3:3:1 series. Data presented in this report are based on this series.

**Exhibit 5.7** displays how the immunization rate both in Maryland and the U.S. has trended through time. Note that data for 2009 is not comparable to other years due to a shortage of Haemophilus Influenzae B (Hib) vaccine resulting in CDC modifying the National Immunization Survey for that year. Maryland's immunization rate has exceeded the national rate in all years except 2010, and it has followed the generally improving nationwide rate in the last three years.

*Exhibit 5.7 Children Fully Immunized in Maryland and the U.S., 2008-14*



The Center for Immunization, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, protects the public from vaccine preventable diseases by providing free vaccines to health providers and local health departments through the Vaccines for Children program; conducts disease surveillance activity and monitoring; and provides immunization health education and resources through the Maryland Partnership for Prevention. The Center for Immunization offers ImmuNet (patient record database) to Maryland Immunization Providers. ImmuNet is

<sup>28</sup>Maryland's Results for Child Well-Being 2010

helpful in tracking children in need of vaccination, and assists in vaccine management.<sup>29</sup>

#### Indicators 5.27 and 5.28: High school health:

- Indicator 5.27: Cumulative percent change from the calendar year 2000 baseline for underage high school students who ever smoked a whole cigarette
- Indicator 5.28: Percent of public school students in grades nine through twelve who are current drinkers

The first measure is an estimate of the proportion of underage high school students who have ever smoked a whole cigarette. The percent change from the calendar year 2000 baseline for underage high school students who ever smoked a whole cigarette has been on a steady downward trend, with a decline of 65% from 2007 to 2014.

The Maryland Cigarette Restitution Fund Tobacco Use Prevention and Cessation Program utilizes a comprehensive tobacco-use prevention strategy that includes “school-based programs, community-based programs, youth access enforcement, tobacco-use cessation programs, media messages promoting the availability of cessation assistance and the health benefits of cessation generally, surveillance (tobacco surveys) of under-age tobacco use behaviors, and ongoing evaluation of programmatic efforts.”<sup>30</sup> Other strategies that contribute to reduced tobacco use include restrictions on smoking in public places and increases in excise or sales taxes on tobacco products.<sup>31</sup>

Data for the second measure comes from the Maryland Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) which is part of the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS) developed by the Centers for Disease Control to monitor health-risk behaviors among youth. Beginning in 2005, the survey is administered every two years. Early use of alcohol and heroin is associated with later drug use and the prevalence of high-risk behaviors by youth. Alcohol is the most commonly used drug among Maryland youth.<sup>32</sup> The percentage of high school students drinking alcohol is in decline, down to 31.2% in 2013 (the most recent survey year) from 37% in 2009.

<sup>29</sup>Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Infectious Disease and Environmental Health Services

<sup>30</sup>Strategies and Discussion of Program Performance, fiscal year 2015 MFR submission, Cigarette Restitution Fund–Tobacco Use Prevention and Cessation Program - Family Health Administration;


<sup>31</sup> Strategies and Discussion of Program Performance, fiscal year 2015 MFR submission, Cigarette Restitution Fund–Tobacco Use Prevention and Cessation Program–Prevention and Health Promotion Administration, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

<sup>32</sup>Maryland’s Results for Child Well-Being 2011, Governor’s Office for Children and the Children’s Cabinet

#### Indicator 5.29: Overall cancer mortality rate per 100,000 persons (age adjusted to 2000 U.S. Standard Population)

Cancer is the second leading cause of death in Maryland and the nation, and accounted for 23.4% of all deaths in Maryland in 2014.<sup>33</sup> The overall cancer mortality rate in Maryland declined by 7% from 2010 to 2014, a reduction of 12 deaths per 100,000 persons. Maryland’s cancer mortality rate was above the national rate prior to and including 2009, but in 2010 it slipped below the national rate and in 2012 it was 2.7 deaths per 100,000 persons below the national rate. **Exhibit 5.8** shows trends through time for both Maryland and the nation as a whole.

*Exhibit 5.8 Maryland and U.S. Cancer Mortality Rate (per 100,000 Persons), 2004-14*



\*National data not yet available for 2013 and 2014.

“Improvements in the prevention, early detection, and treatment of many types of cancer have led to a decline in cancer incidence and death rates in Maryland and the nation. Despite these declines, the cancer burden in Maryland remains large when measured by human suffering, loss of life, loss of quality of life, and expenditure for medical care.”<sup>34</sup> The Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan published in 2011 by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene presents a multitude of strategies to reduce cancer incidence and death. Primary strategies to address cancer mortality include continuing

<sup>33</sup>Maryland Vital Statistics Annual Report 2014, Vital Statistics Administration, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene.

<sup>34</sup>The Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan, Executive Summary, 2011:


<http://fha.maryland.gov/cancer/cancerplan/publications.cfm>

strong public health surveillance, education, prevention, screening, diagnosis and treatment efforts, and strong cancer research.<sup>35</sup>

#### **Indicator 5.30: Heart disease mortality rate for all races per 100,000 population (age adjusted)**

Heart disease mortality refers to the death of an individual by acute rheumatic fever, chronic rheumatic heart disease, hypertensive heart disease, hypertensive heart and renal disease, or ischaemic heart disease.<sup>36</sup> Heart disease continued to be the leading cause of death in Maryland in 2014, accounting for 25% of all deaths. The age adjusted heart disease mortality rate was 163.2 per 100,000 population in 2014, 10.3% below the rate a five years ago. **Exhibit 5.9** shows trends through time for heart disease mortality in Maryland.

*Exhibit 5.9 Heart Disease Mortality Chart (per 100,000 population), 2004-2014*



Public health efforts contribute to Maryland's comprehensive approach in addressing heart disease mortality, including surveillance, screening, diagnosis, and treatment efforts.

#### **Indicator 5.31: Rate of diagnoses and the percent change from the prior year level in the number of age adjusted new HIV diagnoses (per 100,000 population)**

The rate of HIV diagnoses declined by 18.3% from 2010 through 2014. Strategies to reduce the rate of new HIV diagnoses include:

- increased collaboration among State agencies and community based organizations to enhance access to and use of needed prevention services by disproportionately affected populations;
- reduced drug and alcohol use associated with HIV risk behaviors among adults and youth by expanding work with substance abuse providers;
- among the current providers, increased skills and support to deliver quality HIV interventions;
- increased supply of free and sterile needles among injection drug users; and
- access to condoms among sexually active youth and adults engaging in HIV risk behaviors.<sup>37</sup>

#### **Indicator 5.32: Rate of primary/secondary syphilis incidence (cases per 100,000 population)**

Syphilis causes significant complications if untreated and facilitates the transmission of HIV. Cases of syphilis tend to be under reported as the disease goes undiagnosed in some individuals and unreported by some providers.<sup>38</sup> Maryland's rate of primary/secondary syphilis cases per 100,000 population has annually exceeded the national rate over the past decade, and Maryland currently ranks the fifth highest state in terms of the syphilis cases rate.<sup>39</sup>

After the rate of syphilis incidence in Maryland dropped by 17.9% in 2009, it rebounded in 2011, increasing by 2 cases per 100,000 population over 2010. It has remained at the same higher level since then. Much of this growth was driven by cases in the Baltimore City metropolitan area, where over 60% of Maryland syphilis cases are found. Maryland has focused on collaborative public health efforts to reduce communicable diseases.

<sup>35</sup>Fiscal year 2013 MFR Strategies, and fiscal year 2014 and 2015 MFR Performance Discussion, Cigarette Restitution Fund-Cancer Prevention, Education, Screening and Treatment Program-Prevention and Health Promotion Administration, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

<sup>36</sup>Fiscal year 2012 MFR Data Definition and Control Procedures, Family Health Administration, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

<sup>37</sup>Fiscal year 2014 and 2015 MFR Strategies and Discussion of Program Performance, Infectious Disease and Environmental Health Services-Prevention and Health Promotion Administration, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

<sup>38</sup>Fiscal year 2013 MFR Data Definitions and Control Procedures, Infectious Disease and Environmental Health Administration, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene; CDC Sexually Transmitted Diseases in the United States, 2008, November 2009


<sup>39</sup>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2013 Sexually Transmitted Diseases Surveillance

### **Indicator 5.35: Maryland prevalence of household-level very low food security (3 year average)**

Very low food security is defined as households in which food intake of one member or more was reduced, and eating patterns were disrupted because of insufficient money and other resources for food. Data for this indicator are derived from responses to a survey conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau.<sup>40</sup> In most households with very low food security, the survey respondent reported that he/she was hungry at some time during the previous twelve months but did not eat because there was not enough money for food. Prevalence rates of food insecurity vary widely state to state. Therefore, a 3-year average is used to provide more reliable statistics at the state level.

**Exhibit 5.10** shows that, over the past decade, Maryland's prevalence of household-level very low food security was equal to or below the U.S. level. The recession was a significant factor contributing to household level food insecurity, but Maryland has continually driven down food insecurity since then.

*Exhibit 5.10 Maryland and U.S. Prevalence of Household-Level Very Low Food Security, 2004-2014*



Over the last several years, Maryland has identified and implemented successful strategies to connect children and families to the School Breakfast and Summer Food Service Programs, and other programs, while drawing down millions of additional dollars in federal funding. Governor Hogan has charged his Children's Cabinet with four major initiatives, one of which is to continue efforts to reduce the incidence of child hunger.

<sup>40</sup>The Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, compiles and analyzes data for this indicator from an annual survey conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau as a supplement to the monthly Current Population Survey (CPS).

The Governor has highlighted hunger initiatives through numerous other efforts. He has been a strong supporter of the Maryland Food Bank, packaging meals for those in need in January 2015 and visiting families impacted by the unrest in Baltimore that spring. In June 2015, the Governor launched the "Maryland Unites: Day of Service" in an effort to help encourage volunteerism across the State, resulting in State employees and law enforcement officials coming together at the Food Bank throughout the summer to help fight hunger. In his first Capital Budget, the Governor included a state investment of \$3.5 million for expansion of the Maryland Food Bank's facilities. For these efforts, the Governor was honored with a 2015 Hunger Advocate Award.<sup>41</sup>

### **Indicator 5.36: Rate of live births to adolescents between 15 and 19 years of age (per 1,000 women)**

Adolescent mothers are more likely to drop out of high school, experience unemployment, or if employed earn lower wages than women who begin childbearing after age 20. Children born to teen mothers face increased risks of low birth weight and being pre-term, having developmental problems, and experiencing poverty.<sup>42</sup> Maryland's rate of live births to adolescents between 15 and 19 years of age has compared favorably to the U.S. rate for each year in the last decade. In the last five years, the Maryland rate has declined by 34.6%, reflecting a national trend.

Maryland has used a multifaceted approach to prevent teen pregnancy including health education and counseling, access to health care, outreach, and public awareness. Public health, reproductive health, and family planning services are contributing to a downward trend in teen birth rates in Maryland.<sup>43</sup>

### **Indicator 5.38: Rate of children placed in out-of-home care (per 100,000 children)**

Out-of-home placements include family foster care, community-based residential placement, non-community-based residential placement, and hospitalization. Abuse and neglect, crime and violence contribute to the need to place children in alternative (out-of-home) care. Out-of-home placements are used when less restrictive interventions have failed and the safety and well-being of

<sup>41</sup>Maryland Food Bank, Celebrating Our 2015 Hunger Advocate Award Winners: Larry Hogan, September 23, 2015.

<sup>42</sup>Maryland's Results for Child Well Being 2009; State Profiles of Child Well-being, 2011 Kids Count Data Book, The Annie E. Casey Foundation

<sup>43</sup>Fiscal year 2013 MFR Strategies and Discussion of Program Performance, Family Health Administration, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

the child requires an out-of-home placement. The rate of placement in out-of-home care fluctuated between 2010 and 2014, but overall fell by 14.7% from 11.6 to 9.9 children per hundred thousand.

The Department of Human Resources has several strategies including Place Matters which aims at maintaining children in their homes through intensive in-home services, and placing children in their home jurisdictions when possible.<sup>44</sup> The Department of Juvenile Services uses evidence-based therapies and the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning tool which was designed to place children more effectively in programs to suit their individual needs. The Children's Cabinet Interagency Fund provided funds for evidence based practices and prevention programs such as Functional Family Therapy, Multi-systemic Therapy, and Trauma-Focused Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy.

#### **Indicator 5.41: Heroin overdose-related deaths in Maryland**

The heroin epidemic in the U.S. has gained increasing media and policy attention over the past year, and Maryland is no exception to this epidemic. In the past five years, the number of heroin overdose-related deaths in Maryland grew from 238 to 578 (142.9%).

In response, Governor Hogan issued Executive Orders 01.01.2015.12 and 01.01.2015.13, and State resources have been devoted to confronting this heroin and opioid epidemic through a comprehensive approach that includes education, treatment, improvements to quality of care, law enforcement, alternatives to incarceration, and overdose prevention. Currently, over 300 State employees are working on this health crisis in some capacity.<sup>45</sup> A Heroin & Opioid Emergency Task Force was convened, chaired by Lieutenant Governor Rutherford and the Task Force held six regional summits throughout the State to hear testimony from those with substance use disorders, family members, educators, faith leaders, elected officials, law enforcement, addiction treatment professionals, and other stakeholders.

The Task Force issued its final report December 2015, including contributions from 431 stakeholders and 33 recommendations. The Hogan Administration included \$4.8 million in new funding in the FY 2017 allowance to implement Task Force recommendations, in addition to

<sup>44</sup>Maryland Children's Cabinet, FY2014 State of Maryland Out-of-Home Placement and Family Preservation Resource Plan.

<sup>45</sup>Heroin & Opioid Emergency Task Force. (2015). Final Report. Retrieved from <https://governor.maryland.gov/ltgovernor/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2015/12/Heroin-Opioid-Emergency-Task-Force-Final-Report.pdf>.

\$341.9 million in the FY 2017 budget dedicated to already existing substance use disorder and addiction programs. The new funds will be used to enhance quality of care, expand access to treatment and support services, boost overdose prevention efforts, and strengthen law enforcement options.


#### **Significant Performance Trends - Environment**

##### **Indicator 5.42: Chesapeake Bay Habitat Health Index - Maryland**

The Chesapeake Bay Habitat Health Index measures the progress of three water quality indicators and three biotic indicators<sup>46</sup> against scientifically derived ecological thresholds or goals. The six indicators are combined into one overarching Bay Health Index. The health of the Chesapeake Bay is reported annually in the Chesapeake Bay Report Card. The data presented is for both the Maryland portion<sup>47</sup> of the Chesapeake Bay and the Bay-wide number.

In the period from 2010 to 2014, Maryland's score rose from 40% to 45%. At the same time, the score for the entire Chesapeake Bay rose from 42% to 50%. As **Exhibit 5.11** shows, Maryland and Bay-wide scores can vary widely from year to year depending on trends in weather, etc.

*Exhibit 5.11 Maryland and Bay-wide Report Card Score, 2002-14*



<sup>46</sup>The three water quality indicators are chlorophyll a, dissolved oxygen, and water clarity; the three biotic indicators are submerged aquatic vegetation, Benthic Index of Biotic Integrity, and Phytoplankton Index of Biotic Integrity.

<sup>47</sup>It is not possible to completely separate Maryland data from Bay reporting regions. Three of the regions include parts of Virginia: Lower Eastern Shore, Mid Bay, and Potomac River. Per the University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, in the broad scheme, Maryland data is not affected much by including data for parts of Virginia.

The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is leading a major initiative to establish and oversee achievement of a strict “pollution diet” known as a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), that will drive actions to clean local waters and the Chesapeake Bay. Maryland, as well as the other five jurisdictions in the Bay watershed, has prepared Phase I and Phase II Watershed Implementation Plans (WIP) detailing how the State will accomplish its portion of the pollution diet. These Plans identify how the Bay jurisdictions will achieve nutrient and sediment clean-up goals.


#### **Indicator 5.44: Dredge Survey Index of stock size (crabs) – estimated**

Total stock size refers to the total number of crabs of all sizes in the over-wintering crab population, i.e. crab density. The data is derived from the annual Bay-wide winter dredge survey conducted by the Maryland Department of Natural Resources and the Virginia Institute of Marine Science. Indices of stock size are average catches per tow, after the catches have been corrected for the efficiency of the dredge gear and overwintering mortality.<sup>48</sup>

The Index value declined by 3.8% over the five year period from 2011 to 2015, with fluctuating values during the intervening years. After reaching a 19 year high in 2012,<sup>49</sup> the Maryland blue crab population dropped below the 2009 level in 2014, declining 59.5% from 2012. It has since rebounded to closer to the ten-year average. As **Exhibit 5.12** shows, the blue crab population can vary dramatically from year to year. Crabs are vulnerable to extreme cold, particularly prolonged cold winter temperatures.

Bills were passed during the 2011 legislative session that increased enforcement authority and penalties for certain violations of rules related to striped bass, oyster and blue crab. Legislation passed in 2012 aimed at the Bay’s water pollution problems including curtailing septic pollution, allowing upgrades to sewage treatment plants, etc.<sup>50</sup> In 2012 and 2013, DNR facilitated the initiation of a Blue Crab commercial fishery harvest accountability pilot. Commercial harvest tracking is critical to well managed fisheries and can provide flexibility for harvesters.<sup>51</sup>

*Exhibit 5.12 Dredge Survey Index - Crab Stock Size, 2004-15*



#### **Indicator 5.47: Acres of cover crops planted**

The Maryland Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy Implementation Plan, January 2008, includes an agricultural strategy for improving the health of the Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries. Expanding the cover crop program is part of that agricultural strategy, and is one of the primary efforts to reduce nutrient and sediment loads to the Chesapeake Bay.

Through the Cover Crop Program, farmers plant non-harvested cereal crops on agricultural land to control soil erosion and absorb unused nitrogen and phosphorus remaining in the soil following the fall harvest.<sup>52</sup> The Cover Crop Program provides cost share assistance to farmers to implement this best management practice.<sup>53</sup> Through the cover crop program, the number of acres planted has increased dramatically. A record number of acres of cover crops were planted during 2011 to 2015, increasing by 12.1% during that timeframe, with 2015 representing an all-time high.

#### **Indicator 5.48: Number of waters impaired by nutrients per the Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality**

The Federal Clean Water Act requires states to identify waters assessed as not meeting water quality standards and compile a List of Impaired Surface Waters (the historical 303(d) List) that includes impaired waters for which a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) is required.

<sup>48</sup>Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Fisheries Service, Data Definition and Control Procedures, fiscal year 2012 and 2013

<sup>49</sup>Office of the Governor, More Blue Crabs newsletter, May 3, 2012

<sup>50</sup>Office of the Governor, More Blue Crabs newsletter, May 3, 2012

<sup>51</sup>Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Fisheries Service, MFR Performance Discussion, fiscal year 2015

<sup>52</sup>Overview, Chesapeake Bay Report Card, 2010, Chesapeake EcoCheck

[WWW.eco-check.org/reportcard/chesapeake/2010/overview/](http://WWW.eco-check.org/reportcard/chesapeake/2010/overview/)

<sup>53</sup>Cost-share support is administered through Maryland Agricultural Water Quality Cost-Share (MACS) program, Maryland's Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy Implementation Plan, January 2008

A TMDL is the maximum amount of a pollutant that can enter a water body and still allow the water quality standards to be met.<sup>54</sup> In general, TMDLs set pollutant limits for all sources by dividing, or “allocating,” the maximum allowable pollutant loads among those sources.

Over the past five years, the number of impaired bodies without a TMDL declined by 88.7%. This strong performance is largely the result of the completion of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL which was finalized in December 2010. Since December 2010, Maryland has completed the Phase I Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP), and has finalized with additional updates and refinements the Phase II WIP. MDE has worked extensively with inter-jurisdictional and inter-agency workgroups and committees over the last three years to provide technical expertise and guidance to ensure that the Bay TMDL addressed the nutrient and sediment impairments in all of Maryland’s tidal waters listed as impaired by those pollutants on the State’s Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality.<sup>55</sup> Phase III WIPs will be submitted in 2017 with a focus on ensuring that all practices are in place by 2025 as needed to fully restore the Bay and its tidal waters.

#### **Indicator 5.49: Percent of Marylanders served by public water systems in significant compliance with all new and existing regulations**

Water systems are evaluated for compliance with technical and health-based rules, as well as compliance with health-based drinking water standards. Technical violations include items such as monitoring and reporting of compliance reports, failure to issue public notification, and failure to complete corrective actions for treatment technique requirements. Health-based standards are established for over eighty regulated contaminants such as bacteria, nitrates, arsenic, lead and copper, disinfection byproducts, and radionuclides.

While performance in this category has improved by 8.4% over the past five years (from 83% to 90% compliance), the 2015 number is actually a decline from 2013 (98%) and 2014 (96%). Most violations for fiscal

---

<sup>54</sup>A water quality standard is the combination of a designated use for a particular body of water and the water quality criteria designed to protect that use (Maryland Department of Environment’s Web site about the Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality found at: <http://www.mde.maryland.gov/programs/Water/TMDL/Integrated303dReports/Pages/Programs/WaterPrograms/TMDL/Maryland%20303%20list/index.aspx>

<sup>55</sup>MDE Chesapeake Bay TMDL, Developing the Bay TMDL: A Pollution Diet for the Chesapeake Watershed, <http://www.mde.md.us/programs/water/tmdl/chesapeakebaytmdl/pages/programs>, October 17, 2012

2015 were technical violations that were associated with additional monitoring deadlines.<sup>56</sup>

In fiscal 2016, implementation of a new federal regulation called the Revised Total Coliform Rule is anticipated. Every time a new rule is introduced, it poses a compliance challenge for public water systems, especially the small ones that lack the technical sophistication that these federal mandates require. Due to this fact, performance in terms of this public water system measure is anticipated to decline somewhat.

#### **Indicator 5.50: Three-year average of days the eight hour ozone standard was exceeded**

Breathing ozone, a primary component of smog, can trigger a variety of health problems. Other impacts of air pollution are reduced visibility; damaged crops, forests and buildings; and acidified lakes and streams. Emissions from industrial facilities and electric utilities, motor vehicle exhaust, gasoline vapors, and chemical solvents are some of the causes of ozone forming pollutants. Maryland’s ozone levels are not only due to ozone-forming pollutants being emitted by sources within Maryland, but from ozone formed in other states that is delivered to Maryland by prevailing winds.

Maryland is doing its part locally to meet National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone and fine particulate matter through the Maryland Healthy Air Act (HAA) enacted in July 2007, at the time the toughest power plant emission law on the east coast. The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) reports that legal challenges to Federal rules concerning power plants have prevented the rules from being fully approved and implemented. Therefore, out-of-state pollution reductions have been somewhat delayed, which affects Maryland’s ability to meet the Federal ozone standard. Additionally, weather conditions, particularly prolonged periods of very hot weather, tend to generate high ozone levels.


The three year average of days the eight-hour ozone standard was exceeded declined significantly (48%) from 2010 to 2014. **Exhibit 5.13** (next page) displays the one-year and three-year trends through time. MDE attributes the improvement in performance to the continuing addition of pollution controls at existing power plants west of Maryland, more power plants actually running their pollution controls, the conversion of coal-fired power plants to natural-gas-fired plants, and the absence of the large-scale weather patterns that typically allow high pressure systems to develop and sit in place over the

---

<sup>56</sup>Fiscal year 2017 Performance Discussion, Maryland Department of the Environment.

southeast U.S., which is when dirty air from the Ohio River valley area principally gets transported into Maryland.<sup>57</sup>

*Exhibit 5.13 Days the 8 Hour Ozone Standard Was Exceeded, 2004-2014*



**Indicator 5.56: Number of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead levels (>5ug/dl)**

The major source of child exposure to lead is paint dust from deteriorated lead paint or from home renovation. Elevated blood lead levels are associated with a number of detrimental effects including behavioral and neuro-developmental effects in childhood such as learning and behavioral problems and lowered intelligence, and seizures and death depending on the levels of blood lead. The number of children with elevated blood lead levels (above 5 ug/dl) declined sharply from 2010 to 2014, dropping by 41.6%.

The decline in blood lead levels is expected to continue due to the multiplicity of intervention strategies as well as the gradual reduction in the number of residences with lead paint hazards. A primary prevention strategy that is responsible for much of the past decline in blood lead levels is the implementation and enforcement of Maryland's "Reduction of Lead Risk in Housing" law.<sup>58</sup> A key change in Maryland's lead law – expanding the type of properties covered by the law to include rental units built

prior to 1978 – took effect January 1, 2015.<sup>59</sup> Moving to protect more children from the health risks associated with lead paint poisoning, MDE began registering newer rental properties that will for the first time be required to comply with the provisions of Maryland's lead law.

<sup>57</sup>Fiscal year 2017 Performance Discussion, Maryland Department of the Environment.

<sup>58</sup>Maryland Department of the Environment, Lead Poisoning Prevention Program Childhood Blood Lead Surveillance in Maryland, Annual Report 2010, August 2011

<sup>59</sup>Fiscal year 2017 Performance Discussion, Maryland Department of the Environment.

## Performance Detail – Improving Quality of Life

### Key Performance Area 5– Data by Report Year

Indicator	Agency/ Data Source	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	4 Year Change	Specific Target
<b>Education</b>								
<b>5.1.</b> Percent of students entering Kindergarten demonstrating Full Readiness on the Kindergarten Readiness Assessment (AY 2015)	MSDE					47%	N/A – test has changed	N/A
<b>5.2.</b> AP Exams – Percent receiving grade 3, 4, or 5 (AY 2011 - AY 2015)	MSDE	58.9%	61.3%	60.3%	61.0%	61.0%	3.6%	N/A
<b>5.3.</b> Prekindergarten enrollment (AY 2011 - AY 2015)	MSDE	27,337	28,850	29,671	29,811	30,385	11.1%	N/A
<b>5.4.</b> High School Graduation Rate (AY 2011 - AY 2015)	MSDE	81.97%	82.82%	83.57%	84.97%	86.39%	5.4%	N/A
<b>5.5.</b> Percent of children in grades 9 through 12 who drop out of school in an academic year (AY 2011 - AY 2015)	MSDE	11.93%	11.22%	10.22%	9.36%	8.35%	-30.0%	N/A
<b>5.6.</b> Percent of core academic subject classes staffed with highly qualified teachers (AY 2011 - AY 2015)	MSDE	92.4%	93.1%	93.8%	92.4%	91.6%	-0.9%	92.9% by 2017
<b>5.7.</b> Average percentage of schools surveyed by the Interagency Committee for School Construction in the past six years that received Superior, Good, or Adequate ratings for school maintenance (2010 - 2014)	IAC	N/A	97.0%	97.2%	97.2%	97.2%	0.2%	N/A
<b>5.8.</b> Six year graduation rate of first-time, full-time students at public four-year colleges and universities (all groups) (FY 2011 - FY 2015)	MHEC	64.1%	63.3%	61.6%	63.8%	63.7%	-0.6%	67% by 2018
<b>5.9.</b> Percent of bachelor's degrees awarded to racial/ethnic minorities at public and private Maryland colleges and universities (FY 2011 - FY 2015)	MHEC	31.8%	32.7%	34.4%	34.6%*	34.9%	9.7%	38% by 2018
<b>5.10.</b> Four-year transfer and graduation rate of first-time community college students (FY 2011 - FY 2015)	MHEC	35.5%	35.8%	33.5%	33.7%	33.9%	-4.6%	N/A

Indicator	Agency/ Data Source	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	4 Year Change	Specific Target
<b>5.11.</b> Percent of Maryland median family income required to cover tuition and fees at Maryland public four-year institutions (FY 2011 - FY 2015)	MHEC	8.7%	9.4%	11.2%	11.3%	11.5%	32.2%	Below 10% by 2018
<b>5.12.</b> Percent of Maryland median family income required to cover tuition and fees at Maryland community colleges (FY 2011 - FY 2015)	MHEC	4.0%	4.3%	5.1%	5.2%	5.4%	35.0%	Below 4% by 2018
<b>5.13.</b> Number of graduates in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) from Maryland's public and private higher educational institutions (FY 2011 - FY 2015)	MHEC	11,277	11,592	11,850	13,082*	13,850	22.8%	Above 13,000 by 2018
<b>5.14.</b> Post-secondary degree attainment rate for Marylanders ages 25 to 64 (FY 2011 - FY 2015)	MHEC	44.2%	44.7%	44.2%	44.8%	45.0%	1.8%	N/A
<b>Public Safety</b>								
<b>5.15.</b> Homicide rate per 100,000 (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	State Police	7.4	6.8	6.3	6.5	6.1	-17.6%	Below 6.49
<b>5.16.</b> Rate of homicide deaths of children and youth ages 0 to 19 (per 100,000 population) (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	State Police	3.7	4.2	4.3	3.5	3.0	-17.6%	N/A
<b>5.17.</b> Traffic fatality rate per 100 million miles traveled (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	State Police	0.86470	0.87060	0.90620	0.82540	0.78546	-9.2%	N/A
<b>5.18.</b> Part I crime rate (offenses per 100,000 population) (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	State Police	3,547	3,355	3,226	3,128	2,960	-16.5%	N/A
<b>5.19.</b> Offenders under Department of Public Safety & Correctional Services jurisdiction (FY 2011 - FY 2015)	DPSCS	22,155	22,113	21,101	20,868	20,602	-7.0%	N/A
<b>5.20.</b> Percent of all cases closed where the offender was employed at closing (FY 2011 - FY 2015)	DPSCS	27%	28%	30%	30%	28%	2.1%	At least 31%
<b>5.21.</b> Rate per 100,000 of arrests of youth ages 10 to 17 for violent criminal offenses (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	Children's Cab. Inter-agency Fund	1,248	1,027	942	825	814	-34.8%	N/A
<b>5.22.</b> Youth Recidivism: Percent of youth re-adjudicated within one year after release from all residential (2010 - 2014)	DJS	19.4%	16.0%*	18.5%*	18.3%*	18.2%	-6.5%	23.5%

Indicator	Agency/ Data Source	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	4 Year Change	Specific Target
<b>Health and Human Services</b>								
<b>5.23.</b> Percent of live births for which prenatal care was initiated during the first trimester (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	DHMH	69.0%	67.7%	67.9%	67.0%	66.6%	-3.5%	By CY 2015, at least 80%
<b>5.24.</b> Infant mortality rate for all races (per 1,000 live births) (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	DHMH	6.7	6.7	6.3	6.6	6.5	-3.0%	Reduce to 6.1 by 2015
<b>5.25.</b> Maryland's average annual uninsured rate among the nonelderly (under age 65; estimated) (CY 2011 - CY 2014)	DHMH	N/A	14.4%	14.1%	11.7%	6.5%	-53.9%	N/A
<b>5.26.</b> Percent of Maryland children fully immunized (by 24 months) (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	CDC	73.3%	76.9%	73.0%	81.9%	81.8%	11.6%	N/A
<b>5.27.</b> Cumulative percent change from the calendar year 2000 baseline for underage high school students smoking cigarettes (CY 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014)	DHMH	-39.0%	-41.7%	-49.9%	-53.7%	-64.4%	65.0%	N/A
<b>5.28.</b> Percent of public school students in grades nine through twelve who are current drinkers (AY 2009, 2011, 2013) (biannual)	Children's Cab. Inter-agency Fund	37.0%		34.8%		31.2%	-15.7%	N/A
<b>5.29.</b> Overall cancer mortality rate per 100,000 persons (age adjusted to 2000 U.S. Standard Population) (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	DHMH	170.9	165.7	163.7	161.9	158.9	-7.0%	No more than 156.1 by 2015
<b>5.30.</b> Heart disease mortality rate for all races per 100,000 population (age adjusted) (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	DHMH	182.0	171.4	171.9	171.7	163.2	-10.3%	No more than 163.3 by 2015
<b>5.31.</b> Rate of age adjusted new HIV diagnoses (per 100,000 population) (CY 2010 - CY 2014 estimated)	DHMH	32.2	28.3	30.0	27.3*	26.3	-18.3%	N/A
<b>5.32.</b> Rate of primary/secondary syphilis incidence (cases per 100,000 population) (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	DHMH	5.8	7.8	7.3	7.7	7.5	29.3%	N/A
<b>5.33.</b> Percent of children with no recurrence of maltreatment within 6 months of first occurrence (2011 – 2015)	DHR	86.1%*	87.0%*	89.2%*	89.8%*	90.1%	4.6%	90.9% or more by FY 2017

Indicator	Agency/ Data Source	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	4 Year Change	Specific Target
<b>5.34.</b> Percent of related children and youth under age 18 whose families have incomes below the poverty level (estimated) (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	Children's Cab. Inter-agency Fund	12.7%	13.2%	13.5%	13.3%	12.7%	0.0%	N/A
<b>5.35.</b> Maryland prevalence of household-level very low food security (3 year average) (2007-2009 to 2011-2013)	USDA	5.1%	5.6%	5.1%	4.9%	4.8%	-5.9%	End by 2015
<b>5.36.</b> Rate of live births to adolescents between 15 and 19 years of age (per 1,000 women) (20010 - 2014)	Children's Cab. Inter-agency Fund	27.2	24.7	22.1	19.3	17.8	-34.6%	No more than 15.8 by 2015
<b>5.37.</b> Statewide percent of current child support paid (FFY 2011 - FFY 2015)	DHR	64.70%	65.68%	66.78%	67.75%	68.51%	5.9%	1% increase each year until 80%
<b>5.38.</b> Rate of children placed in out-of-home care (per 100,000 children) (2010 - 2014)	Children's Cab. Inter-agency Fund	11.6	11.2	12.3	11.2	9.9	-14.7%	N/A
<b>5.39.</b> Percent increase in employment of adults at completion of substance abuse treatment (2011-2015)	DHMH	45%	45%	43%	41%	43%	-4.4%	44% by FY 2016
<b>5.40.</b> Percent of adults with serious mental illness who receive mental health services (2011-2015)	DHMH	26%	23.1%	24.2%	25.6%	26.9%	3.6%	26.5% by FY 2016
<b>5.41.</b> Heroin overdose-related deaths in Maryland (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	DHMH	238	247	392	464	578	142.9%	N/A
<b>Environment</b>								
<b>5.42.</b> Chesapeake Bay Habitat Health Index- MD (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	UMCES EcoCheck	40%	33%	42%	39%	45%	12.5%	N/A
<b>5.43.</b> Acres of submerged aquatic vegetation (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	DNR	40,192	48,000*	24,512	28,905	39,912	-0.7%	114,034 acres of SAV
<b>5.44.</b> Dredge survey index of stock size - crabs (2011 - 2015)	DNR	52	79	32	32	50	-3.8%	N/A
<b>5.45.</b> Oyster biomass index (2011 - 2015)	DNR	0.9	1.2*	1.6	2.1	2.1	133.3%	10
<b>5.46.</b> Estimated nitrogen load to the Chesapeake Bay from Maryland (in million lbs.) (2010 - 2014)	DNR	52.76	50.15	47.57*	49.81*	49.49	-6.2%	45.48 in 2017
<b>5.47.</b> Acres of cover crops planted (2011 - 2015)	MDA	381,257	402,222*	413,826	415,550	427,458	12.1%	N/A

Indicator	Agency/ Data Source	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	4 Year Change	Specific Target
<b>5.48.</b> Waters impaired by nutrients per the Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality (2011 - 2015) – note report done biannually	MDE	62	20*	20*	7*	7*	-88.7%	N/A
<b>5.49.</b> Percent of Marylanders served by public water systems in significant compliance with all new and existing regulations (2011 - 2015)	MDE	83%	92%	98%	96%	90%	8.4%	At least 97%
<b>5.50.</b> 3 year average of days the 8 hour ozone standard was exceeded (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	MDE	28.3	27.0	33.3	22.0	14.7	-48.2%	0
<b>5.51.</b> Maryland's recycling rate (2011 - 2015)	MDE	45%	45%	44%	44%	46%	1.2%	N/A
<b>5.52.</b> Total acres preserved by all land preservation programs (2011 - 2015)*	DNR	1,455,028	1,474,572	1,483,499	1,491,574	1,497,199	2.9%	N/A
<b>5.53.</b> Energy consumption by all State government facilities (owned and leased) (2011 - 2015)	DGS	12.16	11.90	11.59	12.06	12.25	0.7%	15% reduction by 2015
<b>5.54.</b> Maryland per capita electricity consumption in megawatt hours (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	MEA	12.0	11.7	11.2	11.1	10.9	-9.2%	15% reduction by 2015
<b>5.55.</b> Percent of vehicles registered in the state that are alternative fuel, electric or hybrid-electric (2011 - 2015)	MVA	1.5%	1.6%	1.5%	1.7%	1.7%	11.0%	N/A
<b>5.56.</b> Number of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead levels (CY 2010 - CY 2014)*	MDE	4,037	3,192	2,739	2,622	2,359	-41.6%	N/A
<b>5.57.</b> Maryland rapid transit trips (including Maryland Transit Administration (MTA), Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA), and Locally Operated Transit Systems (LOTS)). (thousands) (CY 2010 - CY 2014)	MDoT	155,989	159,115	159,664	156,614	155,593	-0.3%	N/A

\*Numbers have been updated since last year's report.