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#### Abstract

MISSION The Department of Budget and Management (DBM) helps the Governor and State agencies and their employees provide effective, efficient and fiscally sound government to the citizens of Maryland through the use of effective budgeting and resource management, and application of effective public policy. We support agency efforts to achieve results by helping them obtain the fiscal, capital, and personnel resources needed to provide services to Maryland citizens. We are dedicated to providing advice and assistance with professionalism, modern management techniques, and teamwork.


## VISION

We will advance the interests of the citizens of Maryland in a State government that is well regarded, responsive, and contributes to environmentally sound communities whose residents are well-educated, healthy, safe, and gainfully employed. Our success depends on our employees. The recognition we give to individual effort and teamwork will make our agency a very desired place to work. Our advice and assistance will be actively sought. We will emphasize getting the job done with utmost professionalism.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Allocated resources contribute to achievement of outcome goals by State agencies.

| Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outcome: Index of 30 outcome-related performance measures <br> reported by State agencies and other sources* | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> Estimated | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Estimated |
| 100 | 105 | 103 | 103 |  |

Goal 2. Executive branch agencies have a high quality workforce that reflects the diversity of the State.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual | Estimated | Estimated |
| Outcome: Retention Rate | $89.3 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $91.0 \%$ |

Note: * The Index, an aggregate of 30 performance measures, indicates overall performance of the State in key performance areas. The base value of the Index is 100 . Each year the Index is calculated using the most recent data available. Various time periods are used depending on the availability of data for individual measures, and whether a measure is an average of multiple years of data. Depending on the measure, the data may be reported on a fiscal year, calendar year, or academic year.

## SUMMARY OF DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $2009$ <br> Appropriation | 2010 <br> Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of Authorized Positions.. | 317.80 | 323.80 | 321.80 |
| Total Number of Contractual Positions. | 19.51 | 16.40 | 20.30 |
| Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits. | 21,796,852 | 28,108,656 | 37,075,413 |
| Technical and Special Fees. | 728,419 | 679,733 | 739,088 |
| Operating Expenses ..................................................................... | 10,293,191 | 28,551,090 | 8,235,043 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation............................................... | 77,530,644 | 87,687,324 |  |
| Transfer/Reduction .................................................................... | -59,889,360 | -69,968,306 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation............................................... | 17,641,284 | 17,719,018 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction...................................... | 2,096,219 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure........................................... | 15,545,065 | 17,719,018 | 27,460,389 |
| Special Fund Expenditure. | 9,556,371 | 32,881,690 | 12,125,328 |
| Reimbursable Fund Expenditure ......................................... | 7,717,026 | 6,738,771 | 6,463,827 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... | 32,818,462 | 57,339,479 | 46,049,544 |

## SUMMARY OF OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2009}{\text { Appropriation }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of Authorized Positions... | 158.00 | 167.00 | 167.00 |
| Total Number of Contractual Positions. | 19.41 | 16.00 | 20.20 |
| Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits | 10,445,231 | 12,372,102 | 12,311,478 |
| Technical and Special Fees.... | 623,583 | 560,532 | 633,030 |
| Operating Expenses.. | 4,157,856 | 5,009,293 | 4,727,208 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation............................................... | 5,830,821 | 5,646,162 |  |
| Transfer/Reduction ................................................................... | -191,754 | 42,517 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation | 5,639,067 | 5,688,679 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction................................... | 188,235 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure. | 5,450,832 | 5,688,679 | 5,458,088 |
| Special Fund Expenditure ................................................. | 9,556,371 | 12,083,223 | 12,125,328 |
| Reimbursable Fund Expenditure ................................................ | 219,467 | 170,025 | 88,300 |
| Total Expenditure .............................................. | 15,226,670 | 17,941,927 | 17,671,716 |

## DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

## F10A01.01 EXECUTIVE DIRECTION - OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY <br> PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management, under the direction of the Governor, is responsible for the overall review, analysis and final preparation of the State Budget for Chief Executive approval and submission to the General Assembly. The Office of the Secretary coordinates the functions of the various Departmental divisions and formulates policies and guidelines to promote efficient budgetary, fiscal, and personnel management within State government. The Office provides legal counsel and representation and coordinates legislative activities for the Department.

This program directs the Department in the attainment of the mission, vision, goals, objectives, and performance measures for the Department as a whole.

## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator administers and enforces the State Equal Employment Opportunity Program under the authority of the Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management established by Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article § 5-202. The Office reviews appealed discrimination complaints, investigates whistleblower complaints, monitors agencies' fair practices and equal employment opportunity (EEO) programs and policies, provides training and technical assistance to managers and supervisors, ensures compliance with Federal, State and local laws prohibiting discrimination, evaluates State agencies' EEO efforts, and reports annually to the Governor.


#### Abstract

\section*{MISSION}

The mission of the Office of the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator is to ensure a fair and equitable


 personnel system in which:- State employees are able to pursue their careers without discrimination or harassment;
- Job applicants have an equal opportunity to compete for State employment; and
- Individuals requesting services from the State are provided those services without discrimination.


## VISION

A State personnel system based on fairness and equity, free of discrimination and harassment.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Executive Branch and independent agencies have a workforce that reflects the diversity of the State.
Objective 1.1 Annually, 55 percent of the protected groups in the State's workforce will reflect their proportional composition in Maryland's Civilian Labor Force.

| Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quality: Percent of protected groups in the State's workforce reflecting <br> their proportional composition in Maryland's Civilian Labor Force | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> Estimated | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Estimated |

Goal 2. EEO complaints are resolved with the agency and/or with the Office of the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator.
Objective 2.1 Annually, at least 80 percent of EEO complaints will be resolved with the agency and/or with the Office of the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator.
Performance Measures
Outcome: Percent of EEO complaints resolved with the agency
and//or with the Office of the Statewide Equal Employment

Opportunity Coordinator $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
$\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br>
Actual

$\quad$

$\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br>
Actual

 

$\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br>
Estimated

 

$\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br>
Estimated
\end{tabular}

## F10A01.01 EXECUTIVE DIRECTION—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Appropriation Statement:

| Appropriation Statement: | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 <br> Appropriation | 2010 <br> Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Authorized Positions ................................................ | 12.00 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions............................................... | . 50 |  |  |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits .......................................... | 1,373,261 | 1,515,591 | 1,339,912 |
| 02 Technical and Special Fees...................................................... | 28,610 |  |  |
| 04 Travel | 6,438 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance |  | -1,029 | -756 |
| 13 Fixed Charges ......................................................................... | 43,723 | 50,000 | 47,000 |
| Total Operating Expenses .................................................... | 50,161 | 54,971 | 52,244 |
| Total Expenditure ................................................ | 1,452,032 | 1,570,562 | 1,392,156 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation......................................... | 1,170,546 | 1,293,459 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation.................................... | 133,508 | 107,078 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation........................................... | 1,304,054 | 1,400,537 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction................................ | 14,381 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure....................................... | 1,289,673 | 1,400,537 | 1,303,856 |
| Reimbursable Fund Expenditure .................................... | 162,359 | 170,025 | 88,300 |
| Total Expenditure .............................................. | 1,452,032 | 1,570,562 | 1,392,156 |

Reimbursable Fund Income:
F10901 Transfer from Employees and Retirees' Health Insurance Non-Budgeted Accounts
F10905 Assessments for Telecommunications Expenses .............
Total. $\qquad$

| 81,180 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 81,179 |  |  |
|  | 85,359 | 85,012 |
|  | 170,025 | 88,300 |

## DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

## F10A01.02 DIVISION OF FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION - OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY <br> PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Division of Finance and Administration is responsible for the accounting, budgeting, payroll, purchasing and related functions for the Department. It manages the automated budget system, provides support to Departmental staff and State agencies that use the system, and is responsible for printing the State Budget and Fiscal Digest. In addition, the Division maintains a master position control file for all authorized State positions to enable position, classification, and salary level for the annual State Budget.

## MISSION

The mission of the Division of Finance and Administration is to provide high quality, efficient and timely financial, purchasing, payroll and administrative support for the goals and objectives of the programs in the Department of Budget and Management.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Manage the Hands on Budget Office ( HOBO ) database to support the preparation, analysis and printing of the State Budget. Objective 1.1 Annually provide budget, personnel and fund data files and software to financial agencies and their employees who use the State Budget System (HOBO) for the preparation of their budget request.

| Performance Measures | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Input: Number of agencies that received files and software | 4 Actual | 42 | 41 | 41 |
| Actual | Estimated | Estimated |  |  |
| Number of individual users who received files and software | 311 | 315 | 315 | 315 |

Objective 1.2 Annually transfer to the Department of Legislative Services (DLS) budget, personnel and non-general fund source records from the HOBO database that contain the three years of data used to create the Governor's allowance.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performance Measures | $\mathbf{A c t u a l}$ | Actual <br> Estimated | Estimated |  |
| Output: Number of budget records transferred | 108,313 | 108,714 | 108,000 | 108,000 |
| Number of personnel records transferred | 83,113 | 81,315 | 81,500 | 81,500 |
| Number of non-General Fund source records transferred | 5,472 | 5,398 | 5,400 | 5,400 |

Objective 1.3 The State Budget Books will be published and delivered by the third Wednesday in January each year.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual | Estimated | Estimated |
| Output: Delivered on schedule | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

## F10A01.02 DIVISION OF FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION-OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

| Appropriation Statement: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2009}{\text { Appropriation }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of Authorized Positions ............................................... | 11.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions. | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits. | 868,835 | 809,717 | 817,254 |
| 02 Technical and Special Fees | 237,675 | 352,840 | 318,030 |
| 03 Communication. | 528,580 | 494,947 | 363,350 |
| 04 Travel | 233 | 500 | 500 |
| 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance ................... | 11,016 | 9,786 | 6,019 |
| 08 Contractual Services.. | 389,391 | 522,442 | 367,109 |
| 09 Supplies and Materials | 138,872 | 90,000 | 90,000 |
| 10 Equipment-Replacement......... | 12,147 | 4,000 | 5,000 |
| 13 Fixed Charges ..................................................................... | 36,354 | 15,190 | 9,345 |
| Total Operating Expenses.. | 1,116,593 | 1,136,865 | 841,323 |
| Total Expenditure ........................................... | 2,223,103 | 2,299,422 | 1,976,607 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation... | 2,549,814 | 2,219,339 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation.................................. | -153,462 | 80,083 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation....................................... | 2,396,352 | 2,299,422 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction............................... | 173,249 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure..................................... | 2,223,103 | 2,299,422 | 1,976,607 |

## DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

## F10A01.03 CENTRAL COLLECTION UNIT - OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Central Collection Unit is the unit of State Government responsible for the collection of all-delinquent debts, claims and accounts of the State other than taxes, child support, unemployment insurance contributions and overpayments. Typical debts are Motor Vehicle Administration fines, student tuition and fees, restitution for damage to State property, reimbursement for institutional care, local health department fees, workers' compensation premiums, Home Improvement Commission awards, and State grant overpayments.

## MISSION

The mission of the Central Collection Unit is to collect all delinquent debts, claims and accounts of the State other than taxes, child support, unemployment insurance contributions and overpayments in the quickest and most cost effective manner while employing the highest professional standards.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Maximize returns on debt collection.
Objective 1.1 The unit will increase or maintain its net profit (gross collections - operating expenses) annually.

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual | Estimated | Estimated |
| Outcome: Net profit increased or maintained (Y/N) | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Net profit | \$8,067,901 | \$8,211,381 | \$8,366,529 | \$8,878,029 |
| Change in net profit from prior fiscal year | $(\$ 172,407)$ | \$143,480 | \$155,148 | \$511,500 |

Objective 1.2 The unit will collect some or all of the debt from at least $40 \%$ of the debt accounts received by the unit.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual | Estimated | Estimated |
| Outcome: Percent of debt accounts collected upon | $46.9 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ |

Objective 1.3 The unit will collect at least $33 \%$ of the total debt from debt referrals received by the unit.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual Estimated | Estimated |  |
| Outcome: Percent of total dollar value of debt collected | $33.8 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ |

F10A01.03 CENTRAL COLLECTION UNIT-OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

## Appropriation Statement:

| Appropriation Statement: | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 Appropriation | 2010 <br> Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Authorized Positions ................................................ | 111.00 | 122.00 | 122.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions............................................... | 10.81 | 8.00 | 12.00 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits .......................................... | 6,293,315 | 8,085,802 | 8,018,602 |
| 02 Technical and Special Fees...................................................... | 350,754 | 207,164 | 302,885 |
| 03 Communication. | 1,054,107 | 981,616 | 920,646 |
| 04 Travel | 11,794 | 7,000 | 9,000 |
| 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance | 3,237 | 16,315 | 2,471 |
| 08 Contractual Services. | 1,439,406 | 2,338,275 | 2,318,069 |
| 09 Supplies and Materials | 97,564 | 135,000 | 100,000 |
| 10 Equipment-Replacement ....................................................... | 41,593 | 38,700 | 95,850 |
| 13 Fixed Charges ........................................................................ | 264,601 | 273,351 | 357,805 |
| Total Operating Expenses................................................... | 2,912,302 | 3,790,257 | 3,803,841 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... | 9,556,371 | 12,083,223 | 12,125,328 |
| Special Fund Expenditure............................................. | 9,556,371 | 12,083,223 | 12,125,328 |
| Special Fund Income: |  |  |  |
| F10301 Collection Fees .................................................... | 9,556,371 | 12,083,223 | 12,125,328 |

# F10A01.04 DIVISION OF PROCUREMENT POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION - OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY 

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Division of Procurement Policy and Administration provides centralized review and approval or rejection of procurements for services submitted by Executive State agencies; procurement of services for statewide use; and internal support for all DBM procurements; policy and procedural direction and contract management services that ensure effective and efficient statewide fleet operations; and statewide compliance oversight and assistance on certain audits.

## MISSION

We enable State agencies to achieve their missions in an effective, efficient and fiscally responsible manner through fleet, procurement and audit compliance endeavors.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Maximize the benefit and value from procurements of services supporting performance of State agency functions.
Objective 1.1 Annually at least $40 \%$ of initial submissions and $75 \%$ of second submissions of agency solicitations that must be reviewed by the Procurement Unit, meet or exceed the standards of a well-prepared solicitation.

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual | Estimated | Estimated |
| Quality: Percent of reviewed initial submissions of agency |  |  |  |  |
| solicitations that meet standards for a well prepared solicitation* | 44\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Percent of reviewed second submissions of agency solicitations that meet standards for a well prepared solicitation* | 69\% | 94\% | 75\% | 75\% |

Goal 2. State agencies use fleet vehicles efficiently.
Objective 2.1 Each year at least $92 \%$ of the State vehicles that must be driven a minimum number of official miles per year will meet or exceed the official mileage standard set by the Fleet Administration Unit staff for that year.


Note: * Beginning July 1, 2008 the new Department of Information Technology assumed responsibility for information technology procurement functions. Actual data for fiscal years 2007 and 2008 include both services and information technology procurements. Estimates for fiscal years 2009 and 2010 include services procurements only.

F10A01.04 DIVISION OF PROCUREMENT POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

## Appropriation Statement:

| Statement | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 <br> Appropriation | 2010 <br> Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Authorized Positions | 24.00 | 24.00 | 24.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions. | . 10 |  | . 20 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits .......................................... | 1,909,820 | 1,960,992 | 2,135,710 |
| 02 Technical and Special Fees. | 6,544 | 528 | 12,115 |
| 04 Travel | 4,616 | 6,000 | 7,800 |
| 08 Contractual Services | 72,520 | 18,200 | 20,000 |
| 13 Fixed Charges ........................................................................ | 1,664 | 3,000 | 2,000 |
| Total Operating Expenses. | 78,800 | 27,200 | 29,800 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... | 1,995,164 | 1,988,720 | 2,177,625 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation......................................... | 2,110,461 | 2,133,364 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation.................................... | -171,800 | -144,644 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation.......................................... | 1,938,661 | 1,988,720 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction................................ | 605 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure...................................... | 1,938,056 | 1,988,720 | 2,177,625 |
| Reimbursable Fund Expenditure ................................... | 57,108 |  |  |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... | 1,995,164 | 1,988,720 | 2,177,625 |

Reimbursable Fund Income:
F10904 Various State Agencies.

## SUMMARY OF OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS



## F10A02.01 EXECUTIVE DIRECTION - OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS <br> PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of Personnel Services and Benefits (OPSB) provides policy direction for the human resources system established by the State Personnel and Pensions Article. The Executive Director manages the Office of Personnel Services and Benefits within the Department of Budget and Management and administers State personnel policies and health benefit programs. The Divisions of Employee Medical Services and Management and Personnel Services provide direct support to the Executive Director on critical issues.


#### Abstract

MISSION The Office of Personnel Services and Benefits promotes the recruitment, development, and retention of a competent, motivated workforce for Maryland State Government that strives for excellence through efficient, effective services that are responsive to the needs of the State's citizens. We provide a variety of services including: classification and salary, recruitment and examination, employee relations, employee benefits, and medical services. OPSB shares responsibility with State agencies for the administration of personnel functions through policy development, guidance, and interpretation that strive to manage effectively the key statewide resource of State employees.

We are a team that serves our customers with the same regard that we treat our fellow team members. We are committed to acting with responsibility, integrity, fairness, and sensitivity.


## VISION

We will manage a high performance governmental personnel system that delivers timely, accurate, and reliable services in response to our customers. We will emphasize acquiring new skills that enhance our capability to effectively manage our operations and solve problems. To do so, we will use modern personnel techniques and state-of-the-art information systems.

We will strive to make Maryland government a first-class employer who attracts and retains the best and the brightest. Our expertise and our reputation for excellence will make us highly influential in shaping public policy affecting the personnel system.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Retain employees in the State Personnel Management System.
Objective 1.1 Annually, maintain or improve the retention rate of permanent employees in the State Personnel Management System and certain Maryland Department of Transportation agencies who are in grades 1-26.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual | Estimated | Estimated |
| Outcome: Retention rate | $89.3 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $91.0 \%$ |

## F10A02.01 EXECUTIVE DIRECTION

| Appropriation Statement: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2009}{\text { Appropriation }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of Authorized Positions ............................................ |  | 16.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits |  | 1,065,302 | 1,272,123 | 1,371,882 |
|  |  | 7,848 | 3,500 | 8,000 |
| 08 Contractual Services <br> 13 Fixed Charges |  | 203,896 | 258,321 | 351,181 |
|  |  | 14,021 | 12,000 | 13,000 |
| Total Operating Expenses.. |  | 225,765 | 273,821 | 372,181 |
| Total Expenditure |  | 1,291,067 | 1,545,944 | 1,744,063 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation |  | 1,446,049 | 1,552,622 |  |
|  |  | -77,744 | -156,678 |  |
| Total Less: | General Fund Appropriation | 1,368,305 | 1,395,944 |  |
|  | General Fund Reversion/Reduction.............................. | 213,444 |  |  |
|  | Net General Fund Expenditure.................................... | 1,154,861 | 1,395,944 | 1,584,063 |
|  | Reimbursable Fund Expenditure ................................... | 136,206 | 150,000 | 160,000 |
|  | Total Expenditure ............................................ | 1,291,067 | 1,545,944 | 1,744,063 |

Reimbursable Fund Income:
F10901 Transfer from Employees and Retirees' Health Insur-
ance Non-Budgeted Accounts.
136,206
150,000
160,000

# F10A02.02 DIVISION OF EMPLOYEE BENEFITS - OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS 

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Division of Employee Benefits administers health care related benefit programs for: State employees and retirees, satellite agencies, Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) continuation of coverage of participants, contractual employees, and employees on Leave of Absence and on Military Leave. This program also administers the flexible benefits program for State employees. The State's share of the estimated fiscal year cost of the health benefits programs is appropriated in the various agency budgets.


#### Abstract

\section*{MISSION}

The Employee Benefits Division (EBD) provides coverage for State employees, retirees, and their dependents to protect them from financial loss and to provide for health care expenses. This coverage includes: the administration of medical benefits, other health related insurance programs, including prescription drug, dental, and mental health/substance abuse benefits, flexible spending accounts, and life insurance. Our program provides many options for multiple types of benefits that meet the unique needs of a diverse workforce. Our efforts make a major contribution toward the recruitment and retention of productive State employees serving State citizens.

We emphasize education, training, and information about the available benefits coverage. To do so, we work with other State agencies through continuing education and training of Agency Benefits Coordinators and Human Resource Directors. We also educate and assist the State workforce in receiving and understanding their benefits. We attend Retirement Training Sessions to assist retirees with understanding their State benefits and Federal Medicare benefits. These services are provided through multiple means of communication, including: training sessions, benefits booklets, Web-site information, and benefit fairs.

The Employee Benefits Division strives to administer benefit program laws and regulations in a manner that is sensitive, responsible, knowledgeable, and timely.


#### Abstract

VISION We will administer a benefits program that provides a variety of choices and options for the unique needs of our customers, the employees and retirees of the State of Maryland. We will utilize state-of-the-art technology systems to administer the benefits program in a timely and accurate manner. We will emphasize continuous training and education of our staff, our customers, and the agency staff with whom we work and constantly strive to expand our knowledge and improve our skills.

We will work cooperatively with our fellow State agencies through continuing education, training and communication. We will strive to make a significant contribution to the recruitment and retention of the State workforce by providing a benefits program that is responsive to their needs.


## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Services provided by our health plan vendors meet quality standards of performance.
Objective 1.1 Annually, 100 percent of health plan vendors will receive a "satisfactory" rating by at least 85 percent of all plan survey respondents in their overall plan satisfaction.

| Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quality: Percent of health plan vendors who received a <br> "satisfactory" rating by at least 85 percent of all plan survey <br> respondents | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | 2009 <br> Estimated | 2010 <br> Estimated |
|  | $72 \% *$ | $79 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Note: * The first State-sponsored survey was conducted by the State's benefits/actuarial consulting firm for fiscal year 2007. Fiscal year 2007 data was corrected from last year's submission using final survey results.

## F10A02.02 DIVISION OF EMPLOYEE BENEFITS - OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS (Continued)

Objective 1.2 Each calendar year at least 85 percent or more of health plan vendors will meet 80 percent of contractual Performance Standards criteria as defined in the State's contract.

| Performance Measures <br> Quality: Percent of health plan vendors who meet 80 percent of the <br> contractual Performance Standards on an annual basis, as <br> reported in the Quarterly Performance Standard Report <br> submitted by each vendor | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | 2009 <br> Estimated |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 <br> Estimated |  |  |  |

Goal 2. Enroll State participants in their benefit programs accurately and on time.
Objective 2.1 Each calendar year accurately process at least 90 percent of all enrollment applications.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual | Estimated | Estimated |
| Quality: Percent of enrollment applications processed accurately | $95 \%$ | $97.5 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ |

Note: * Fiscal years 2007 and 2008: This reflects results of the unaudited Quarterly Performance Standard Reports submitted by the vendors for fiscal years 2007 and 2008. These results are currently being audited in fiscal year 2008 by the benefits auditing firm of HDM.

F10A02.02 DIVISION OF EMPLOYEE BENEFITS—OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS

## Appropriation Statement:

| Statement | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 Appropriation | 2010 <br> Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Authorized Positions ............................................... | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits .......................................... | 2,851,561 | 3,135,370 | 3,072,967 |
| 03 Communication. | 241,917 | 193,665 | 228,393 |
| 04 Travel | 6,974 | 6,000 | 7,500 |
| 08 Contractual Services. | 3,775,778 | 2,935,440 | 2,695,210 |
| 09 Supplies and Materials | 37,568 | 20,000 | 40,000 |
| 10 Equipment-Replacement. | 418,262 | 9,750 | 51,200 |
| 13 Fixed Charges ......................................................................... | 29,293 | 28,521 | 28,309 |
| Total Operating Expenses................................................... | 4,509,792 | 3,193,376 | 3,050,612 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... | 7,361,353 | 6,328,746 | 6,123,579 |
| Reimbursable Fund Expenditure .................................... | 7,361,353 | 6,328,746 | 6,123,579 |

## Reimbursable Fund Income:

F10901 Transfer from Employees and Retirees' Health Insurance Non-Budgeted Accounts

## F10A02.04 DIVISION OF PERSONNEL SERVICES- OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Division of Personnel Services holds settlement conferences and provides mediation services and advice and assistance on the interpretation of State personnel laws, regulations and policies. The Division of Personnel Services administers the State Employees Leave Bank. In addition, the Division reviews and processes various personnel transactions by State agencies.

## MISSION

To assist in resolving disputes between employers and employees within the State Personnel Management System in order to facilitate better working relationships, improve morale and increase productivity, to aid in the retention of employees whose illnesses might otherwise result in separation from State service, and to support the effective functioning of State government through efficient processing of personnel transactions.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Provide effective settlement conferences for third-step grievances and disciplinary action appeals.
Objective 1.1 Annually, the Division will achieve a resolution rate of at least 32 percent for third-step grievance settlement conferences.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 2010 <br> Performance Measures |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outcome: Percent of resolved third-step grievance appeals | Actual | Actual Estimated | Estimated |  |
|  | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

Objective 1.2 Annually, the Division will achieve a resolution rate of at least 57 percent for disciplinary action appeals.

| Performance Measures | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 <br> Estimated | 2010 <br> Estimated |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outcome: Percent of disciplinary action appeal cases in which <br> resolution is reached |  |  |  |  |
| Actual |  |  |  |  |

Goal 2. The Employee Assistance Program (EAP) helps employees referred by management resolve personal matters adversely affecting their job performance.
Objective 2.1 Annually, at least 60 percent of EAP participants will judge the EAP services as having significantly helped with the problem for which the referral was made.
Performance Measures
Quality: Percent of EAP participants who judge the EAP services
as having significantly helped with the problem for which the

referral was made $\quad$\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
2007 <br>
Actual

$\quad$

2008 <br>
Actual

 

2009 <br>
Estimated

 

2010 <br>
Estimated
\end{tabular}

Objective 2.2 Annually, at least 50 percent of employees referred to EAP by their supervisors will improve their postreferral work performance as assessed by their supervisors.

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual | Estimated | Estimated |
| Quality: Percent of employees referred to EAP who improved post-referral work performance as assessed by their supervisors | 70\% | 65\% | 65\% | 65\% |

F10A02.04 DIVISION OF PERSONNEL SERVICES-OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS

| Appropriation Statement: | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Appropriation } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Authorized Positions ........................................ | 12.00 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits .... | 878,555 | 885,463 | 944,029 |
| 04 Travel ......................................................................... |  | 1,000 | 1,500 |
| Total Operating Expenses.. |  | 1,000 | 1,500 |
| Total Expenditure | 878,555 | 886,463 | 945,529 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation. | $\begin{array}{r} 1,061,714 \\ -183,159 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 885,971 \\ -89,508 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure <br> Reimbursable Fund Expenditure | 878,555 | $\begin{array}{r} 796,463 \\ 90,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 853,581 \\ 91,948 \end{array}$ |
| Total Expenditure ........................................ | 878,555 | 886,463 | 945,529 |
| Reimbursable Fund Income: |  |  |  |
| FI0901 Transfer from Employees and Retirees' Health Insurance Non-Budgeted Accounts. |  | 45,000 | 45,974 |
| F10909 Central Collection Unit Fund ..................................... |  | 45,000 | 45,974 |
| Total ................................................................. |  | 90,000 | 91,948 |

# F10A02.06 DIVISION OF CLASSIFICATION AND SALARY - OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS 

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Division of Classification and Salary develops and maintains the State's position classification plan and provides for the development and operation of the State's salary and wage program.

## MISSION

In order to support the recruitment and retention of a competent, motivated workforce that meets the needs of Maryland's citizens for quality public services, the Division of Classification and Salary develops and maintains uniform and competitive classification and compensation systems and assists agencies with system administration. We provide a variety of services which include: maintenance of the classification plan, publication of new and revised class specifications, development of classification standards and guidelines, recommendations for changes to the salary plan, development of salary guidelines and procedures, and technical training of agency staff with responsibilities for classification and compensation activities. We continue to provide leadership, and expert advice and guidance to State personnel officers and managers in developing solutions to classification and compensation issues and problems.

We are a team that believes in accurate, open, honest and continuing communication with our customers and among ourselves. In our actions, we emphasize responsibility, integrity, fairness and sensitivity.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Agency requests for reclassification actions meet the needs of the agency and are completed in a timely fashion.
Objective 1.1 Annually, at least 90 percent of reclassification actions will be completed within 45 days from the date requests are logged-in.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual Estimated | Estimated |  |
| Quality: Percent of actions completed within 45 days | $99.5 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Goal 2. The salary system promotes recruitment and retention of a qualified State workforce.
Objective 2.1 During each fiscal year, no more than 10 percent of the appointments to new and/or salary-adjusted classifications implemented as a result of the Annual Salary Review (ASR) will be above the mid-point of the salary scale.

| Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outcome: Percent of appointments to new and/or salary adjusted <br> classifications implemented as a result of the ASR that are above <br> the mid-point of the salary scale | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | 2009 <br> Estimated | 2010 <br> Estimated |

Note: * In the fiscal year 2007 ASR, new classifications were established for the instructional assistants and forensic scientists. Salary adjustments were made for Maryland State Police, Natural Resources Police, Park Rangers Police, Deputy Fire Marshall, Correctional Officer and Administrative Law Judge classifications.
** There is no ASR package to report for this fiscal year.

F10A02.06 DIVISION OF CLASSIFICATION AND SALARY—OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS

| Appropriation Statement: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Appropriation } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of Authorized Positions ............................................... | 17.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits | 1,063,768 | 1,248,659 | 1,268,070 |
| 04 Travel ............................................................................ | 630 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 13 Fixed Charges ................................................................... |  | 500 | 500 |
| Total Operating Expenses ................................................ | 630 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Total Expenditure | 1,064,398 | 1,250,159 | 1,269,570 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation... | 1,254,684 | 1,324,438 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation.................................... | -155,223 | -74,279 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation........................................... | 1,099,461 | 1,250,159 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction................................ | 35,063 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure | 1,064,398 | 1,250,159 | 1,269,570 |

## DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

## F10A02.07 DIVISION OF RECRUITMENT AND EXAMINATION - OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Division of Recruitment and Examination evaluates applications, analyzes jobs, and creates appropriate testing instruments to provide a ranking system for placement of candidates on eligible lists; conducts tests at various sites throughout the State; and provides consultation, training, and technical assistance to agencies conducting selection processes for unique classifications.

## MISSION

The Recruitment and Examination Division assists Maryland's State agencies by developing, or empowering them to establish interested pools of the best-qualified applicants for filling skilled and professional services vacancies. This is accomplished by providing a variety of services for recruitment, examination, and selection. We share with State agencies our expert knowledge of recruitment and selection processes, understanding of legal and technical standards regarding recruitment and employee selection, and recent renovations and best practices in personnel selection. We add value by helping to staff Maryland State agencies so that they more effectively achieve missions, visions and goals that meet the needs and concerns of Maryland citizens.

The Recruitment and Examination Division supports the merit principles of open competition and communication, equal access, fairness, and customer service and compliance with relevant employment laws. We foster continuous improvement of selection methods and technology to deliver high quality services in a timely and cost effective manner.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Recruit candidates for the Skilled and Professional service with the capabilities to satisfactorily perform the essential work functions for positions used by State Agencies.
Objective 1.1 Annually, at least 95 percent of individuals appointed to vacant positions in the Skilled and Professional service will have successfully completed their six-month probationary period after being hired.
Performance Measures
Outcome: Percent of individuals appointed to vacant positions in
the Skilled and Professional service who successfully completed

their six-month probationary period $\quad$\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
2007 <br>
Actual

$\quad$

2008 <br>
Actual

 

2009 <br>
Estimated

 

2010 <br>
Estimated
\end{tabular}

Goal 2. State Agencies administer recruitment and examination activities under the State Personnel Management System consistent with OPSB guidelines.
Objective 2.1 Every appointing authority in the State Personnel Management System will fully verify the minimum qualifications of at least 85 percent of its Skilled and Professional Service appointments made each fiscal year with the optimum goal being 100 percent full verification.

| Performance Measures | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Estimated | 2009 <br> Estimated | 2010 <br> Estimated |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outcome: Percent of appointments sampled for which agencies <br> performed a complete verification of minimum qualifications | $87 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

Note: * Fiscal year 2008 is the baseline year for this objective.

F10A02.07 DIVISION OF RECRUITMENT AND EXAMINATION—OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS

| Appropriation Statement: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Appropriation } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of Authorized Positions ........................................... | 30.00 | 29.00 | 29.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions. | . 10 | . 10 | 10 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits .. | 1,967,525 | 2,043,897 | 2,181,979 |
| 02 Technical and Special Fees....................................................... | 104,836 | 109,095 | 106,058 |
| 04 Travel | 6,104 | 10,000 | 7,500 |
| 08 Contractual Services. | 86,412 | 42,000 | 41,242 |
| 10 Equipment-Replacement.. |  |  | 7,900 |
| 13 Fixed Charges ....................................................................... | 840 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Total Operating Expenses. | 93,356 | 53,500 | 58,142 |
| Total Expenditure .............................................. | 2,165,717 | 2,206,492 | 2,346,179 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation. | 2,240,565 | 2,393,651 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation.................................. | 34,730 | -187,159 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation....................................... | 2,275,295 | 2,206,492 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction................................ | 109,578 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure................................... | 2,165,717 | 2,206,492 | 2,346,179 |

## F10A02.08 STATEWIDE EXPENSES—OFFICE OF PERSONNEL SERVICES AND BENEFITS

## Program Description:

This program contains statewide expenses that are later distributed to state agencies. The expenses may include cost of living adjustments, annual salary reviews, state law enforcement officers' death benefits and other statewide expense items.

| Appropriation Statement: | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Appropriation } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits ...................................... | 250,000 | 3,547,227 | 12,250,000 |
| 03 Communication... | 1,245,210 |  |  |
| 08 Contractual Services .......................................................... |  | 20,000,000 |  |
| Total Operating Expenses. | 1,245,210 | 20,000,000 |  |
| Total Expenditure | 1,495,210 | 23,547,227 | 12,250,000 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation........................................ | 62,247,658 | 72,163,471 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation.............................. | -59,205,765 | -69,414,711 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation. | 3,041,893 | 2,748,760 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction................................ | 1,546,683 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure... | 1,495,210 | 2,748,760 | 12,250,000 |
| Special Fund Expenditure...................................................... |  | 20,798,467 |  |
| Total Expenditure .... | 1,495,210 | 23,547,227 | 12,250,000 |
| Special Fund Income: |  |  |  |
| F10310 Various State Agencies ... |  | 798,467 |  |
| swf315 Chesapeake Bay 2010 Trust Fund......................... |  | 20,000,000 |  |
| Total ............................................................... |  | 20,798,467 |  |

## F10A05.01 BUDGET ANALYSIS AND FORMULATION - OFFICE OF BUDGET ANALYSIS

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of Budget Analysis (OBA) analyzes State agency and department programs, expenditures, revenues, and performance, and recommends funding allocations to the Secretary of Budget and Management and the Governor. OBA develops the annual operating budget in accordance with both legal requirements and the Governor's priorities. The annual operating budget is presented to the General Assembly for consideration and enactment.


#### Abstract

MISSION

To ensure effective budgeting by allocating state resources in ways that provide the most benefits at the least cost to the citizens of the State.

The Office of Budget Analysis achieves this purpose by analyzing State programs, their expenditures, revenues, and performance; by recommending funding allocations to the Secretary of Budget and Management, State agencies, and the Governor; and by preparing a complete, balanced, and economical budget for the operations of State government in accord with both legal requirements and the Governor's priorities. The Governor presents this budget for the consideration of the General Assembly.


## VISION

The Office of Budget Analysis envisions a Maryland State budget process in which people trust the State budget process, knowing that it is fair, open, and professional, and recognize OBA as the best source for answers, advice, assistance, and leadership regarding fiscal issues.

OBA envisions a State government that contributes to environmentally sound communities whose people are well educated, healthy, safe, and gainfully employed.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Effective budgeting
Objective 1.1 State agencies improve their performance annually as measured by an index of performance measures reported by State agencies and other sources.

| Performance Measures | 2007 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009 <br> Outcome: Index of 30 outcome-related performance measures <br> (eported by State agencies and other sources* |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Actual |  | 2010 <br> Estimated |  |  |
| Estimated |  |  |  |  |

Note: * The Index, an aggregate of 30 performance measures, indicates overall performance of the State in key performance areas. The base value of the Index is 100 . Each year the Index is calculated using the most recent data available. Various time periods are used depending on the availability of data for individual measures, and whether a measure is an average of multiple years of data. Depending on the measure, the data may be reported on a fiscal year, calendar year, or academic year.

## OFFICE OF BUDGET ANALYSIS

## F10A05.01 BUDGET ANALYSIS AND FORMULATION

| Appropriation Statement: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 <br> Appropriation | 2010 <br> Allowance |
| Number of Authorized Positions | 29.80 | 28.80 | 26.80 |
| Number of Contractual Positions. | . 30 |  |  |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits .......................................... | 2,319,481 | 2,544,062 | 2,540,045 |
| 02 Technical and Special Fees.. |  | 10,106 |  |
| 04 Travel | 17,174 | 14,000 | 17,000 |
| 08 Contractual Services. | 23,159 |  |  |
| 13 Fixed Charges ........................................................................ | 4,647 | 2,500 | 4,800 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 44,980 | 16,500 | 21,800 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... | 2,364,461 | 2,570,668 | 2,561,845 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation......................................... | 2,424,448 | 2,650,343 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation.................................... | -58,320 | -79,675 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation.......................................... | 2,366,128 | 2,570,668 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction.................................. | 1,667 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure...................................... | 2,364,461 | 2,570,668 | 2,561,845 |

## F10A06.01 CAPITAL BUDGET ANALYSIS AND FORMULATION - OFFICE OF CAPITAL BUDGETING

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of Capital Budgeting (OCB) develops the annual Capital Budget, prepares the five-year Capital Improvement Program, and strengthens master planning in other State agencies.

## MISSION

The Office of Capital Budgeting assists in the planning of facilities that meets the needs of Maryland's citizens. We accomplish this mission by providing analytical, advisory, and technical services to the Governor, Department Secretary, State agencies, local governments and private organizations in the development of the annual capital budget and five-year capital improvement program.

## VISION

The State's capital investments enhance the ability of public and private organizations to provide their services.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. State-owned capital projects included in the capital budget are consistent with the principles of sound capital budget planning.
Objective 1.1 Annually, $90 \%$ of State-owned capital projects included in the capital budget will be consistent with agency facilities master plans.

| Performance Measures | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> Quality: Percent of State-owned capital projects consistent with <br> agency facilities master plans | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Actual |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | Estimated |
| :---: |

Objective 1.2 Annually, $90 \%$ of State-owned capital projects included in the capital budget will have an approved facility program.

| Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quality: Percent of State-owned capital projects with approved |  |  |  |  |
| facility programs | 2007 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Estimated |
| Actual |  |  |  |  |

## OFFICE OF CAPITAL BUDGETING

## F10A06.01 CAPITAL BUDGET ANALYSIS AND FORMULATION




#### Abstract

MISSION

The mission of the Department of Information Technology (DoIT) is to provide information technology leadership to the Executive Branch agencies and commissions of State government so that key State information technology resources may be effectively managed. This leadership encompasses the establishment and management of: technology standards, long range target technology architecture, best practices for program management, business case process for determining the viability of programs, efficacious procurement of information technology services and products, cross agency collaboration for the mutual benefit of all agencies and industry liaison. It is also the mission of DoIT to identify and promulgate opportunities for State agencies to become more efficient, reduce costs and better serve the citizens of Maryland.


## VISION

DoIT applies best business practice principles to evolve IT systems, projects and contracts that assist all State agencies to improve constituent services and operational efficiencies.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

DoI'T has identified two key outcomes.

1. Effective resource management, and
2. State agency IT systems meet the State Information Technology Master Plan objectives of consolidation, interoperability and standardization.

Goal 1 is directed at DoIT management of one of the State's key resources: information technology. Goal 2 tracks the outcomes of DoIT administration of the information technology functions of the Executive Branch of State government.

Goal 1. Effective Resource Management.
Objective 1.1 All major IT development projects (MITDPs) executed by units of the Executive Branch are successful.

| Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outcome: Percent of MITDPs achieving the business goals defined by <br> the Executive Post-Implementation Review Board | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | 2009 <br> Estimated | 2010 <br> Estimated |
|  | $*$ | $*$ | $80 \%$ | $95 \%$ |

Goal 2. State agency IT systems meet the State Information Technology Master Plan objectives of consolidation, interoperability and standardization.
Objective 2.1 Beginning fiscal year 2010, DoIT increases inter- or intra-agency alignment of IT to State business functions.

| Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Output: Number of agencies participating in inter-agency resource <br> sharing for IT disaster recovery or business continuity | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | 2009 <br> Estimated | 2010 <br> Estimated |
| Number of agencies completing Enterprise Architecture Repository <br> updates by September 30 | $*$ | 4 | 6 |  |

Note: * Data not available.

## SUMMARY OF DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Appropriation } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of Authorized Positions........................................... | 117.00 | 123.00 | 123.00 |
| Total Number of Contractual Positions. | 4.13 | 6.00 | 7.00 |
| Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits. | 9,452,816 | 10,221,063 | 11,061,102 |
| Technical and Special Fees.. | 152,010 | 398,906 | 454,330 |
| Operating Expenses...... | 23,154,768 | 68,565,582 | 58,286,041 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation.............................................. | 13,534,008 | 28,508,906 |  |
| Transfer/Reduction .................................................................. | 371,016 | -6,967,312 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation. | 13,905,024 | 21,541,594 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction................................... | 839,201 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure. | 13,065,823 | 21,541,594 | 33,646,409 |
| Special Fund Expenditure.................................................. | 6,921,382 | 37,530,331 | 16,405,537 |
| Reimbursable Fund Expenditure ...................................... | 12,772,389 | 20,113,626 | 19,749,527 |
| Total Expenditure | 32,759,594 | 79,185,551 | 69,801,473 |

## F50A01.01 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT FUND

## Program Description:

This program identifies a nonlapsing fund administered by the Secretary of the Department of Information Technology. The Fund was established on June 1, 2002, replacing the Information Technology Investment Fund. The Fund is used for two main purposes: (1) to fund State Major Information Technology Development Projects, and (2) to fund educationally related State Information Technology projects, application service provider initiatives, or other Information Technology projects such as pilots and prototypes.

The program is linked to the Managing for Results Goal 1, Objective 2, its measures and strategies of the Department of Information Technology, Executive Direction, and and Managing for Results Goal 1, Objective 1 of the Department of Information Technology, Division of Strategic Planning.

## FISCAL YEAR 2009

## Sources:

Cash Balance in $\mathrm{R} *$ STARS as of June 30, 2008:
Project Obligations.
31,069,838
Due to Agencies for Pay Phone Commissions
15,743
Applied to FY 2009 Projects ..................................................................
2,377,752
Total Cash Balance in $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ STARS as of June 20, 2008.
FY 2009 General Fund Appropriation (net of Cost Containment \#1 and \#2)
FY 2009 Estimated Reversions to Fund Balance (see details)...
8,307,407
FY 2009 Estimated Revenues (see details) .......................................
Subtotal Sources $\qquad$

## Uses:

FY 2009 Estimated Reversions to Fund Balance (see details) Applied to FY 2010.
$1,087,318$
FY 2009 Estimated Revenue Transfers for Approved Project
2006 Carryover Obligations (see details) ........................................
656,621
2007 Carryover Obligations (see details)
17,700,975
2008 Carryover Obligations (see details)
4,068,918
2009 Approved/Pending (see details)
8,744,322
Subtotal Transfers. $\qquad$
Subtotal Available for Projects
Obligation for Estimated Carryovers as of June 30, 2009 :

| 2007 Carryover Obligations (see details) | 3,169,256 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 Carryover Obligations (see detail) | 4,386,752 |
| 2009 Approved/Pending Transfers (see detail) | 2,879,038 |

Subtotal Obligations for Estimated Carryovers as of June 30, 2009.

4,386,752

2009 ...........................................................................................
Subtotal Project Uses $\qquad$
Agency Pay Phone Commission Disbursements. $\qquad$

| $10,435,046$ |
| ---: |
| $42,693,200$ |
| 25,743 |
| $42,718,943$ |
| $1,596,262$ |

FY 2009 Estimated Ending Balance.
1,596,262

* May not add due to rounding


## F50A01.01 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT FUND

FISCAL YEAR 2010

| Sources |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 Estimated Beginning Balance in $\mathrm{R} *$ STARS . |  | 1,596,262 |
| Obligation for Estimated Carryovers as of June 20, 2009 (see details) | 10,435,046 |  |
| 2010 Estimated Reversions to Fund Balance ............................ | 3,200,000 |  |
| 2010 Estimated Revenues (see details) .................................... | 1,200,000 |  |
| 2010 General Fund Allowance ................................................ | 19,550,705 |  |
| Subtotal Revenues ......... |  | 34,385,751 |
| Subtotal Available for Projects ............................................. |  | 35,982,013 |
| Uses: |  |  |
| FY 2010 Estimated Reversions to Fund Balance (see details)....... | 3,200,000 |  |
| 2010 Estimated Transfers for Approved Projects (see details) ....... | 32,456,751 |  |
| Subtotal Transfers ............................................................... |  | 35,656,751 |
| 2010 Estimated Ending Balance ............................................. |  | 325,262 |

## F50A01.01 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT FUND

2010 Estimated2009
Estimated2009
Estimated
Estimated Reversions to Fund Balance
FY 2009 from Completed Projects:
AY 2005 Commitments: DPSCS-NCIC ..... 137,685
AY 2006 Commitments:
DBM-Business Process System ..... 510,712
DBM-Statewide Radio System ..... 172,374
AY 2007 Commitments: DBM-Federal Vendor Offset ..... 266,547
FY 20I0 from Completed Projects:
AY 2007 Commitments:DHMH-Computerized Health Record Information System(CHRIS)
AY 2008 Commitments:DHMH-Computerized Health Record Information System(CHRIS)1,544,587
AY 2009 Commitments:
DHMH-Computerized Health Record Information System(CHRIS)Projected Reversions to Fund Balance ....................$1,087,318$1,655,413$1,087,318$3,200,000
FY 2009 Projected Revenues-Special Funds
Sale, Lease, or Exchange of Communication Sites or Communi-cation Frequencies.11,000
Payphone Commissions10,000
Investment Interest$1,436,147$
1,457,1471,200,000
FY 2009-Revenue Transfers for Approved Projects:
2006 CommitmentsDPSCS-Offender Case (Based) Management System (OCMS) .....656,621

| F50A01.01 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DE | UND 2009 Estimated | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Estimated } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 Commitments |  |  |
| DBM-Major Project Independent Verification and Validations <br> (IV\&Vs). $\qquad$ |  |  |
| DBM-(DolT)-Statewide Personnel System (SPS).................... | 300,000 |  |
| SDAT-Assessment Administration and Valuation System |  |  |
| Comp-Modernized integrated Tax System (MITS) ....................... | 8,392,115 |  |
| DHMH-Computerized Health Record Information System |  |  |
| DHR-Maryland CHESSIE.............. | 176 |  |
| MHEC-College Aid/Student Financial Aid System (SFAS) .......... | 1,358,648 |  |
| DPSCS-Offender Case (Based) Management System (OCMS) ...... | 1,500,000 |  |
| DPSCS-Maryland Automated Fingerprint Information System |  |  |
| DJS-Statewide Education Technology (SET).................................................................... | 74,776 |  |
|  | 17,700,975 |  |
| 2008 Commitments |  |  |
| DBM-(DoIT)-Statewide Personnel System (SPS)... | 150,000 |  |
| SDAT-Assessment Administration and Valuation System |  |  |
| DHMH-Electronic Vital Records System (EVRS) ........................ | 460,529 |  |
| DHR-Maryland CHESSIE Enhancements ................................. | 492,950 |  |
| MHEC-College Aid/Student Financial Aid System (SFAS) .......... | 231,692 |  |
| DBM-MAFIS IV\&V ........................................................... | 44,960 |  |
|  | 4,068,918 |  |
| FY 2009-Approved/Pending: |  |  |
| SBE-Optical Scan Voting System ... | 274,000 |  |
| formally CACS) IV \&V |  |  |
| Comp-Modernized Integrated Tax System (MITS; formally |  |  |
| DoIT-IV\&V Manager. | 95,000 |  |
| DHMH-Electronic Vital Records System (EVRS) | 660,000 |  |
| DHR-CARES Enhancements ......................... | 1,844,360 |  |
| DPSCS-Offender Case (Based) Management System (OCMS)... | 4,870,962 |  |
| DJS-Treatment Assessment, Planning Tracking System (TAPTS). | 800,000 |  |
|  | 8,744,322 |  |
| Obligation for Estimated Carryovers as of June 30, 2009: |  |  |
| 2007 Commitments: |  |  |
| DBM-Major Project Independent Verification and Validations <br> (IV\&Vs) $\qquad$ 26,869 |  |  |
| DHMH-Computerized Health Record Information System |  |  |
| DBM (DoIT)-Statewide Personnel System (SPS)....................... | 1,597,800 | 1,597,800 |
|  | 3,169,256 | 3,169,256 |
| 2008 Commitments: |  |  |
| DBM (DoIT)-Statewide Personnel System (SPS)........ | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| DHMH-Computerized Health Record Information System |  |  |
| SDAT-Assessment Administration and Valuation System |  |  |
| MHEC-College Aid/Student Financial Aid System (SFAS) .......... | 268,308 | 268,308 |
|  | 4,386,752 | 4,386,752 |
| 2008 Commitments: |  |  |
| DPSCS-Offender Case (Based) Management System (OCMS)...... | 329,038 | 329,038 |
| DHMH-Computerized Health Record Information System |  |  |
| DBM (DoIT)-Statewide Personnel System (SPS)....................... | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| DHR-Maryland CHESSIE.................................................... | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| MHEC-College Aid/Student Financial Aid System (SFAS) .......... | 250,000 | 250,000 |
|  | 2,879,038 | 2,879,038 |

## F50A01.01 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT FUND

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Estimated } \end{gathered}$ | $2010$ <br> Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2010-Requested Projects (General Funds) |  |  |
| SBE-Optical Scan Voting System* |  | 2,887,538 |
| COMP-Modernized Integrated Tax System (MITS)**................ |  | 10,496,151 |
| SDAT-Assessment Administration and Valuation System <br> (AAVS) $\qquad$ |  | 2,191,620 |
| DGS-Replacement of Antiquated Systems (RAS) ........................ |  | 600,000 |
| DGS-Procurement System Modernization and Streamlining (PSMS) |  | 600,000 |
| DolT-IV\&V Manager |  | 250,000 |
| DHMH-Electronic Vital Records (EVRS). |  | 770,000 |
| MHEC-MD College Aid Procesing System. |  | 355,396 |
| DJS-Treatment Assessment, Planning and Tracking System (TAPTS)** $\qquad$ |  | 700,000 |
| DSP-Computer Aided Dispatch/Records Management System (CAD/RMS)** $\qquad$ |  | 700,000 |
| Total..................................................................................... |  | 19,550,705 |
| FY 2010-Requested Projects (Special Fund): |  |  |
| COMP-Modernized Integrated Tax System (MITS)..................... |  | 1,149,803 |
| DPSCS-Offender Case (Based) Management System (OCMS)*** |  | 4,521,197 |
| Total...................................................................................... |  | 5,671,000 |
| FY 2010-Requested Projects .................................................... |  | 25,221,705 |

* Includes $\$ 100,000$ for IV \& V.
** Includes $\$ 150,000$ for IV \& V.
*** Includes $\$ 200,000$ for IV \& V.


## F50A01.01 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT FUND

| Appropriation Statement: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 <br> Appropriation | 2010 <br> Allowance |
| 03 Communication. |  |  | 148,400 |
| 04 Travel |  |  | 50,000 |
| 08 Contractual Services |  | 31,639,293 | 21,623,305 |
| 10 Equipment-Replacement |  |  | 50,000 |
| 11 Equipment-Additional |  |  | 3,350,000 |
| Total Operating Expenses.. |  | 31,639,293 | 25,221,705 |
| Total Expenditure. |  | 31,639,293 | 25,221,705 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation. |  | 14,313,407 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation.................................... |  | -6,006,000 |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure. |  | 8,307,407 | 19,550,705 |
| Special Fund Expenditure............................................... |  | 23,331,886 | 5,671,000 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... |  | 31,639,293 | 25,221,705 |

[^0]
## SUMMARY OF OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $2009$ <br> Appropriation | 2010 <br> Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of Authorized Positions............................................ | 117.00 | 123.00 | 123.00 |
| Total Number of Contractual Positions | 4.13 | 6.00 | 7.00 |
| Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits | 9,452,816 | 10,221,063 | 11,061,102 |
| Technical and Special Fees. | 152,010 | 398,906 | 454,330 |
| Operating Expenses | 23,154,768 | 36,926,289 | 33,064,336 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation.............................................. | 13,534,008 | 14,195,499 |  |
| Transfer/Reduction ....................................................................... | 371,016 | -961,312 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation.............................................. | 13,905,024 | 13,234,187 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction..................................... | 839,201 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure ........................................... | 13,065,823 | 13,234,187 | 14,095,704 |
| Special Fund Expenditure. | 6,921,382 | 14,198,445 | 10,734,537 |
| Reimbursable Fund Expenditure ......................................... | 12,772,389 | 20,113,626 | 19,749,527 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... | 32,759,594 | 47,546,258 | 44,579,768 |

## DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

## F50B04.01 STATE CHIEF OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Secretary of Information Technology makes budgetary and priority recommendations to the Secretary and Governor, and plans the effective, comprehensive, and coordinated use of information technology to achieve State objectives. The Secretary provides policy direction for information technology throughout the Executive Branch of State government and manages the Enterprise Information Systems, Application Systems Management, Networks, Strategic Planning, Web Systems and Telecommunications Access of Maryland divisions of the Department of Information Technology (DoIT) and the Major Information Technology Development Projects program. The Finance unit, in addition to processing the budgetary and financial transactions of the DoIT, administers the Major Information Technology Development Project Fund, a non-lapsing fund that enables State agencies to be more effective in their use of information technology.

This program directs the Department in the attainment of the mission, vision, goals, objectives, and performance measures for the Department as a whole.

Goal 1. Effective Resource Management.
Objective 1.1 All major IT development projects (MITDPs) executed by units of the Executive Branch are successful.

| Performance Measures | 2007 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009 <br> Actual | 2010 <br> Actual <br> Output: Number of MITDPs ended in the reporting period <br> Outcome: Percent of MITDPs achieving the business goals defined by <br> Estimated |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Executive Post-Implementation Review Board | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 16 |

Note: * Data not available.

## F50B04.01 STATE CHIEF OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

## Appropriation Statement:

| prition Statement | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 Appropriation | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Authorized Positions ............................................ | 11.00 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions. | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits. | 891,368 | 1,079,149 | 1,266,498 |
| 02 Technical and Special Fees | 47,660 | 40,422 | 45,433 |
| 03 Communication. | 230,738 | 284,500 | 456,521 |
| 04 Travel | 5,237 | 6,000 | 8,000 |
| 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance .............................. | 16,061 |  | -1,211 |
| 08 Contractual Services | 34,371 | 495,960 | 893,460 |
| 09 Supplies and Materials ........................................................ | 1,286 | 140,900 | 45,900 |
| 10 Equipment-Replacement ................................................... | 10,280 | 4,200 | 4,200 |
| 13 Fixed Charges ...................................................................... | 124,529 | 125,164 | 132,717 |
| Total Operating Expenses....................................... | 422,502 | 1,056,724 | 1,539,587 |
| Total Expenditure | 1,361,530 | 2,176,295 | 2,851,518 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation... | 533,577 | 524,752 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation................................... | -43,858 | -3,509 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation. | 489,719 | 521,243 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction............................... | 106,089 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure .................................... | 383,630 | 521,243 | 843,331 |
| Reimbursable Fund Expenditure .................................... | 977,900 | 1,655,052 | 2,008,187 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................ | 1,361,530 | 2,176,295 | 2,851,518 |

Reimbursable Fund Income:
Fl0A01 Department of Budget and Management ........................
F50905 Assessments for Telecommunications Expenses .............
Total..

| 977,900 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 977,900 | 105,000 |
| $1,550,052$ |  |

## F50B04.02 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SYSTEMS

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Enterprise Information Services Division (EIS) provides enterprise architecture, application security, service desk, and database and operations management for both the State Financial Management Information Systems (FMIS) and desktop applications of multiple agencies statewide. The EIS Division also manages enterprise architecture and the network and security operations for local area networks in Baltimore and Annapolis.

## MISSION

The mission of Enterprise Information Services (EIS) is to provide leadership in information technology services by supporting the State's technology goals with a proactive, customer service focus. EIS provides a full range of IT services for the Executive Office of the Governor (EOG), the Department of Budget and Management (DBM), and the Department of Information Technology (DoIT). EIS is tasked with ensuring that IT solutions fully support business processes, that the solutions result in the greatest benefit for the State, and that the chosen solutions are cost-effectively developed, implemented and maintained.

## VISION

EIS collaborates with EOG, DBM, and DoIT to provide secure information technology services essential to effectively and efficiently supporting the business needs of the State. EIS also seeks interoperable solutions to meet statewide data requirements.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Effective Resource Management.
Objective 1.1 Infrastructure that supports critical business processes, and that is directly operated and maintained by the EIS Division and used by DoIT staff, will experience no substantial disruptions during regular business hours.

| Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outcome: Number of substantial disruptions during regular business <br> hours due to unavailability of infrastructure maintained by EIS | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | 2009 <br> Estimated | 2010 <br> Estimated |
| Percent of time FMIS systems are available during scheduled <br> availability hours | $99 \%$ | $99 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

Objective 1.2 All major IT development projects (MITDPs) executed by units of the Executive Branch are successful.


Note: * Data not available.

## F50B04.02 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SYSTEMS (Continued)

Goal 2. State agency IT systems meet the State Information Technology Master Plan objectives of consolidation, interoperability and standardization.
Objective 2.1 Beginning fiscal year 2009 all eligible new systems implemented and managed by EIS comply with applicable State IT security standards.
Performance Measures

Outcome: Percent of existing systems implemented and managed by $\quad$\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
2007 <br>
Actual

$\quad$

2008 <br>
Actual

 

2009 <br>
Estimated that are compliant with applicable State IT security standards
\end{tabular}

Objective 2.2 At least $85 \%$ of surveyed respondents are satisfied with the performance of the Department of Information Technology's Statewide Service Desk.

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performance Measures <br> Input: Number of respondents to Service Desk Survey <br> Output: Number of respondents to survey who are very satisfied or <br> satisfied with the service received from the Service Desk Staff | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br> Actual <br> 1,089 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> Estimated <br> Outimated |  |
| Outcome: Percent of respondents to survey who are very satisfied or <br> satisfied with the service received from the Service Desk Staff | $*$ | 1,040 | 1,020 | 1,200 |

Note: * Data not available.

## F50B04.02 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SYSTEMS—OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

| Appropriation Statement: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2009}{\text { Appropriation }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of Authorized Positions ........................................... |  | 38.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions........................................... |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 01 Salari | , Wages and Fringe Benefits.. | 3,018,598 | 2,819,019 | 3,101,019 |
| 02 Techn | cal and Special Fees. |  | 25,000 | 25,241 |
| 03 Comn | nication. | 3,628,100 |  |  |
| 04 Trave |  | 23,865 | 16,500 | 12,800 |
| 08 Contr | ctual Services. | 1,734,997 | 449,680 | 399,060 |
| 09 Suppl | s and Materials .. | 3,370 |  | 6,000 |
| 10 Equip | ent-Replacement .................................................... | 443,835 |  | 65,500 |
| 13 Fixed | Charges ..................................................................... | 1,191 | 800 | 825 |
| Total Operating Expenses. |  | 5,835,358 | 466,980 | 484,185 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................ |  | 8,853,956 | 3,310,999 | 3,610,445 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation...................................... |  | 3,208,385 | 3,055,746 |  |
|  |  | -30,254 | -439,067 |  |
| Total | General Fund Appropriation ....................................... | 3,178,131 | 2,616,679 |  |
| Less: | General Fund Reversion/Reduction................................. | 9,026 |  |  |
|  | Net General Fund Expenditure .................................... | 3,169,105 | 2,616,679 | 3,048,760 |
|  | Special Fund Expenditure............................................ | 99,660 |  |  |
|  | Reimbursable Fund Expenditure ..................................... | 5,585,191 | 694,320 | 561,685 |
|  | Total Expenditure ............................................. | 8,853,956 | 3,310,999 | 3,610,445 |

## Special Fund Income:

F10308 PBX User Fees
99,660


|  | 160,000 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5,585,191$ | 162,000 | 173,707 |
|  | 362,320 | 374,852 |
|  | 10,000 | 13,126 |
| $5,585,191$ | 694,320 | 561,685 |

## F50B04.03 APPLICATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Application Systems Management (ASM) Division designs, develops, implements, maintains, and operates a fully integrated statewide FMIS consisting of accounting, budgeting, financial management, personnel, timekeeping, position control, purchasing, and fixed asset functions. FMIS provides secure, current, complete, and consistent information to assist policy makers, program executives, and financial managers in decision-making and accurate assessment of the financial position and results of operation of their respective agencies.

## MISSION

The mission of the ASM Division is to develop, implement, maintain and operate the secure automated FMIS supporting statewide administrative processes. The FMIS and adjunct applications and operations facilitate entry and access to management, financial and human resource data at statewide and agency levels.

## VISION

The ASM Division envisions statewide administrative systems meeting the needs of system users, who are partners in determining system design and business requirements. Decision-makers will have ready access to current, complete, and consistent information. Systems will process administrative transactions in a timely and efficient manner to meet business needs, statutory and other requirements. Through professionalism and technical competence, the ASM Division will promote open communication and "user friendly" operations.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Effective Resource Management.
Objective 1.1 Customer satisfaction with the information technologies managed by ASM increases and at least 85 percent of respondents to the annual ASM MFR survey of systems users rate the availability and accuracy of ASM systems as "strongly agree" or "agree" or "acceptable."

| Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Input: Number of respondents to ASM MFR Survey <br> Output: Number of respondents to ASM MFR Survey who are very <br> satisfied or satisfied with the service received from the ASM Staff | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> Estimated <br> Quality: Percent of respondents to survey who rate the availability and <br> accuracy of ASM systems as "strongly agree" or "agree" or <br> "acceptable" |

Goal 2. State agency IT systems meet the State Information Technology Master Plan objectives of consolidation, interoperability and standardization.
Objective 2.1 By March 2010 implementation of Phase One of a new statewide personnel system will begin in a pilot agency.

| Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quality: Percent of all eligible State agencies having implemented |  |  |  |  |
| Phase One of a new statewide personnel system | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | 2009 <br> Estimated | 2010 <br> Estimated |
| Outcome: Phase One of a new statewide personnel system is <br> implemented in the pilot agency | $*$ | $*$ | $8 \%$ |  |

Note: * Data not available.

## F50B04.03 APPLICATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT-OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

| Appropriation Statement: | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 <br> Appropriation | 2010 <br> Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Authorized Positions . | 29.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions. | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits ......................................... | 2,363,722 | 2,329,037 | 2,636,817 |
| 02 Technical and Special Fees | 56,426 | 207,164 | 136,299 |
| 04 Travel | 3,492 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 08 Contractual Services | 4,206,015 | 4,373,205 | 4,739,500 |
| 10 Equipment-Replacement. | 684 |  |  |
| 13 Fixed Charges ........................................................................ | 6 |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenses. | 4,210,197 | 4,379,205 | 4,745,500 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... | 6,630,345 | 6,915,406 | 7,518,616 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation......................................... | 6,543,339 | 6,645,443 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation.................................... | 292,616 | -506,385 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation.......................................... | 6,835,955 | 6,139,058 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction................................ | 671,227 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure....................................... | 6,164,728 | 6,139,058 | 6,674,267 |
| Reimbursable Fund Expenditure ................................... | 465,617 | 776,348 | 844,349 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... | 6,630,345 | 6,915,406 | 7,518,616 |
| Reimbursable Fund Income: |  |  |  |
| F10A02 DBM-Office of Personnel Services and Benefits.......... |  | 140,000 | 210,000 |
| F50901 Transfer from Employees and Retirees' Health Insurance Non-Budgeted Accounts. | 330,617 | 336,348 | 334,349 |
| F50904 Various State Agencies............................................. | 135,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Total .................................................................... | 465,617 | 776,348 | 844,349 |

## F50B04.04 NETWORKS DIVISION

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Networks Division is responsible for the State telecommunications high-speed network, a shared resource providing affordable and cost effective high-speed bandwidth to public sector entities in all geographical areas of the State. In addition, the Division is responsible for the administration of State capital investments in wireless telecommunications and voice systems. Program resources coordinate joint network build-outs and consolidation of services, and provide oversight for proper network growth in the State public sector.

## MISSION

The mission of the Networks Division is to develop and administer affordable and cost-effective high-speed land and wireless networks for public sector entities in all geographical areas of the State. To accomplish this, the Networks Division provides engineering and strategic planning expertise to State agency and local jurisdictions requesting access to State-operated fiber and wireless telecommunications systems.

## VISION

The Networks Division envisions a stable infrastructure for equitable and appropriate access to information, unconstrained by geography, supporting Maryland government agencies and educational institutions.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Effective Resource Management.
Objective 1.1 Annually, all State agency requests for transport or Internet services through DoIT are fulfilled using networkMaryland ${ }^{T M}$.

| Performance Measures | 2007 <br> Outcome: Percent of all State agency requests for transport or Internet <br> services through DoIT that are fulfilled using networkMaryland | 2008 <br> Actual | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | 2009 |
| ---: |
| Estimated | | 2010 |
| ---: |
| Estimated |

Objective 1.2 Infrastructure that supports critical State business processes, and that is directly operated and maintained by the Networks Division, will experience no substantial disruptions during regular business hours.
Performance Measures

| Outcome: The number of substantial disruptions to critical State |
| :--- |
| business processes during regular business hours due to the |
| unavailability of infrastructure maintained by the Networks Division |

Actual \begin{tabular}{rrrrr}
2007 <br>
Actual

 

2008 <br>
Estimated

 

2009 <br>
Estimated
\end{tabular}

## F50B04.04 NETWORKS DIVISION—OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

| Appropriation Statement: | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Appropriation } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Authorized Positions ........................................ | 11.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions...................................... | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits. | 861,943 | 1,467,580 | 1,436,763 |
| 02 Technical and Special Fees............................................. | 40,005 | 126,320 | 146,395 |
| 03 Communication. | 1,670,567 | 7,785,600 | 8,517,750 |
| 04 Travel. | 2,894 | 3,000 | 4,000 |
| 06 Fuel and Utilities. | 23,075 | 25,000 | 81,800 |
| 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance .......................... | 1,904 | 3,841 | 1,937 |
| 08 Contractual Services ................................................................. | 2,205,952 | 4,292,860 | 4,385,620 |
| 09 Supplies and Materials .................................................... | 1,500 | 10,000 | 6,400 |
| 10 Equipment--Replacement ................................................... | 208,682 | 190,000 | 170,420 |
| 13 Fixed Charges ..................................................................... | 653 | 6,049 | 25,777 |
| Total Operating Expenses............................................. | 4,115,227 | 12,316,350 | 13,193,704 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................. | 5,017,175 | 13,910,250 | 14,776,862 |
| Special Fund Expenditure. | 192,650 | 253,149 | 311,706 |
| Reimbursable Fund Expenditure .................................. | 4,824,525 | 13,657,101 | 14,465,156 |
| Total Expenditure .............................................. | 5,017,175 | 13,910,250 | 14,776,862 |
| Special Fund Income: |  |  |  |
| F50308 PBX User Fees |  | 88,416 | 168,055 |
| F50309 Network Maryland User Fees .............................. | 192,650 | 164,733 | 143,651 |
| Total.. | 192,650 | 253,149 | 311,706 |
| Reimbursable Fund Income: |  |  |  |
| F50905 Assessments for Telecommunications Expenses ............ | 4,824,525 | 13,657,101 | 14,465,156 |

## F50B04.05 STRATEGIC PLANNING

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Strategic Planning is responsible for developing, implementing and maintaining a statewide oversight program for information technology (IT) procurement, project management and policies and planning. The program supports DoIT customers by establishing and managing statewide IT and telecommunication contracts, overseeing the State's inventory of major IT projects, and establishing and monitoring compliance with statewide policies and strategic plans.

## MISSION

The mission of Strategic Planning is to oversee the planning, funding and execution of technology services in State agencies, ensuring effective management of State IT resources through collaboration, consolidation and strategic planning.

## VISION

Strategic Planning will provide the integrated framework through which State agencies can meet citizen service delivery needs by the efficient and effective application of IT resources. We envision a thoughtfully considered, pragmatically applied and well-executed State information technology program.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Effective Resource Management.
Objective 1.1 All major IT development projects (MITDPs) executed by units of the Executive Branch are successful.

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Measures | Actual | Actual | Estimated | Estimated |
| Input: Number of Executive Branch MITDPs in the reporting period | * | 48 | 46 | 45 |
| Output: Percent of MITDPs requiring rebaselining of scope | * | * | 50\% | 66\% |
| Percent of MITDPs with a documented change process to manage scope | e | * | 90\% | 95\% |
| Percent of MITDPs requiring rebaselining of schedule | * | * | 50\% | 75\% |
| Percent of MITDPs requiring rebaselining of budget | * | * | 66\% | 75\% |
| Percent of MITDPs that are rebaselined and adhere to change management procedures | * | * | 40\% | 50\% |
| Percent of MITDPs on schedule as of the end of the reporting period | * | * | 60\% | 65\% |
| Percent of MITDPs with a deviation of more than five percent or $\$ 250,000$ from baseline project scope or cost | * | * | 15\% | 10\% |
| Quality: Percent of IT solicitations approved by the DoIT that meet all the criteria for a well documented and approved contract | 100\% | 80\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Outcome: Percent of State agencies that comply with the four tier PM oversight methodology when managing MITDPs | * | 77\% | 60\% | 75\% |

Goal 2. State agency IT systems meet the State Information Technology Master Plan objectives of consolidation, interoperability and standardization.
Objective 2.1 Beginning fiscal year 2010 DoIT increases inter- or intra-agency alignment of IT to State business functions.

| Performance Measures <br> Output: Number of agencies participating in inter-agency resource <br> sharing for IT disaster recovery or business continuity | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | 2009 <br> Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 <br> Estimated |  |  |  |
| Number of agencies completing Enterprise Architecture <br> Repository updates by September 30 | $*$ | 1 | 4 |

Note: * Data not available.

## F50B04.05 STRATEGIC PLANNING-OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

## Appropriation Statement:

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Appropriation }}{2009}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Authorized Positions ............................................ |  | 16.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions........................................... |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| 01 Salari | , Wages and Fringe Benefits ....................................... | 1,432,607 | 1,512,036 | 1,552,538 |
| 02 Techn | cal and Special Fees. |  |  | 100,962 |
| 04 Tr08 Co13 Fix |  | 31,451 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
|  | tual Services.... | 1,228,081 | 3,162,118 | 1,765,250 |
|  | Charges ................................................................................ | 18,000 | 21,000 | 26,000 |
| Total Operating Expenses. |  | 1,277,532 | 3,189,118 | 1,797,250 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................ |  | 2,710,139 | 4,701,154 | 3,450,750 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation.. |  | 1,526,071 | 1,627,746 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation................................... |  | 113,486 | -7,397 |  |
| Total Less: | General Fund Appropriation....................................... | 1,639,557 | 1,620,349 |  |
|  | General Fund Reversion/Reduction............................... | 30,704 |  |  |
|  | Net General Fund Expenditure.................................... | 1,608,853 | 1,620,349 | 1,580,600 |
|  | Special Fund Expenditure............................................ | 182,130 |  |  |
|  | Reimbursable Fund Expenditure ................................... | 919,156 | 3,080,805 | 1,870,150 |
|  | Total Expenditure ............................................ | 2,710,139 | 4,701,154 | 3,450,750 |

Special Fund Income:
swf302 Major Information Technology Development
Project Fund.....................................................
182,130

Reimbursable Fund Income:


| 891,490 |  | 100,000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 27,666 | $3,011,618$ | 900,000 |
|  | 69,187 | 69,188 |
|  |  | 300,962 |
|  |  | 300,000 |
| 919,156 | $-3,080,805$ | 200,000 |

## F50B04.06 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This program identifies defined, current Major IT Development Projects (MITDPs) in the Department of Information Technology (DoIT).

## MISSION

The mission of the MITDP program is to manage defined, current major information technology development projects executed by the DoIT separately from departmental information technology operations and maintenance activities.

## VISION

As the State leader in information technology management, the Department will execute current major information technology development projects efficiently and effectively.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Effective Resource Management.
Objective 1.1 AII major IT development projects (MITDPs) executed by DoIT are successful.

| Performance Measures | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> Estimated | 2010 <br> Estimated |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Input: Number of DoIT MITDPs in the reporting period | $*$ | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Output: Percent of active DoIT MITDPs in the reporting period: | $*$ | $*$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| With a documented change process to manage scope | $*$ | $*$ | $66 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| Requiring rebaselining of scope | $*$ | $*$ | $66 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Requiring rebaselining of schedule | $*$ | $*$ | $66 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Requiring rebaselining of budget | $*$ | $*$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| That are rebaselined and adhere to change management procedures | $*$ | $*$ | $66 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| On schedule as of the end of the reporting period | $*$ | $*$ | $33 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

Note: * Data not available.

## F50B04.06 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS_OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

| Appropriation Statement: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2009}{\text { Appropriation }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Allowance } \end{gathered}$ |
| 08 Contractual Services .................................................................. | 232,960 | 3,994,634 | 200,000 |
| Total Operating Expenses .......................... | 232,960 | 3,994,634 | 200,000 |
| Total Expenditure ... | 232,960 | 3,994,634 | 200,000 |
| Special Fund Expenditure <br> Reimbursabie Fund Expenditure | 232,960 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,744,634 \\ 250,000 \end{array}$ | 200,000 |
| Total Expenditure | 232,960 | 3,994,634 | 200,000 |
| Special Fund Income: |  |  |  |
| F10301 Collection Fees. |  | 3,744,634 | 200,000 |
|  | 232,960 |  |  |
| Total. | 232,960 | 3,744,634 | 200,000 |

## Reimbursable Fund Income:

F50A01 Major Information Technology Development Projects .. 250,000

## DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

## F50B04.07 WEB SYSTEMS

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Web Systems Division manages the State web portal (maryland.gov) and designs, develops, integrates and maintains DBM and DoIT web sites and selected statewide web applications and systems. In collaboration with State leaders, the Division develops and administers web standards and procedures, providing a consistent and reliable web presence for citizens and visitors to access Maryland State government data and online services as well as local and federal government information. The Division is the central point of contact for State agency web site developers and managers. In addition, the Division operates and enhances the DBM and DoIT web sites and develops secure and effective Internet and Intranet applications.

## MISSION

The mission of the Web Systems Division is to develop and manage an effective and efficient web technologies framework so that Maryland government information is readily accessible to citizens and agencies. Within the framework, the Division develops and operates departmental web communities and secure applications.

## VISION

The Web Systems Division envisions a superior State web environment providing citizens with easy access to Maryland government data and State agencies with secure and reliable statewide web applications.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Effective Resource Management.
Objective 1.1 Customer satisfaction with the information technologies managed by the Division increases Maryland Portal utilization by at least five percent over the previous year for each of the next two years.
$\left.\begin{array}{crrrr}\text { Performance Measures } \\ \text { Quality: The percent of respondents to a public survey rating the ease }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r}2007 \\ \text { Actual }\end{array}\right)$

Goal 2. State agency IT systems meet the State Information Technology Master Plan objectives of consolidation, interoperability and standardization.
Objective 2.1 By fiscal year 2011 all public web sites administered by units of the Executive Branch comply with published State online search standards.
Performance Measures
Outcome: Percent of public web sites administered by units of the
Executive Branch that comply with published State online

search standards $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
2007 <br>
Actual

$\quad$

2008 <br>
Actual

 

2009 <br>
Estimated

 

2010 <br>
Estimated
\end{tabular}

Objective 2.2 By fiscal year 2009 fifty percent of unique visitors to the Maryland.gov Portal access Maryland gov online services.

| Performance Measures | 2007 <br> Actual | 2008 <br> Actual | 2009 <br> Estimated | 2010 <br> Estimated |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| who access Maryland.gov online services | $*$ | $25 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $55 \%$ |

Note: * Data not available.
** Increase between 2007 and 2008 due to increased use of statewide search services and upgrade to analytics software reporting tool that has improved capabilities to distinguish a unique visitor.

## F50B04.07 WEB SYSTEMS—OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

| Appropriation Statement: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 <br> Appropriation | 2010 <br> Allowance |
| Number of Authorized Positions | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits .......................................... | 559,017 | 584,858 | 619,846 |
| 04 Travel | 1,982 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| 08 Contractual Services. | 1,007,459 | 1,722,500 | 1,274,400 |
| 10 Equipment-Replacement | 169,912 | 25,000 | 50,000 |
| 13 Fixed Charges ......................................................................... | 1,137 |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenses ................................................... | 1,180,490 | 1,752,000 | 1,328,900 |
| Total Expenditure ................................................ | 1,739,507 | 2,336,858 | 1,948,746 |
| Original General Fund Appropriation......................................... | 1,722,636 | 2,341,812 |  |
| Transfer of General Fund Appropriation.................................... | 39,026 | -4,954 |  |
| Total General Fund Appropriation.......................................... | 1,761,662 | 2,336,858 |  |
| Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction................................ | 22,155 |  |  |
| Net General Fund Expenditure...................................... | 1,739,507 | 2,336,858 | 1,948,746 |

## DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

## F50B04.09 TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACCESS OF MARYLAND

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Division, in consultation with the Governor's Advisory Board for Telecommunication Relay, administers the Telecommunications Access of Maryland (TAM) program that provides cost-effective, unrestricted 24 hours a day, 365 days a year telecommunication relay service for Maryland's hearing and speech disabled citizens.

## MISSION

The mission of the TAM Division is to administer a dual party relay service that facilitates communication through the use of a telephone between Maryland citizens with speech or hearing loss and anyone in the world. We are committed to providing services that are responsive, dependable, and professional as we work cooperatively with customers, stakeholders and contractors to meet the needs of Maryland Government and its citizens.

## VISION

The TAM Division envisions unrestricted telephonic access to all Maryland citizens with or without hearing or speech disabilities through the public switched telephone network.

## KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Effective Resource Management.
Objective 1.1 Annually, ninety-five percent of calls coming into the dual party telephone relay service will adhere to the "Call Quality Standard" as established by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).
Performance Measures
Quality: The annual percent of calls coming into the dual party
telephone relay service that adhere to the "Call Quality Standard"

established by the FCC $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
2007 <br>
Actual

$\quad$

2008 <br>
Actual

 

2009 <br>
Estimated

 

2010 <br>
Estimated
\end{tabular}

## F50B04.09 TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACCESS OF MARYLAND—OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

| Appropriation Statement: | 2008 <br> Actual | 2009 <br> Appropriation | $2010$ <br> Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Authorized Positions ............................................... | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Number of Contractual Positions............................................... | . 13 |  |  |
| 01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits .......................................... | 325,561 | 429,384 | 447,621 |
| 02 Technical and Special Fees ....................................................... | 7,919 |  |  |
| 03 Communication. | 17,335 | 15,297 | 17,597 |
| 04 Travel | 16,318 | 13,000 | 17,000 |
| 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance | 3,645 | 17,655 | 1,937 |
| 08 Contractual Services. | 5,791,029 | 9,684,600 | 9,686,226 |
| 09 Supplies and Materials ............................................................. | 4,965 | 1,500 | 5,000 |
| 10 Equipment-Replacement | 10,795 | 600 | 6,250 |
| 13 Fixed Charges....................................................................... | 36,415 | 38,626 | 41,200 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 5,880,502 | 9,771,278 | 9,775,210 |
| Total Expenditure ............................................... | 6,213,982 | 10,200,662 | 10,222,831 |
| Special Fund Expenditure............................................. | 6,213,982 | 10,200,662 | 10,222,831 |
| Special Fund Income: |  |  |  |
| F50306 Public Telephone Utilities...................................... | 6,213,982 | 10,200,662 | 10,222,831 |

Budgetary, Personnel and Information Technology

|  | FY 2008 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 20100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification Title | Positions | Expenditure | Positions | Appropriation | Positions | Allowance |


| f10a01 Office of the Secretary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f10a0101 Executive Direction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| secy dept budget mgmt | 1.00 | 162,825 | 1.00 | 166,082 | 1.00 | 166,082 |
| dep sec dept budget mgmt | 1.00 | 126,405 | 1.00 | 128,990 | 1.00 | 128,990 |
| div dir ofc atty general | 1.00 | 116,376 | 1.00 | 121,005 | 1.00 | 121,005 |
| asst attorney general vij | 2.00 | 169,677 | 2.00 | 191,566 | 2.00 | 193,409 |
| prgm mgr senior i | 1.00 | 94,757 | 1.00 | 98,518 | 1.00 | 99,467 |
| administrator vii | 1.00 | 88,475 | 1.00 | 96,808 | 1.00 | 96,808 |
| asst attorney general vi | 2.00 | 181,466 | 1.00 | 87,204 | 1.00 | 88,039 |
| prg analyst iii bdgt mgt | 1.00 | 58,656 | 1.00 | 66,627 | 1.00 | 66,627 |
| exec assoc iii | 1.00 | 61,778 | 1.00 | 64,233 | 1.00 | 64,853 |
| management assoc | 1.00 | 48,573 | 1.00 | 50,492 | 1.00 | 50,974 |
| TOTAL f10a0101* | 12.00 | 1,108,988 | 11.00 | 1,071,525 | 11.00 | 1,076,254 |
| f10a0102 Division of Finance and Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| prgm mgr senior iii | 1.00 | 115,442 | 1.00 | 117,751 | 1.00 | 117,751 |
| administrator vii | 1.00 | 88,797 | 1.00 | 92,316 | 1.00 | 93,203 |
| admin prog mgr ii | 1.00 | 70,166 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| administrator ifi | . 00 | 18,628 | 1.00 | 69,224 | 1.00 | 69,224 |
| fiscal services admin i | . 00 | 35 | . 00 | 0 | .00 | 0 |
| accountant lead specialized | 1.00 | 45,840 | . 00 | 0 | .00 | 0 |
| accountant lead | . 00 | 3,450 | 1.00 | 63,117 | 1.00 | 63,117 |
| accountant ii | 3.00 | 153,469 | 2.00 | 110,668 | 2.00 | 110,668 |
| admin officer iii | 1.00 | 22,666 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| admin officer ii | 1.00 | 40,847 | 1.00 | 44,254 | 1.00 | 44,254 |
| admin officer i | . 00 | 22,513 | 1.00 | 45,146 | 1.00 | 45,565 |
| admin spec iij | 1.00 | 44,082 | 1.00 | 43,985 | 1.00 | 44,393 |
| admin spec ii | . 00 | 16,283 | 1.00 | 36,710 | 1.00 | 36,710 |
| office secy ii | 1.00 | 16,745 | .00 | 0 | .00 | 0 |
| TOTAL f10a0102* | 11.00 | 658,963 | 10.00 | 623,171 | 10.00 | 624,885 |
| f10a0103 Central Collection Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| asst attorney general viii | 1.00 | 102,108 | 1.00 | 106,159 | 1.00 | 106,159 |
| prgm mgr senior ii | 1.00 | 95,194 | 1.00 | 108,208 | 1.00 | 108,208 |
| asst attorney general vi | 2.00 | 169,744 | 2.00 | 175,581 | 2.00 | 176,368 |
| prgm mgr iv | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 96,808 | 1.00 | 96,808 |
| fiscal services admin iv | 1.00 | 70,258 | 1.00 | 84,089 | 1.00 | 84,089 |
| prgm mgr iii | 2.00 | 153,733 | 2.00 | 156,694 | 2.00 | 157,558 |
| fiscal services admin ii | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 62,820 | 1.00 | 63,426 |
| administrator iji | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 66,627 | 1.00 | 66,627 |
| dp functional analyst superviso | 1.00 | 65,947 | .00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| fiscal services admin i | 2.00 | 105,033 | 1.00 | 59,421 | 1.00 | 59,421 |
| staff atty ii attorney genral | 4.00 | 132,840 | 4.00 | 227,132 | 4.00 | 228,287 |
| accountant supervisor i | 1.00 | 20,376 | 1.00 | 47,033 | 1.00 | 47,033 |

PERSONNEL DETAIL
Budgetary, Personnel and Information Technology

|  | FY 2008 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 20100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification Title | Positions | Expenditure | Positions | Appropriation Positions | Allowance |  |

Symbol
f10a0103 Central Collection Unit


| 1.00 | 58,293 | 1.00 | 63,018 | 1.00 | 63,626 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 46,511 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| 1.00 | 75,075 | 1.00 | 56,216 | 1.00 | 56,756 |
| 1.00 | 57,909 | 1.00 | 55,245 | 1.00 | 55,245 |
| 1.00 | 56,260 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| 2.00 | 44,706 | 2.00 | 98,382 | 2.00 | 98,832 |
| 1.00 | 52,725 | 1.00 | 54,809 | 1.00 | 54,809 |
| 1.00 | 46,204 | 1.00 | 48,012 | 1.00 | 48,012 |
| 1.00 | 50,765 | 1.00 | 38,594 | 1.00 | 38,594 |
| 2.00 | 100,271 | 2.00 | 104,233 | 2.00 | 104,739 |
| 3.00 | 121,055 | 3.00 | 141,543 | 3.00 | 141,543 |
| 1.00 | 47,665 | 1.00 | 49,548 | 1.00 | 50,020 |
| 5.00 | 228,875 | 5.00 | 236,223 | 5.00 | 237,042 |
| 1.00 | 48,117 | 1.00 | 50,015 | 1.00 | 50,015 |
| 3.00 | 133,947 | 4.00 | 173,605 | 4.00 | 173,605 |
| 1.00 | 43,920 | 1.00 | 45,634 | 1.00 | 46,059 |
| 3.00 | 109,936 | 3.00 | 122,273 | 3.00 | 122,273 |
| 5.00 | 253,374 | 8.00 | 324,018 | 8.00 | 324,018 |
| 1.00 | 42,015 | 1.00 | 43,652 | 1.00 | 44,057 |
| 4.00 | 105,325 | 4.00 | 144,745 | 4.00 | 146,179 |
| 14.00 | 453,719 | 9.00 | 350,247 | 9.00 | 350,580 |
| 3.00 | 74,841 | 3.00 | 99,946 | 3.00 | 99,946 |
| 2.00 | 64,765 | 8.00 | 243,975 | 8.00 | 243,975 |
| 2.00 | 85,828 | 2.00 | 87,170 | 2.00 | 87,978 |
| 1.00 | 39,679 | 1.00 | 32,091 | 1.00 | 32,091 |
| 5.00 | 168,985 | 5.00 | 184,339 | 5.00 | 185,499 |
| 1.00 | 34,066 | 1.00 | 34,113 | 1.00 | 34,113 |
| 3.00 | 115,787 | 3.00 | 120,469 | 3.00 | 120,836 |
| 2.00 | 75,157 | 2.00 | 78,070 | 2.00 | 78,413 |
| 10.00 | 301,633 | 10.00 | 321,110 | 10.00 | 322,942 |
| 1.00 | 33,858 | 2.00 | 51,819 | 2.00 | 52,287 |
| 1.00 | 22,986 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| 3.00 | 81,078 | 3.00 | 88,923 | 3.00 | 89,194 |
| 1.00 | 27,233 | 1.00 | 28,513 | 1.00 | 28,766 |
| 7.00 | 129,316 | 5.00 | 139,670 | 5.00 | 139,670 |
| 1.00 | 29,967 | 10.00 | 246,040 | 10.00 | 246,465 |
| 111.00 | 4,377,079 | 122.00 | 5,146,832 | 122.00 | 5,162,163 |

f10a0104 Division of Procurement Policy and Administration

| prgm mgr senior iii | 1.00 | 97,195 | 1.00 | 101,048 | 1.00 | 101,048 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| prgm mgr senior ii | 1.00 | 98,283 | 1.00 | 102,180 | 1.00 | 102,180 |
| admin prog mgr iv | 1.00 | 86,303 | 1.00 | 89,717 | 1.00 | 89,717 |
| prgm mgr iv | 2.00 | 166,446 | 2.00 | 178,148 | 2.00 | 178,148 |
| dp asst director ii | 1.00 | 70,858 | 1.00 | 73,674 | 1.00 | 73,674 |

Budgetary, Personnel and Information Technology

|  | FY 2008 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 20100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification Title | Positions | Expenditure | Positions | Appropriation | Positions | Allowance |


| f10a0104 Division of Procurement Policy and Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| administrator $v$ | 2.00 | 135,915 | 3.00 | 222,339 | 3.00 | 223,097 |
| procurement analyst iii bdgt \& | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 71,019 | 1.00 | 71,706 |
| prg analyst ifi bdgt \& mgt | 3.00 | 183,084 | 3.00 | 202,451 | 3.00 | 202,451 |
| procurement analyst ii bdft \& m | 5.00 | 254,615 | 6.00 | 356,536 | 6.00 | 357,713 |
| internal auditor lead | 1.00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| administrator i | 1.00 | 63,830 | 1.00 | 59,609 | 1.00 | 59,609 |
| procurement analyst i bdgt mg | 2.00 | 101,980 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| admin officer ii | 1.00 | 41,490 | 1.00 | 43,448 | 1.00 | 43,448 |
| admin officer $\mathfrak{i}$ | 1.00 | 47,217 | 1.00 | 49,080 | 1.00 | 49,080 |
| admin spec iji | 1.00 | 49,571 | 1.00 | 50,563 | 1.00 | 50,563 |
| admin spec ii | 1.00 | 39,411 | 1.00 | 40,939 | 1.00 | 40,939 |
| TOTAL f10a0104* | 24.00 | 1,436,198 | 24.00 | 1,640,751 | 24.00 | 1,643,373 |
| TOTAL f10a01 ** | 158.00 | 7,581,228 | 167.00 | 8,482,279 | 167.00 | 8,506,675 |

f10a02 Office of Personnel Services and Benefits
f10a0201 Executive Direction

| exec viii | 1.00 | 109,890 | 1.00 | 117,416 | 1.00 | 117,416 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| prgm mgr senior iii | 1.00 | 33,595 | 1.00 | 96,351 | 1.00 | 97,278 |
| prgm mgr senior i | 2.00 | 180,597 | 3.00 | 245,108 | 3.00 | 247,374 |
| prgm mgr iv | 1.00 | 41,213 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| prgm mgr ii | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 52,950 | 1.00 | 52,950 |
| hr analyst supv budget \& mgmt | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 69,893 | 1.00 | 70,569 |
| administrator ii | 1.00 | 60,612 | 1.00 | 63,018 | 1.00 | 63,626 |
| personnel administrator i | 1.00 | 60,612 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| equal opportunity officer iii | 1.00 | 35,260 | 1.00 | 62,522 | 1.00 | 63,123 |
| hr analyst budget \& mgmt | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 59,609 | 1.00 | 59,609 |
| personnel officer iii | 1.00 | 54,161 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| admin officer ii | 1.00 | 44,741 | 1.00 | 49,006 | 1.00 | 49,474 |
| admin spec iii | 1.00 | 43,920 | 1.00 | 45,634 | 1.00 | 46,059 |
| personnel associate ii | 1.00 | 41,631 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| exec assoc i | 2.00 | 44,537 | 2.00 | 84,823 | 2.00 | 84,823 |
| management associate | 1.00 | 8,312 | 1.00 | 41,950 | 1.00 | 42,338 |
| admin aide | 1.00 | 36,648 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| TOTAL f10a0201* | 16.00 | 795,729 | 15.00 | 988,280 | 15.00 | 994,639 |
| f10a0202 Division of Employee Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| prgm mgr senior iii | 1.00 | 102,922 | 1.00 | 107,006 | 1.00 | 107,006 |
| prgm mgr senior $\mathbf{i}$ | 2.00 | 179,078 | 2.00 | 186,169 | 2.00 | 186,169 |
| prgm mgr i | 1.00 | 75,245 | 1.00 | 78,208 | 1.00 | 78,208 |
| administrator iii | 2.00 | 112,180 | 2.00 | 116,624 | 2.00 | 116,624 |
| comm hlth educator $v$ | 1.00 | 67,220 | 1.00 | 69,893 | 1.00 | 70,569 |
| personnel administrator ii | 3.00 | 168,788 | 3.00 | 176,419 | 3.00 | 178,021 |
| accountant supervisor i | 1.00 | 54,590 | 1.00 | 56,750 | 1.00 | 56,750 |


|  | FY 2008 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 20100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification Title | Positions | Expenditure | Positions | Appropriation Positions | Allowance |  |


| f10a0202 Division of Employee Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| financial compliance auditor su | 1.00 | 62,370 | 1.00 | 64,847 | 1.00 | 64,847 |
| administrator i | 1.00 | 58,440 | 1.00 | 60,757 | 1.00 | 60,757 |
| financial compliance auditor le | 1.00 | 58,440 | 1.00 | 60,757 | 1.00 | 60,757 |
| accountant ii | 1.00 | 36,833 | 1.00 | 38,594 | 1.00 | 38,594 |
| admin officer iiii | 4.00 | 176,749 | 5.00 | 232,358 | 5.00 | 233,900 |
| financial compliance auditor ii | 1.00 | 50,287 | 1.00 | 38,594 | 1.00 | 38,594 |
| admin officer ii | 1.00 | 46,275 | 1.00 | 48,091 | 1.00 | 48,549 |
| admin officer i | 2.00 | 95,334 | 2.00 | 99,095 | 2.00 | 99,095 |
| admin spec ii | 12.00 | 432,162 | 12.00 | 464,323 | 12.00 | 467,329 |
| fiscal accounts technician ii | 2.00 | 76,170 | 1.00 | 37,046 | 1.00 | 37,385 |
| personnel associate ii | 3.00 | 114,752 | 3.00 | 104,975 | 3.00 | 104,975 |
| office secy ii | 1.00 | 37,431 | 1.00 | 38,879 | 1.00 | 38,879 |
| data entry operator ii | 1.00 | 21,258 | 1.00 | 24,621 | 1.00 | 24,621 |
| office clerk ii | 1.00 | 23,696 | 1.00 | 25,050 | 1.00 | 25,487 |
| TOTAL f10a0202* | 43.00 | 2,050,220 | 43.00 | 2,129,056 | 43.00 | 2,137,116 |


| f10a0204 Division of Employee Relations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| prgm mgr senior i | 1.00 | 92,090 | 1.00 | 90,431 | 1.00 | 90,431 |
| prgm mgr ii | 1.00 | 67,006 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| hr analyst supv budget mgmt | 1.00 | 67,220 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| hr analyst adv/lead budget mg | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 69,999 | 1.00 | 69,999 |
| personnel administrator i | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 63,018 | 1.00 | 63,626 |
| administrator i | 1.00 | 49,737 | 1.00 | 51,703 | 1.00 | 52,197 |
| hr analyst budget mgmt | 1.00 | 57,340 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| personnel officer iii | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 56,306 | 1.00 | 56,306 |
| admin officer ii | 1.00 | 50,845 | 1.00 | 52,858 | 1.00 | 53,364 |
| dp production control spec ii | 1.00 | 37,038 | 1.00 | 38,471 | 1.00 | 38,471 |
| personnel associate ini | 4.00 | 177,309 | 4.00 | 184,227 | 4.00 | 185,085 |
| personnel associate ii | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 43,251 | 1.00 | 43,251 |
| admin aide | 1.00 | 42,402 | 1.00 | 38,065 | 1.00 | 38,065 |
| TOTAL f10a0204* | 12.00 | 640,987 | 13.00 | 688,329 | 13.00 | 690,795 |
| f10a0206 Division of Classification and Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| prgm mgr senior i | 1.00 | 55,053 | 1.00 | 90,431 | 1.00 | 90,431 |
| personnel administrator iv | 2.00 | 77,286 | 2.00 | 133,283 | 2.00 | 133,283 |
| procurement analyst supv bdgt | 1.00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| hr analyst supv budget \& mgmt | 3.00 | 168,087 | 3.00 | 207,401 | 3.00 | 208,014 |
| hr analyst adv/lead budget \& mg | 2.00 | 124,148 | 1.00 | 64,233 | 1.00 | 64,853 |
| hr analyst budget \& mgmt | 3.00 | 190,111 | 4.00 | 214,689 | 4.00 | 215,642 |
| personnel officer ii | 2.00 | 84,266 | 1.00 | 49,859 | 1.00 | 49,859 |
| personnel officer i | 1.00 | 14,984 | 1.00 | 46,342 | 1.00 | 46,773 |
| personnel specialist | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 37,977 | 1.00 | 37,977 |
| admin spec iii | 1.00 | 31,985 | 1.00 | 41,632 | 1.00 | 42,017 |

Budgetary, Personnel and Information Technology

|  | FY 2008 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 20100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification Title | Positions | Expenditure | Positions | Appropriation Positions | Allowance |  |


| f10a0206 Division of Classificati office secy ii | and Salary $1.00$ | 37,666 | 1.00 | 38,530 | 1.00 | 38,883 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| total f10a0206* | 17.00 | 783,586 | 16.00 | 924,377 | 16.00 | 927,732 |
| f10a0207 Division of Recruitment and Examination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| prgm mgr senior i | 1.00 | 55,912 | 1.00 | 89,580 | 1.00 | 90,439 |
| administrator iv | 2.00 | 142,173 | 2.00 | 147,823 | 2.00 | 149,237 |
| hr analyst supv budget mgmt | 4.00 | 259,884 | 4.00 | 253,645 | 4.00 | 254,321 |
| hr analyst adv/lead budget mg | 5.00 | 216,099 | 4.00 | 249,379 | 4.00 | 251,169 |
| hr analyst budget mgmt | 6.50 | 320,405 | 5.00 | 272,603 | 5.00 | 274,715 |
| personnel officer ii | . 00 | 0 | 2.00 | 93,828 | 2.00 | 94,264 |
| admin officer ii | 1.00 | 33,777 | 1.00 | 47,204 | 1.00 | 47,648 |
| emp selection spec i | . 50 | 20,102 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| personnel officer i | 1.00 | 46,704 | 1.00 | 48,543 | 1.00 | 48,543 |
| admin spec iii | 1.00 | 42,234 | 1.00 | 44,389 | 1.00 | 44,389 |
| personnel associate iii | 1.00 | 44,326 | 1.00 | 46,055 | 1.00 | 46,055 |
| personnel technician iii | 1.00 | 43,120 | 1.00 | 44,801 | 1.00 | 45,218 |
| personnel associate ii | 2.00 | 103,901 | 3.00 | 128,967 | 3.00 | 129,761 |
| personnel technician ii | 2.00 | 79,281 | 2.00 | 82,695 | 2.00 | 83,459 |
| personnel associate i | 2.00 | 36,207 | 1.00 | 34,518 | 1.00 | 34,518 |
| TOTAL f10a0207* | 30.00 | 1,444,125 | 29.00 | 1,584,030 | 29.00 | 1,593,736 |
| TOTAL f10a02 ** | 118.00 | 5,714,647 | 116.00 | 6,314,072 | 116.00 | 6,344,018 |

f10a05 Office of Budget Analysis
f10a0501 Budget Analysis and Formulation

| exec viii | 1.00 | 126,921 | 1.00 | 133,112 | 1.00 | 133,112 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| prgm mgr senior iii | 1.00 | 103,909 | 1.00 | 108,039 | 1.00 | 109,082 |  |
| prgm mgr senior ii | 1.00 | 100,176 | 1.00 | 104,151 | 1.00 | 104,151 |  |
| prgm mgr senior i | 1.00 | 88,657 | 1.00 | 92,164 | 1.00 | 92,164 |  |
| administrator iv | . 00 | 17,349 | 1.00 | 58,786 | . 00 | 0 | Transfer to D10A01 |
| administrator ini | . 80 | 54,792 | . 80 | 55,379 | . 80 | 55,379 |  |
| administrator iii | 1.00 | 71,976 | 1.00 | 74,725 | . 00 | 0 | Transfer to D10A01 |
| supv budget examiner | 4.00 | 294,991 | 4.00 | 333,538 | 4.00 | 335,931 |  |
| prgm analyst supv bdgt mgmt | 1.00 | 84,016 | 1.00 | 87,334 | 1.00 | 87,334 |  |
| budget analyst iv operating | 6.00 | 348,070 | 4.00 | 289,738 | 4.00 | 290,526 |  |
| budget analyst iiio operating | 4.00 | 186,576 | 6.00 | 341,115 | 6.00 | 342,236 |  |
| administrator ii | 1.00 | 32,464 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |  |
| budget analyst ii operating | 5.00 | 215,587 | 5.00 | 249,507 | 5.00 | 249,507 |  |
| research statistician ii | 1.00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |  |
| admin spec ii | 1.00 | 41,252 | 1.00 | 42,858 | 1.00 | 43,255 |  |
| exec assoc i | 1.00 | 14,236 | 1.00 | 40,411 | 1.00 | 40,411 |  |
| TOTAL f10a0501* | 29.80 | 1,780,972 | 28.80 | 2,010,857 | 26.80 | 1,883,088 |  |
| TOTAL f10a05 ** | 29.80 | 1,780,972 | 28.80 | 2,010,857 | 26.80 | 1,883,088 |  |

Budgetary, Personnel and Information Technology
FY 2008 FY 2008 FY 2009 FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 20100
Classification Title Positions Expenditure Positions Appropriation Positions Allowance Symbol
f10a06 Office of Capital Budgeting
f10a0601 Capital Budget Analysis and Formulation

| exec vii | 1.00 | 106,028 | 1.00 | 111,394 | 1.00 | 111,394 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| supv budget examiner | 2.00 | 177,593 | 2.00 | 184,632 | 2.00 | 186,406 |
| budget analyst lead, capital pr | 2.00 | 106,686 | 1.00 | 85,017 | 1.00 | 85,017 |
| obs-budget analyst lead, capital | 1.00 | 74,425 | 1.00 | 77,359 | 1.00 | 77,359 |
| budget analyst iii capital prog | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 57,203 | 1.00 | 57,203 |
| budget analyst ii capital progr | 4.00 | 169,521 | 4.00 | 197,351 | 4.00 | 197,351 |
| obs-executive associate i | 1.00 | 50,845 | 1.00 | 52,858 | 1.00 | 53,364 |
| admin aide | 1.00 | 39,399 | 1.00 | 41,694 | 1.00 | 41,694 |
| TOTAL f10a0601* | 12.00 | 724,497 | 12.00 | 807,508 | 12.00 | 809,788 |
| TOTAL f10a06 ** | 12.00 | 724,497 | 12.00 | 807,508 | 12.00 | 809,788 |

Budgetary, Personnel and Information Technology

|  | FY 2008 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 20100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification Title | Positions | Expenditure | Positions | Appropriation Positions | Allowance |  |

f50b04 Office of Information Technology
f50b0401 State Chief of Information Technology

| exec aide xi | 1.00 | 94,225 | 1.00 | 166,082 | 1.00 | 166,082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| exec ix | . 00 | 59,715 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| prgm mgr senior iv | 1.00 | 11,628 | 1.00 | 78,233 | 1.00 | 78,233 |
| principal counsel | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 110,125 | 1.00 | 111,189 |
| prgm mgr senior i | 1.00 | 92,970 | 1.00 | 96,658 | 1.00 | 97,588 |
| asst attorney general vi | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 86,377 | 1.00 | 86,377 |
| dp programmer analyst manager | 1.00 | 77,286 | 1.00 | 80,333 | 1.00 | 80,333 |
| administrator iii | 1.00 | 58,255 | 1.00 | 60,563 | 1.00 | 60,563 |
| administrator i | 2.00 | 111,537 | 2.00 | 115,959 | 2.00 | 117,074 |
| accountant ii | 1.00 | 44,072 | 1.00 | 46,699 | 1.00 | 47,135 |
| admin officer i | 2.00 | 57,103 | 2.00 | 95,064 | 2.00 | 95,492 |
| fiscal accounts clerk superviso | 1.00 | 32,579 | 1.00 | 32,091 | 1.00 | 32,091 |
| TAL f50b0401* | 11.00 | 639,370 | 13.00 | 968,184 | 13.00 | 972,157 |


| f50b0402 Enterprise Information Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dp asst director iv | 1.00 | 97,506 | 1.00 | 101,373 | 1.00 | 101,373 |
| dp asst director iii | 3.00 | 185,631 | 3.00 | 261,097 | 3.00 | 261,824 |
| dp asst director ii | 1.00 | 99,576 | 1.00 | 86,516 | 1.00 | 87,343 |
| exec asst iii exec dept | 1.00 | 77,232 | 1.00 | 93,194 | 1.00 | 93,194 |
| prgm mgr iii | 1.00 | 78,634 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| administrator $v$ | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 70,339 | 1.00 | 70,339 |
| data base spec manager | 1.00 | 73,728 | 1.00 | 76,637 | 1.00 | 77,367 |
| dp asst director i | 1.00 | 120,085 | 2.00 | 158,501 | 2.00 | 159,231 |
| dp technical support spec manag | 1.00 | 78,757 | 1.00 | 81,864 | 1.00 | 81,864 |
| exec asst ii exec dept | . 00 | 12,226 | . 00 | 0 | .00 | 0 |
| administrator iii | 2.00 | 123,299 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| computer network spec supr | 1.00 | 73,843 | 1.00 | 76,750 | 1.00 | 76,750 |
| data base spec supervisor | 1.00 | 73,148 | 1.00 | 76,035 | 1.00 | 76,757 |
| it systems technical spec | 1.00 | 75,575 | 2.00 | 125,486 | 2.00 | 126,141 |
| computer network spec lead | 2.00 | 84,742 | 1.00 | 63,523 | 1.00 | 64,136 |
| data base spec ii | 1.00 | 59,375 | 1.00 | 61,729 | 1.00 | 61,729 |
| dp functional analyst superviso | 1.00 | 32,396 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| dp quality assurance spec | 1.00 | 65,947 | 1.00 | 68,568 | 1.00 | 69,231 |
| dp technical support spec ii | 3.00 | 177,370 | 3.00 | 170,032 | 3.00 | 171,221 |
| administrator ii | 1.00 | 35,890 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| computer network spec ii | 3.00 | 154,858 | 4.00 | 222,290 | 4.00 | 222,840 |
| dp staff spec | 1.00 | 55,111 | 1.00 | 57,295 | 1.00 | 57,845 |
| administrator i | 1.00 | 16,383 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| computer network spec i | 1.00 | 63,672 | 1.00 | 65,568 | 1.00 | 65,568 |
| dp functional analyst ii | 3.00 | 197,627 | 5.00 | 288,305 | 5.00 | 289,441 |
| admin officer iii | 1.00 | 50,765 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |
| admin officer iii | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 49,859 | 1.00 | 49,859 |
| dp functional analyst i | 1.00 | 35,926 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 |

PERSONNEL DETAIL
Budgetary, Personnel and Information Technology

|  | FY 2008 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 20100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification Title | Positions | Expenditure | Positions Appropriation Positions Allowance | Symbol |  |  |



PERSONNEL DETAIL
Budgetary, Personnel and Information Technology

|  | FY 2008 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 20100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clasification Title | Positions | Expenditure | Positions | Appropriation | Positions | Allowance |

f50b0405 Strategic Planning

| dp asst director i | 2.00 | 150,267 | 2.00 | 156,191 | 2.00 | 156,191 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| dp programmer analyst manager | 1.00 | 71,653 | 1.00 | 74,499 | 1.00 | 74,499 |
| administrator iv | 1.00 | 23,207 | 1.00 | 49,638 | 1.00 | 49,638 |
| administrator iii | 3.00 | 178,705 | 3.00 | 185,792 | 3.00 | 186,381 |
| administrator iii | .00 | 0 | 1.00 | 46,563 | 1.00 | 46,563 |
| computer network spec supr | 1.00 | 53,851 | .00 | 0 | .00 | 0 |

## TOTAL f50b0405*

$16.00 \quad 1,117,769 \quad 16.00 \quad 1,177,926 \quad 16.00 \quad 1,182,396$
f50b0407 Web Systems
dp asst director iv
webmaster supr
dp programmer analyst lead/adva
dp functional analyst lead
dp programmer analyst ii
webmaster ii
webmaster i

| 1.00 | 86,988 | 1.00 | 90,431 | 1.00 | 90,431 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 70,405 | 1.00 | 73,208 | 1.00 | 73,912 |
| 1.00 | 45,716 | 1.00 | 46,563 | 1.00 | 46,563 |
| 1.00 | 58,904 | 1.00 | 61,239 | 1.00 | 61,239 |
| 1.00 | 56,705 | 1.00 | 58,949 | 1.00 | 58,949 |
| 1.00 | 44,540 | 1.00 | 57,840 | 1.00 | 57,840 |
| 1.00 | 59,000 | 1.00 | 61,342 | 1.00 | 61,932 |
| 7.00 | 422,258 | 7.00 | 449,572 | 7.00 | 450,866 |

f50b0409 Telecommunications Access of Maryland

| prgm mgr iii | 1.00 | 70,181 | 1.00 | 72,975 | 1.00 | 73,678 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| administrator i | . 00 | 0 | 1.00 | 58,487 | 1.00 | 58,487 |
| administrator i | 2.00 | 101,188 | 2.00 | 105,989 | 2.00 | 106,569 |
| admin spec iii | 1.00 | 37,944 | 1.00 | 39,415 | 1.00 | 39,778 |
| obs-admin spec i | 1.00 | 31,521 | 1.00 | 33,313 | 1.00 | 33,762 |
| TOTAL f50b0409* | 5.00 | 240,834 | 6.00 | 310,179 | 6.00 | 312,274 |
| TOTAL f50b04 ** | 117.00 | 7,207,160 | 123.00 | 8,217,218 | 123.00 | 8,250,748 |


[^0]:    Special Fund Income: swf302 Major Information Technology Development Project Fund $\qquad$

