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## SECTION 1: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Commitment to Equal Employment Opportunity

The State of Maryland recognizes and honors the value and dignity of every employee and understands the importance of providing its employees with a fair opportunity to pursue their careers in an environment free of discrimination or any form of prohibited harassment. The State is committed to providing a work environment free from discrimination on the basis of age, ancestry, color, creed, gender identity or expression, genetic information, marital status, mental or physical disability, national origin, religious affiliation, belief or opinion, race, sex, sexual orientation, or any other non-merit factor.

In 2007, Governor O'Malley reaffirmed the State's commitment to equal employment opportunity by updating and re-issuing the Code of Fair Employment Practices as an Executive Order. A copy of the code is provided on pages $74-80$. The Executive Order clearly states that State employment decisions shall be based on merit and fitness alone and that agency leadership is expected to take personal responsibility for ensuring that equal employment opportunity is a reality in State government.

Governor O'Malley's vision of "One Maryland" has been a primary focus as we strive to unite and include all State employees in the decisions we make - without regard to race, class, religion, gender, age, etc. - to ensure a fair and equitable system where all State employees can pursue their careers without fear of discrimination or harassment, job applicants have an equal opportunity to compete for State employment and individuals requesting services from the State are provided those services without discrimination. Our goal is to have a work force that is reflective of our State.

The purpose of this report is to provide the Governor and the Joint Committee on Fair Practices and State Personnel Oversight with the information necessary to effectively assess the State's Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Program.

## EEO Highlights in 2009

The first section of the report reviews the organization of the State's EEO program and identifies the goals and objectives of the Office of the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator.

In calendar year 2009, the Office of the Statewide EEO Coordinator engaged State agencies and the State's EEO professionals in a number of activities designed to move the State toward the vision set forth in Governor O'Malley's executive order. The office continues to focus on training, outreach, diversity awareness, and compliance.

During the 2009 legislative session the Department of Budget and Management proposed and the General Assembly enacted Senate Bill 91 to provide that information obtained as part of a Whistleblower investigation be treated with the same assurance of confidentiality that currently exists for other investigations conducted by the State's Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator.

Through enhanced training and outreach activities, the office strove to enhance the skills and knowledge of the State's EEO professionals. Specific activities included:

- Refresher training on EEO laws, diversity, and investigative techniques for State EEO and Fair Practices Officers;
- Ongoing guidance and training to Americans with Disabilities Act coordinators in partnership with the Department of Disabilities.
- Improvement of the DBM/EEO website to include EEO best practices, laws, forms, and resources.
- The EEO Connection - a quarterly EEO newsletter that includes EEO law updates, noteworthy court rulings, a diversity corner, and community awareness information.
- The first ever EEO Symposium was attended by approximately 60 EEO Professionals from various State agencies. The symposium offered training on various topics to expand their knowledge and experience, strengthen partnerships, and promote teamwork and professionalism among the professionals. Senator Catherine Pugh and Delegate Adrienne Jones of the Joint Committee on Fair Practices and Personnel Oversight spoke to the professionals about the Committee's commitment to promoting the State's EEO Program and its support for the office.
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ Annual Meet and Greet Event hosted by the Department of Housing and Community Development. About 40 EEO Professionals attended this half-day event which focused on promoting diversity and inclusion in the State's work force. Guest speakers included staff advisors from the Governor's Office on Community Initiatives (GOCI). Representatives from the Commission on Asian Pacific American Affairs, the Commission on Hispanic Affairs, the Commission on Middle Eastern American Affairs, the Commission on African Affairs, and the Maryland Council for New Americans spoke about their efforts to improve and promote diversity in State government and to increase employment opportunities for minorities in our work force.
- Training and instruction on the latest assistive technology and website accessibility technology available to individuals with disabilities.
- Ongoing training and updates on the recent changes to the American with Disabilities Act.
- Conducting fifteen agency compliance review audits. Additional audits will be conducted in calendar 2010. Efforts are being made to improve administration of the program by disseminating agency specific performance reports to the head of each agency. Comparing agency specific data with the statewide trends to provide greater context on the outcomes.
- During calendar 2010, the office intends to continue training for EEO professionals across the State, conducting compliance audits of agency EEO efforts to ensure consistency with State and federal reporting requirements, producing and distributing educational material to ensure that all employees fully understand their rights and protections, and investigating agency appeal and Whistleblower complaints.


## Data Analysis

Detailed EEO statistical and demographic data for fiscal 2009 and trends since fiscal 2004 are presented in Sections 3-10. Notable table findings and trends are discussed briefly below.

## Work force Diversity

Maryland State government employs individuals from a wide range of racial and ethnic groups. For example, African-Americans make up $41 \%$ of the State government work force (Exhibit 1). African-Americans represent a larger share of the State government's work force compared to Maryland's Civilian Labor Force (CLF), while Other minorities are almost equally represented (Exhibit 2). The percentage of non-whites in the work force has increased from about $46 \%$ in fiscal 2004 to $48 \%$ in fiscal 2009 while the percentage of females remained the same at 56\% in fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2009 (Exhibit 3).

During fiscal 2009, new hires continued to add to the diversity of the work force with women accounting for $53 \%$ of new hires, minorities accounting for more than half, and African-Americans accounting for 47\% (Exhibits 4 and 5).

Approximately $42 \%$ of State workers under the age of 50 are African-American. That percentage declines among older workers, with African-Americans making up $36 \%$ of workers between 50-59, and less than 30\% of workers over the age of 60 (Exhibit 6). Females represent the majority of State employees in each age category except age 70 and over.

## Advancement Opportunities

In fiscal 2009, women and minorities generally received promotions or upward reclassifications at rates consistent with their share of the work force. Women - who represent $56 \%$ of the work force - accounted for $55 \%$ of all promotions and $52 \%$ of reclassifications. African-Americans - who represent 41\% of the work force - accounted for $54 \%$ of the promotions and $31 \%$ of the reclassifications (Exhibit 7).

## Disciplinary Personnel Transactions

African-Americans were over-represented with respect to suspensions (65\%) and terminations (60\%) (Exhibit 8).

## Disparities Remain

While women and minorities are well represented in State government, significant disparities remain between the genders and races with respect to employment responsibilities and pay. Whites, for example, hold $72 \%$ of positions classified as Officials and Administrators and $55 \%$ of positions classified as Professionals. African-Americans in contrast hold slightly less than half of the lower salaried Administrative Support positions (49\%) and 68\% of the Service/Maintenance positions. With respect to special appointments, 61\% are white and 28\% are African-American.

Women are also under-represented in certain job categories. They are less likely than men to serve as Protective Service Workers (11\% of sworn officers and 39\% of non-sworn officers), but are far more likely than men to serve in Administrative Support positions (90\%). Despite holding $56 \%$ of State positions, women fill only $46 \%$ of positions categorized as Officials and Administrators.

The disparities in job categories contribute to the difference in the salaries paid to men and women and whites and minorities. Exhibit 9 depicts the fiscal 2009 salaries for men and women and whites and minorities. Male employees were paid an average of \$5,814 more than female employees in fiscal 2009 while Whites were paid an average of $\$ 7,543$ more than African-Americans and $\$ 2,153$ more than other minorities. The gap between the average salaries of Whites and African-Americans closed slightly between FY 2004 and FY 2009, while the gap between men and women grew slightly (Exhibits 10 and 11). Over the same period, the average salary for other minorities grew much closer to that of Whites and now exceeds the average State salary of \$49,939.

The greatest impediment to closing the salary gap for African-Americans is their disproportionate share of low paying jobs. African-Americans hold more than half of positions classified as Grade 8 or below. The grade with the largest number of African-American workers is Grade 13 which pays a maximum of $\$ 52,866$ while the grade with the largest number of white workers is grade 16 which pays a maximum of $\$ 64,257$.

The State has enhanced its recruitment of African-Americans by expanding current relationships with Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) and professional organizations and job fairs that may be sources of African-American and other diversity recruitment in order to develop a talent pipeline and increase the applicant flow of qualified people of color.

## Other Data

Other data included in the report focus on the State's success in meeting requests for reasonable accommodations for disabled workers, trends in discrimination complaints, and employment at public higher education institutions. Notable findings include:

- 151 reasonable accommodation requests were received from State employees and applicants for State employment in fiscal 2009. 88\% of the requests were granted.
- 384 complaints of discrimination were received statewide in fiscal 2009. Race and Sex/Gender were the most common basis for complaints. A "no cause" finding was found in 96 cases and a "probable cause" finding was found in 32 cases.
- Complaints of discrimination decreased from 431 in fiscal 2008, to 384 in fiscal 2009.
- The vast majority of employees at the University System of Maryland (59\%) and St. Mary's College of Maryland (81\%) were white. Almost 65\% of faculty and research positions in the System were filled by whites. Both the System and St. Mary's College of Maryland employed slightly more women than men.
- African-Americans composed the majority of workers (69\%) at Morgan State University and (68\%) Baltimore City Community College. Women held 51\% of the positions at Morgan State University and $61 \%$ of the positions at Baltimore City Community College.


## Statewide Work Force by Race



| $\square$ White |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ African American |
| $\square$ Asian |
| $\square$ Native Hawaiian \& Pacific Islander |
| $\square$ American Indian/Alaska Native |
| $\square$ Hispanic/Latino |
| $\square$ Multiracial/ Balance |



Exhibit 3


## Percentage of Women as New Hires



Exhibit 5



## Exhibit 7






Exhibit 11
Average Salaries of Minorities Trail Average Salaries of Whites But the Gap is Closing


## SECTION TWO

## INTRODUCTION

## INTRODUCTION

## POLICY STATEMENT

It is the policy of the State of Maryland to prohibit discrimination in any personnel action concerning any employee or applicant for employment on the basis of age; ancestry; color; creed; genetic information; gender identity and expression; marital status; mental or physical disability; national origin; race; religious affiliation; belief; or opinion; sex; or sexual orientation. Governor O'Malley has supported the State of Maryland's Equal Employment Opportunity Program by strengthening the State's commitment to providing a work environment free from discrimination and issuing a revised Executive Order to protect all State employees from discrimination. The Equal Employment Opportunity Program ensures that State government maintains a qualified diverse work force and investigates and resolves allegations of discrimination in the work place or hiring practices.

Recognizing that the State's work force should reflect the diversity of the population it serves, the State's Executive Branch and independent agencies consistently strive to attract and select highly qualified individuals from the most diverse group possible. The State recognizes and honors the value and dignity of every employee and is committed to providing a work environment that complies with applicable federal and State laws and guidelines, and is free of discrimination, harassment and intolerance.

The State of Maryland's Equal Employment Opportunity Policy is administered in accordance with the following principles:

- Discrimination by State managers, supervisors and employees will not be tolerated. The recruitment, selection, appointment, compensation, assignment, promotion, transfer, discipline, and discharge of State employees shall be made without regard to age; ancestry; color; creed; genetic information; gender identity and expression, marital status; mental or physical disability; national origin; race; religious affiliation, belief or opinion; sex; or sexual orientation.
- Harassment of employees for any reason prohibited by law, including sexual harassment, is employment discrimination, and will not be tolerated.
- Cabinet officials, department heads, and heads of independent agencies in State government are expected to take appropriate measures to ensure that their agencies reflect the State's policy regarding unfair employment practices.
- Agency directors shall ensure that their designated Fair Practices Officers are known to all employees in their respective agencies, and that these officers have support and responsibilities consistent with relevant provisions of State law and the Governor's Executive Order - Code of Fair Employment Practices.


## SECTION 2: INTRODUCTION

- Employees are encouraged to resolve complaints of discrimination at the lowest possible level by contacting their Equal Employment Opportunity or Americans with Disabilities Act Officers. Investigations of complaints of discrimination or harassment will be conducted promptly and thoroughly, and appropriate disciplinary action will be taken against any employee violating State EEO policies.
- Employees will not be subjected to any form of retaliation for filing a complaint of discrimination or harassment, participating in an investigation of a complaint of discrimination or harassment, or for objecting to a discriminatory or other illegal or inappropriate action or practice.
- The Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management is responsible for the oversight of the State of Maryland's EEO Policy. The Statewide EEO Coordinator is responsible for ensuring statewide compliance with the State's EEO policies and practices and administering the State's EEO Program.


## SECTION 2: INTRODUCTION

## THE OFFICE OF THE STATEWIDE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COORDINATOR

In accordance with Section 5-206 of the State Personnel and Pensions Article, the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator administers and enforces the State's EEO Program. The Coordinator performs under the direction and supervision of the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management. The Coordinator is responsible for implementing the State's EEO laws, policies and procedures and serves as the State's point of contact regarding EEO related concerns.

The Office of the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator (OSEEOC) administers and enforces the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity program and coordinates the activities of the agency Fair Practices Officers, EEO Officers, and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Officers in accordance with the Governor's Code of Fair Employment Practices and Subtitle 5 of the State Personnel and Pensions Article. In addition to reviewing EEO appeals, the Coordinator's office also conducts investigations of discrimination, unfair employment practices, and Whistleblower complaints.

The OSEEOC is responsible for the following specific tasks:

- Monitoring and evaluating activities, policies and practices of Maryland State government to ensure they are in compliance with State and federal employment provisions and the Governor's Executive Order on Fair Employment Practices;
- Reviewing and investigating appeals of decisions in EEO complaints filed against Executive Branch agencies, including agencies with independent personnel systems and the University System of Maryland, and, as designee of the Secretary, for investigating whistleblower complaints filed against such agencies, with the exception of those filed against the Department of Budget and Management;
- Making certain that State employees understand the State's EEO policies and their rights and responsibilities;
- Coordinating and implementing training on such topics as ADA, Sexual Harassment, Diversity, and EEO laws;
- Preparing the Annual Report on the State's EEO Program;
- Monitoring discrimination complaints against State agencies filed with the U. S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Maryland Commission on Human Relations, and other external enforcement agencies;
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- Conducting Agency Audit Compliance Reviews;
- Assisting agency Fair Practices, Equal Employment Opportunity and Americans with Disabilities Act Officers with enforcement efforts;
- Partnering with the Maryland Department of Disabilities to provide ongoing education and assistance to the ADA Officers;
- Providing consultation and technical assistance to agency Fair Practices, EEO, and ADA officers, as well as other agency officials;
- Preparing and distributing reports in compliance with other State and federal requirements.
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## SECTION 3: STATEWIDE WORK FORCE STATISTICS

The Fiscal Year 2009 Annual EEO Report contains data on the total number of State employees in the Executive Branch of State government.

Highlights of the demographic information presented in the following charts include:

- The size of Maryland State government's work force decreased from 56,225 individuals in FY 2004 to 55,643 in FY 2009.
- While the number of Whites in the work force has declined, minority groups have increased.
- Maryland has a racially diverse work force with African-Americans holding more than $40 \%$ of State positions and workers identifying themselves as belonging to other minority groups holding another 7\% of positions.
- Females represent 56\% of the State's work force in fiscal 2009, unchanged from 2004.
- Over $70 \%$ of the State's work force in fiscal 2009 was over the age of 40 .


## Work force by Age
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Statewide Work Force by Race



TOTAL FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORK FORCE UTILIZATION

| EEO Job Category | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { American } \\ \text { Indian \& Alaska } \\ \text { Native } \end{array}$ |  | Asian |  | Native <br> Hawailian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  | Hispanic or Latino (no other race checked) |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Officials and Administrators | 4,530 | 2,430 | 2,100 | 1,869 | 1,405 | 360 | 525 | 2 | 3 | 32 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 142 | 112 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 22 |
|  |  | 54\% | 46\% | 41\% | 31\% | 8\% | 12\% | 0.04\% | 0.1\% | 0.71\% | 0.53\% | 0.04\% | 0.02\% | 3.13\% | 247\% | 0.22\% | 0.18\% | 0.20\% | 0.49\% |
| Professionals | 22,671 | 7,709 | 14,962 | 4,829 | 7,664 | 2,126 | 6,246 | 19 | 34 | 210 | 204 | 8 | 9 | 399 | 591 | 37 | 91 | 81 | 123 |
|  |  | 34\% | 66\% | 21\% | 34\% | 9\% | 28\% | 0.08\% | 0.15\% | 0.93\% | 0.90\% | 0.04\% | 0.04\% | 1.76\% | 2.61\% | 0.16\% | 0.40\% | 0.36\% | 0.54\% |
| Technicians | 3,241 | 1,608 | 1,633 | 1,174 | 826 | 340 | 732 | 7 | 2 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 28 | 33 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 21 |
|  |  | 50\% | 50\% | 36\% | 25\% | 10\% | 23\% | 0.22\% | 0.00\% | 0.77\% | 0.12\% | 0.06\% | 0.06\% | 0.86\% | 1.02\% | 0.52\% | 0.40\% | 0.46\% | 0.65\% |
| Protective Service <br> Workers: Sworn | 2,288 | 2,044 | 244 | 1,505 | 137 | 410 | 92 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 75 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 39 | 1 |
|  |  | 89\% | 11\% | 66\% | 6\% | 18\% | 4\% | 0.13\% | 0.00\% | 0.44\% | 0.04\% | 0.04\% | 0.00\% | 3.28\% | 0.52\% | 0.04\% | 0.04\% | 1.70\% | 0.04\% |
| Protective Service Workers: NonSworn | 9,127 | 5,587 | 3,540 | 2,872 | 526 | 2,323 | 2,759 | 6 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 311 | 215 | 26 | 16 | 27 | 15 |
|  |  | 61\% | 39\% | 31\% | 6\% | 25\% | 30\% | 0.07\% | 0.07\% | 0.19\% | 0.02\% | 0.05\% | 0.01\% | 3.41\% | 2.36\% | 0.28\% | 0.18\% | 0.30\% | 0.16\% |
| Administrative Support | 7,536 | 760 | 6,776 | 318 | 3,071 | 373 | 3,300 | 1 | 19 | 28 | 52 | 3 | 9 | 26 | 198 | 6 | 47 | 5 | 80 |
|  |  | 10\% | 90\% | 4\% | 41\% | 5\% | $44 \%$ | 0.01\% | 0.25\% | 0.37\% | 0.69\% | 0.04\% | 0.12\% | 0.35\% | 2.63\% | 0.08\% | 0.62\% | 0.07\% | 1.06\% |
| Skilled Craft Workers | 2,107 | 2,048 | 59 | 1,369 | 37 | 595 | 22 | 11 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
|  |  | 97\% | 3\% | 65\% | $2 \%$ | 28\% | 1\% | 0.52\% | 0.00\% | 0.90\% | 0.00\% | 0.19\% | 0.00\% | 0.90\% | 0.00\% | 0.52\% | 0.00\% | 0.95\% | 0.00\% |
| Service- <br> Maintenance | 4,143 | 2,123 | 2,020 | 579 | 544 | 1,433 | 1,369 | 6 | 4 | 19 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 43 | 59 | 18 | 7 | 21 | 16 |
|  |  | 51\% | 49\% | 14\% | 13\% | 35\% | 33\% | 0.14\% | 0.10\% | 0.46\% | 0.31\% | 0.10\% | 0.19\% | 104\% | 1.42\% | 0.43\% | 0.17\% | 0.51\% | 0.39\% |
| TOTAL | 55,643 | 24,309 | 31,334 | 14,515 | 14,210 | 7,960 | 15,045 | 55 | 68 | 360 | 300 | 29 | 30 | 1,043 | 1,220 | 126 | 183 | 221 | 278 |
|  |  | 44\% | 56\% | 26.1\% | 25.5\% | 14.3\% | 27.0\%\| | 0.10\% | 0.12\% | 0.65\% | 0.54\% | 0.05\% | 0.05\% | 1.87\% | 2.19\% | 0.23\% | 0.33\% | 0.40\% | 0.50\% |

NOTE: The data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

| FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME EMPLOYEES PERCENTAGE CHANGE - FY 2004 \& FY 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EEO Job Category |  | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic or Latino (no other race checked) |  |
|  |  | White | AfricanAmerican |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Hawaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  |  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | male | Female | Male | Female | male | Female | male | Female |
|  | - $\operatorname{lo}^{099}$ TOTAL |  |  |  | 4,530 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,430 \\ 53.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,100 \\ 46.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,869 \\ 41.3 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,405 \\ 31.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 360 \\ 7.95 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 525 \\ 11.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | 2 $0.04 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 0.07 \% \end{array}$ | 32 $0.71 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 0.53 \% \end{array}$ | 2 $0.04 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 0.02 \% \end{array}$ | 142 $3.13 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ 2.47 \% \end{gathered}$ | 10 $0.22 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 0.18 \% \end{array}$ | 13 $0.29 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ \quad 0.49 \% \end{array}$ |
|  | - ${ }^{2^{0+x}}$ TOTAL | 3,867 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,217 \\ 57.3 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,650 \\ 42.7 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,775 \\ 45.9 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,166 \\ 30.2 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 250 \\ 6.46 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 370 \\ 9.57 \% \end{gathered}$ | 3 $0.08 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 0.44 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 0.21 \% \end{array}$ | 2 $0.05 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 0.03 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 156 \\ 4.03 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \\ \text { 2.17\% } \end{array}$ | 8 $0.21 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 0.21 \% \end{array}$ | 6 $0.16 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 0.34 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | $4^{209}$ TOTAL | 22,671 | 7,709 <br> 34.0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 14,962 \\ 66.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,829 \\ 21.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,664 \\ 33.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,126 \\ 9.38 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,246 \\ 27.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 0.08 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ 0.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 210 \\ 0.93 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 204 \\ 0.90 \% \end{gathered}$ | 8 $0.04 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 0.04 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 399 \\ 1.76 \% \end{gathered}$ | 591 <br> 2.61\% | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ 0.16 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ 0.40 \% \end{gathered}$ | 81 $0.36 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ 0.54 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $<^{-0^{0+}}$ TOTAL | 22,565 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,112 \\ 35.9 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,453 \\ 64.1 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 5,353 & 7,940 \\ 23.7 \% & 35.2 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rr} 2,110 & 5,652 \\ 9.35 \% & 25.0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 0.08 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 0.1 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 118 \\ 0.52 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113 \\ 0.50 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 0.02 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 388 \\ 1.72 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 552 \\ 2.45 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 33 $0.15 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 0.20 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 85 $0.38 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 121 \\ 0.54 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | < | 3,241 | 1,608 <br> 49.6\% | $\begin{array}{r} 1,633 \\ 50.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1,174 & 826 \\ 36.2 \% & 25.5 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 340 & 732 \\ 10.49 \% & 22.6 \% \end{array}$ |  | 7 2 <br> $0.22 \%$ $0.1 \%$ |  | 25 4 <br>   <br> $0.77 \%$ $0.12 \%$ |  | $\begin{array}{rr} 2 & 2 \\ & \\ 0.06 \% & 0.06 \% \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rr} 28 & 33 \\ 0.86 \% & 1.02 \% \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}17 & 13 \\ 0.52 \% & 0.40 \%\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 15 & 21 \\ 0.46 \% & 0.65 \% \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < | $3^{\text {,591 }}$ | $1,764$ <br> 49.1\% | 1,827 <br> 50.9\% | 1,393 <br> 38.8\% | $\begin{gathered} 957 \\ 26.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $291$ $8.10 \%$ | 781 <br> 21.7\% |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \\ 0.1 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 0.39 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 0.14 \% \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \\ 0.03 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 0.84 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 58 <br> 1.62\% | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 0.53 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \\ 0.14 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 13 $0.36 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 0.42 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | < | 2,288 | $2,044$ <br> 89.3\% | $\begin{gathered} 244 \\ \\ \hline 10.7 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}1,505 & 137 \\ & \\ 65.8 \% & 6.0 \%\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rr} 410 & 92 \\ & \\ 17.92 \% & 4.0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 10 1 <br>   <br> $0.46 \%$  |  | 1 |  | 75 12 <br>   <br> $28 \%$ $0.52 \%$ |  |  |  | $39 \quad 1$ |  |
|  | 人 | 2,318 | 2,037 | $\begin{gathered} 281 \\ 12.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}1,519 & 168 \\ 65.5 \% & 72 \%\end{array}$ |  | 439 101 <br>   <br> $18.94 \%$ $4.4 \%$ |  | 21 |  | 4 1 <br>   |  | $\begin{array}{cc}1 & - \\ \\ 0\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rr}35 & 5 \\ \\ 1.51 \% & 0.22 \%\end{array}$ |  | 3 3 <br>   <br> $13 \%$ $013 \%$ |  | $34 \quad 2$ |  |



STATEWIDE WORK FORCE BY AGE

| AGE | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic or <br> Latino (no <br> other race checked) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native <br>  <br> Other Pacific <br> Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 19 or under | 79 | 38 | 41 | 22 | 22 | 14 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 48\% | 52\% | 28\% | 28\% | 18\% | 22\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.00\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 127\% | 1\% | 127\% | 127\% |
| 20-29 | 6,089 | 2,533 | 3,556 | 1,688 | 1,456 | 654 | 1,820 | 6 | 12 | 41 | 47 | 6 | 3 | 96 | 122 | 11 | 38 | 31 | 58 |
|  |  | 42\% | 58\% | 28\% | 24\% | 11\% | 30\% | 0.10\% | 0.20\% | 0.67\% | 0.77\% | 0.10\% | 0.05\% | 158\% | 2.00\% | 0.18\% | 0.62\% | 0.51\% | 0.95\% |
| 30-39 | 11,589 | 4,778 | 6,811 | 2,782 | 2,722 | 1,535 | 3,437 | 9 | 13 | 120 | 116 | 7 | 9 | 234 | 376 | 21 | 53 | 70 | 85 |
|  |  | 41\% | 59\% | 24\% | 23\% | 13\% | 30\% | 0.08\% | 0.11\% | 104\% | 100\% | 0.06\% | 0.08\% | 2.02\% | 3.24\% | 0.18\% | 0.46\% | 0.60\% | 0.73\% |
| 40-49 | 17,142 | 7,097 | 10,045 | 4,102 | 4,530 | 2,370 | 4,727 | 18 | 19 | 88 | 107 | 11 | 12 | 400 | 502 | 44 | 49 | 64 | 99 |
|  |  | 41\% | 59\% | 24\% | 26\% | 14\% | 28\% | 0.11\% | 0.11\% | 0.51\% | 0.62\% | 0.06\% | 0.07\% | 2.33\% | 2.93\% | 0.26\% | 0.29\% | 0.37\% | 0.58\% |
| 50-59 | 18,735 | 7,489 | 11,246 | 4,700 | 5,952 | 2,172 | 4,594 | 22 | 29 | 108 | 79 | 5 | 5 | 398 | 458 | 30 | 38 | 54 | 91 |
|  |  | 40\% | 60\% | 25\% | 32\% | 12\% | 25\% | 0.12\% | 0.15\% | 0.58\% | 0.42\% | 0.03\% | 0.03\% | 2.12\% | 2.44\% | 0.16\% | 0.20\% | 0.29\% | 0.49\% |
| 60-69 | 7,956 | 3,653 | 4,303 | 2,491 | 2,544 | 848 | 1,450 | 9 | 11 | 56 | 49 | 2 | 2 | 219 | 211 | 9 | 15 | 19 | 21 |
|  |  | 46\% | 54\% | 31\% | 32\% | 11\% | 18\% | 0.11\% | 0.14\% | 0.70\% | 0.62\% | 0.03\% | 0.03\% | 2.75\% | 2.65\% | 0.11\% | 0.19\% | 0.24\% | 0.26\% |
| 70 and over | 908 | 497 | 411 | 312 | 255 | 116 | 125 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | 55\% | 45\% | 34\% | 28\% | 13\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | 13\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | 5.8\% | 2.6\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| TOTAL | 62,498 | 26,085 | 36,413 | 16,097 | 17,481 | 7,709 | 16,170 | 64 | 85 | 425 | 400 | 31 | 32 | 1,400 | 1,693 | 118 | 194 | 241 | 358 |
|  |  | 42\% | 58\% | 26\% | 28\% | 12\% | 26\% | 0.10\% | 0.14\% | 0.68\% | 0.64\% | 0.05\% | 0.05\% | 2.24\% | 2.71\% | 0.19\% | 0.31\% | 0.39\% | 0.57\% |

NOTE: The data include Executive Branch and Independent agencies, excluding MDOT. No contractuals are included.

STATEWIDE WORK FORCE BY SERVICE TYPE

| Service Type | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native <br> Hamaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  | Hispanic or Latino (no other race checked) |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Executive | 993 | 625 | 368 | 495 | 240 | 99 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
|  |  | 63\% | 37\% | 50\% | 24\% | 10\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.10\% | 0 | 1.01\% | 0.91\% | 0.50\% | 0.40\% | 0.40\% | 0.81\% |
| Independent | 758 | 414 | 344 | 266 | 248 | 76 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 67 | 27 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
|  |  | 55\% | 45\% | 35\% | 33\% | 10\% | 8\% | 0\% | \% | 0.26\% | 0\% | 0.26\% | 0\% | 8.84\% | 3.56\% | 0.13\% | 0.40\% | 0\% | 0.79\% |
| Management | 1,736 | 961 | 775 | 740 | 504 | 149 | 207 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 53 | 45 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
|  |  | 55\% | 45\% | 43\% | 29\% | 9\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.63\% | 0.46\% | 0.06\% | 0.06\% | 3.05\% | 2.59\% | 0.17\% | 0.17\% | 0.23\% | 0.35\% |
| Professional | 4,092 | 860 | 3,232 | 614 | 2,227 | 159 | 788 | 1 | 5 | 29 | 58 | 1 | 3 | 36 | 120 | 4 | 14 | 16 | 17 |
|  |  | 21\% | 79\% | 15\% | 54\% | 4\% | 19\% | 0.02\% | 0.12\% | 0.71\% | 1.42\% | 0\% | 0.07\% | 0.88\% | 2.93\% | 0.00\% | 0.34\% | 0.39\% | 0.42\% |
| Skilled Service | 32,074 | 12,385 | 19,689 | 6,796 | 7,604 | 4,615 | 10,863 | 25 | 39 | 138 | 144 | 7 | 15 | 659 | 774 | 51 | 85 | 94 | 165 |
|  |  | 39\% | 61\% | 21\% | 24\% | 14\% | 34\% | 0.08\% | 0.12\% | 0.43\% | 0.45\% | 0.02\% | 0.05\% | 2.05\% | 2.41\% | 0.16\% | 0.27\% | 0.29\% | 0.51\% |
| Special Appointment | 4,070 | 1,493 | 2,577 | 1,016 | 1,447 | 291 | 846 | 4 | 7 | 28 | 29 | 1 | 5 | 130 | 191 | 7 | 23 | 16 | 29 |
|  |  | 37\% | 63\% | 25\% | 36\% | 7\% | 21\% | 0.10\% | 0.17\% | 0.69\% | 0.71\% | 0\% | 0.12\% | 3.19\% | 4.69\% | 0.17\% | 0.57\% | 0.39\% | 0.71\% |
| Designated Political Appointment | 635 | 235 | 400 | 195 | 282 | 24 | 85 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | 37\% | 63\% | 31\% | 44\% | 4\% | 13\% | 0\% | 0.16\% | 0.94\% | 0.63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.42\% | 3.46\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.00\% | 0\% |
| MDOT <br> Commission | 7 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 86\% | 14\% | 86\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Permanent MTA Union | 2,643 | 1624 | 1019 | 385 | 20 | 1175 | 972 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 21 | 16 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 0 |
|  |  | 61\% | 39\% | 15\% | 1\% | 44\% | 37\% | 0.19\% | 0.11\% | 0.30\% | 0\% | 0.15\% | 0\% | 0.79\% | 0.61\% | 0.53\% | 0.11\% | 0.45\% | 0\% |
| Uniform Police | 1,486 | 1,376 | 110 | 1112 | 86 | 204 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 1 |
|  |  | 93\% | 7\% | 75\% | 6\% | 14\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.47\% | 0.13\% | 0.07\% | 0.00\% | 1.75\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.75\% | 0.07\% |
| Transportation Service | 7,149 | 4,330 | 2,819 | 2,890 | 1,551 | 1,168 | 1,099 | 20 | 12 | 120 | 52 | 11 | 1 | 32 | 16 | 40 | 45 | 49 | 43 |
|  |  | 61\% | 39\% | 40\% | 22\% | 16\% | 15\% | 0.28\% | 0.17\% | 1.68\% | 0.73\% | 0.15\% | 0.01\% | 0.45\% | 0.22\% | 0.56\% | 0.63\% | 0.69\% | 0.60\% |
| TOTAL | 55,643 | 24,309 | 31,334 | 14,515 | 14,210 | 7,960 | 15,045 | 55 | 68 | 360 | 300 | 29 | 30 | 1,043 | 1,220 | 126 | 183 | 221 | 278 |
|  |  | 44\% | 56\% | 26\% | 26\% | 14\% | 27\% | 0.10\% | 0.12\% | 0.65\% | 0.54\% | 0.05\% | 0.05\% | 1.87\% | 2.19\% | 0.23\% | 0.33\% | 0.40\% | 0.50\% |

NOTE: The data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

## SECTION FOUR

## WORK FORCE

UTILIZATION

## SECTION 4: WORK FORCE UTILIZATION <br> INTRODUCTION

Section four provides a narrative and statistical comparison of the State's work force with the Civilian Labor Force (CLF) in the eight EEO job categories.

## SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS

Trends displayed in the following charts are highlighted as follows:

- White males and White females represent a higher proportion of the CLF than in the State government's work force.
- Minorities experienced increases in 5 categories from FY 2004 to FY 2009. The largest increases in minority representation occurred in the categories of Professional (906) and Protective Service Workers: NonSworn (388).
- Minorities also hold $28 \%$ of the State positions classified as Officials and Administrators slightly higher than the CLF availability.
- African-Americans represent a higher proportion of the State's work force than the CLF.
- African-Americans exceeded the CLF availability in the Professionals, Technicians, Protective Service Workers: Non-Sworn, and Service Maintenance categories.
- African-American females in the State's work force far exceeded the CLF availability in the Administrative Support category, while AfricanAmerican males exceeded the CLF availability in the Protective Service Workers: Non-Sworn category.


## SECTION 4 - SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS

- The largest representation of females in FY 2009 was in the Professional job category $(14,962)$.


STATEWIDE WORK FORCE UTILIZATION ANALYSIS — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EEO JOB } \\ & \text { CATEGORY } \end{aligned}$ |  | WHITE |  |  |  |  |  | AFRICAN-AMERICAN |  |  |  |  |  | OTHER MINORITIES |  |  |  |  |  | total PERMANENT POSITIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MALE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { State } \\ & \text { CLF } \end{aligned}$ | Index Under | FEMALE | State CLF | Index Under | MALE | State CLF | Index <br> Under | FEMALE | State CLF | Index <br> Under | MALE | State $C L F$ | Index Under | FEMALE | $\begin{gathered} \text { State } \\ \text { CLF } \end{gathered}$ | Index Under |  |
| Officials and Administrators | \# | 1,869 | 43.9\% |  | 1,405 | 29.2\% |  | 360 | 8.0\% |  | 525 | 10.9\% |  | 201 | 4.7\% |  | 170 | 3.4\% |  | 4,530 |
|  | \% | 41.3\% |  | -2.6\% | 31.02\% |  | 1.8\% | 7.9\% |  | -0.1\% | 11.6\% |  | 0.7\% | 4.44\% |  | -0.3\% | 3.75\% |  | 0.4\% |  |
| Professionals | \# | 4,829 | 34\% |  | 7,664 | 35.5\% |  | 2,126 | 7.2\% |  | 6,246 | 12.6\% |  | 754 | 5.6\% |  | 1,052 | 5.1\% |  | 22,671 |
|  | \% | 21.3\% |  | -12.7\% | 33.8\% |  | -1.7\% | 9.4\% |  | 2.2\% | 27.6\% |  | 15.0\% | 3.3\% |  | -2\% | 4.6\% |  | -0.4\% |  |
| Technicians | \# | 1,174 | 29\% |  | 826 | 33.3\% |  | 340 | 9.7\% |  | 732 | 18.0\% |  | 94 | 4.4\% |  | 75 | 5.6\% |  | 3,241 |
|  | \% | 36.2\% |  | 7\% | 25.5\% |  | -7.8\% | 10.5\% |  | 0.8\% | 22.6\% |  | 4.6\% | 2.9\% |  | -1.54\% | 2.3\% |  | -3.24\% |  |
| Protective Service Workers: Sworn | \# | 1,505 | 45.6\% |  | 137 | 7.8\% |  | 410 | 29.1\% |  | 92 | 13.3\% |  | 129 | 3.2\% |  | 15 | 0.9\% |  | 2,288 |
|  | \% | 65.8\% |  | 20\% | 6.0\% |  | -1.8\% | 17.9\% |  | -11.2\% | 4.0\% |  | -9.3\% | 5.6\% |  | 2.47\% | 0.7\% |  | -0.3\% |  |
| Protective Service Workers: Non-Sworn | \# | 2,872 | 25.4\% |  | 526 | 44.2\% |  | 2,323 | 10.2\% |  | 2,759 | 15.5\% |  | 392 | 2.3\% |  | 255 | 2.3\% |  | 9,127 |
|  | \% | 31.5\% |  | 6.1\% | 6\% |  | -38.4\% | 25\% |  | 15.3\% | 30\% |  | 14.5\% | 4\% |  | 2\% | 3\% |  | 0.5\% |  |
| Administrative Support | \# | 318 | 19.1\% |  | 3,071 | 43.0\% |  | 373 | 8.3\% |  | 3,300 | 21.4\% |  | 69 | 2.8\% |  | 405 | 5.3\% |  | 7,536 |
|  | \% | 4.2\% |  | -14.9\% | 40.8\% |  | -2.2\% | 4.95\% |  | -3.4\% | 43.79\% |  | 22.4\% | 0.9\% |  | -1.89\% | 5.4\% |  | 0.1\% |  |
| Skilled Craft Workers | \# | 1,369 | 67.5\% |  | 37 | 3.7\% |  | 595 | 16.7\% |  | 22 | 1.9\% |  | 84 | 9.3\% |  | - | 0.9\% |  | 2,107 |
|  | \% | 65.0\% |  | -2.5\% | 1.8\% |  | -1.9\% | 28.2\% |  | 11.5\% | 1.0\% |  | -0.9\% | 3.9\% |  | -5.40\% | 0.00\% |  | -0.9\% |  |
| ServiceMaintenance | \# | 579 | 30.5\% |  | 544 | 23.2\% |  | 1,433 | 18.7\% |  | 1,369 | 14.3\% |  | 111 | 7.0\% |  | 107 | 6.3\% |  | 4,143 |
|  | \% | 14.0\% |  | -17\% | 13.1\% |  | -10.07\% | 34.6\% |  | 15.9\% | 33.0\% |  | 18.7\% | 2.7\% |  | -4.33\% | 2.6\% |  | -3.7\% |  |
| TOTALS | \# | 14,515 | 34.0\% |  | 14,210 | 30.2\% |  | 7,960 | 11.6\% | 15,045 |  | 14.2\% |  | 1,834 | 5.3\% |  | 2,079 | 4.7\% | -0.9\% 55,643 |  |
|  | \% | 26.1\% |  | -7.9\% | 25.5\% |  | -4.66\% | 14.3\% |  | 2.7\% | 27\% |  | 12.8\% | 3.3\% |  | -1.99\% | 4\% |  |  |  |  |

NOTE: Data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

2009 SUMMARY OF AGENCY WORK FORCE ANALYSIS

| AGENCY | White |  | TOTAL | African-American |  | TOTAL | Other Minorities |  | TOTAL | TOTAL Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |  | Male | Female |  | Male | Female |  |  |
| Executive Department | 32 | 28 | 60 | 5 | 16 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 84 |
| Baltimore City Community College | 55 | 71 | 126 | 105 | 193 | 298 | 11 | 8 | 19 | 443 |
| Baltimore City Sheriff's Office | 14 | 2 | 16 | 22 | 12 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Board of Elections Laws | 27 | 94 | 121 | 13 | 25 | 38 | 6 | 23 | 29 | 188 |
| Board of Public Works | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Boards and Commissions | 15 | 44 | 59 | 6 | 23 | 29 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 96 |
| Canal Place | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| College Savings Plan | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 14 |
| Comptroller of the Treasury | 241 | 378 | 619 | 69 | 319 | 388 | 27 | 38 | 65 | 1,072 |
| Deaf and Hard of Hearing | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Dept of Aging | 20 | 94 | 114 | 18 | 87 | 105 | 2 | 16 | 18 | 237 |
| Dept of Agriculture | 183 | 133 | 316 | 19 | 26 | 45 | 16 | 17 | 33 | 394 |
| Dept of Assessment and Taxation | 161 | 189 | 350 | 28 | 189 | 217 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 589 |
| Dept Budget and Management | 44 | 100 | 144 | 33 | 108 | 141 | 6 | 14 | 20 | 305 |
| Dept Business and Economic Development | 61 | 85 | 146 | 22 | 49 | 71 | 10 | 21 | 31 | 248 |
| Dept of Disabilities | 7 | 13 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 22 |
| Dept of Education | 215 | 531 | 746 | 92 | 407 | 499 | 36 | 90 | 126 | 1,371 |
| Dept of Environment | 375 | 278 | 653 | 65 | 91 | 156 | 57 | 30 | 87 | 896 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2009 SUMMARY OF AGENCY WORK FORCE ANALYSIS

| AGENCY | White |  | TOTAL | African-American |  | TOTAL | Other Minorities |  | TOTAL | TOTAL <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |  | Male | Female |  | Male | Female |  |  |
| Dept of General Services | 195 | 73 | 268 | 141 | 129 | 270 | 20 | 9 | 29 | 567 |
| Dept Health and Mental Hygiene | 1,338 | 4,188 | 5,526 | 824 | 2,378 | 3,202 | 228 | 464 | 692 | 9,420 |
| Dept Housing and Community Development | 65 | 104 | 169 | 22 | 62 | 84 | 14 | 24 | 38 | 291 |
| Dept Human Resources | 428 | 1,900 | 2,328 | 616 | 3160 | 3,776 | 64 | 206 | 270 | 6,374 |
| Dept Juvenile Services | 365 | 358 | 723 | 449 | 793 | 1,242 | 94 | 121 | 215 | 2,180 |
| Dept Labor, Licencing and Regulation | 429 | 397 | 826 | 179 | 482 | 661 | 39 | 72 | 111 | 1,598 |
| Dept Natural Resources | 641 | 372 | 1013 | 59 | 72 | 131 | 90 | 37 | 127 | 1,271 |
| Dept Maryland State Police | 1,491 | 331 | 1,822 | 246 | 112 | 358 | 80 | 17 | 97 | 2,277 |
| Dept of Planning | 58 | 53 | 111 | 3 | 19 | 22 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 149 |
| Dept Public Safety and Correctional Services | 3,164 | 1,268 | 4,432 | 2,228 | 3,523 | 5,751 | 408 | 354 | 762 | 10,945 |
| Dept of Transportation | 3,704 | 1,795 | 5,499 | 2,431 | 2,169 | 4600 | 357 | 213 | 570 | 10,669 |
| Governor's Office for Children | 5 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Health Care Alternative Dispute Resolution | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Historic St Mary's City Commission | 18 | 11 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 34 |
| Maryland State Archives | 12 | 20 | 32 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 48 |
| MD Automobile Insurance Fund | 106 | 159 | 265 | 27 | 107 | 134 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 432 |
| MD Board of Contract Appeals | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| MD Commission on Human Relations | 3 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 23 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2009 SUMMARY OF AGENCY WORK FORCE ANALYSIS


2009 SUMMARY OF AGENCY WORK FORCE ANALYSIS

| AGENCY | White |  | TOTAL | African-American |  | TOTAL | Other Minorities |  | TOTAL | TOTAL Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |  | Male | Female |  | Male | Female |  |  |
| Morgan State University | 58 | 36 | 94 | 310 | 364 | 674 | 111 | 93 | 204 | 972 |
| Office of Administrative Hearings | 27 | 41 | 68 | 4 | 25 | 29 | 3 | 16 | 19 | 116 |
| Office of Attorney General | 67 | 103 | 170 | 12 | 37 | 49 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 228 |
| Office of Information Technology | 32 | 38 | 70 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 107 |
| Office of People's Counsel | 5 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 19 |
| Office of Public Defender | 219 | 282 | 501 | 55 | 182 | 237 | 62 | 108 | 170 | 908 |
| Office of the Secretary | 11 | 9 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 28 |
| Office of State Prosecutor | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| Property Tax Assessment Appeals Board | 26 | 11 | 37 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 52 | 9 | 61 | 109 |
| Public School Construction Program | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 17 |
| Public Service Commission | 34 | 34 | 68 | 11 | 20 | 31 | 23 | 15 | 38 | 137 |
| St. Mary's College of Maryland | 163 | 185 | 348 | 27 | 33 | 60 | 13 | 9 | 22 | 430 |
| Subsequent Injury Fund | 6 | 11 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Uninsured Employer's Fund | 5 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 13 |
| University of Maryland Systems | 10,269 | 10,897 | 21,166 | 2,641 | 4,432 | 7,073 | 3,926 | 3,638 | 7,564 | 35,803 |
| Worker's Compensation Commission | 15 | 29 | 44 | 6 | 54 | 60 | 4 | 10 | 14 | 118 |
| TOTAL-Maryland State Work Force | $\begin{array}{r} 26,147 \\ 27 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,010 \\ 28 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,157 \\ 54 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,388 \\ 12 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,068 \\ 22 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,456 \\ 33 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,013 \\ 6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,149 \\ 6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,162 \\ 12 \% \end{array}$ | 97,775 |
| TOTAL - Civilian Labor Force | $\begin{array}{r} 997,070 \\ 34.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 885,633 \\ 30.2 \% \end{array}$ | $1,882,703$ $64.3 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 340,177 \\ 11.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 416,424 \\ 14.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 756,601 \\ 25.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 155,426 \\ 5.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137,830 \\ 4.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 293,256 \\ 10 \% \end{array}$ | 2,932,560 |

## SECTION FIVE

## DISTRIBUTION

## OF STATE EMPLOYEE WORK FORCE BY SALARY and GRADE

# SECTION 5: DISTRIBUTION OF STATE WORK FORCE BY SALARY AND GRADE 

## INTRODUCTION

Section five presents the salary and grade of employees by race and gender. This section includes a comparison of salary and grade information for Fiscal Years 2004 through 2009.

## SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS

Trends displayed in the following charts include:

- Male employees were paid an average of $\$ 5,814$ more than female employees in fiscal 2009.
- White employees were paid an average of $\$ 53,362$ in fiscal 2009 compared to $\$ 45,819$ for African-American employees, and $\$ 51,209$ for Other minority employees.
- The salary differentials between men and women and Whites and African-Americans are related to differences in the types of positions held. Whites (73\%) and men (68\%) are far more likely than African-Americans (17\%) and women (32\%) to hold positions in the Executive Pay Plan and positions classified as Grade 24 and above. AfricanAmericans, in contrast, hold a majority of the positions classified at Grade 8 or below. Females hold more than 70\% of positions classified at Grade 11 and below.

GENDER FOR FY 2004 AND FY 2009

| YEAR | AVERAGE <br> SALARY |  | White |  |  | African American |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



## COMPARISON OF AVERAGE SALARIES BY RACE FOR FY 2004 AND FY 2009

| Year | Average <br> Salary | White | African American | Other Minorities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2004 | $\$ 42,892$ | $\$ 46,087$ | $\$ 38,939$ | $\$ 44,012$ |
| FY 2009 | $\$ 49,939$ | $\$ 53,362$ | $\$ 45,819$ | $\$ 51,209$ |



COMPARISON OF AVERAGE SALARIES BY GENDER FOR FY 2004 AND FY 2009

| Year | Average <br> Salary | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2004 | $\$ 42,892$ | $\$ 45,189$ | $\$ 40,836$ |
| FY 2009 | $\$ 49,939$ | $\$ 53,037$ | $\$ 47,223$ |



WORK FORCE BY SALARY, RACE AND GENDER — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| Salary | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic or <br> Latino (no other <br> race checked) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | male | Female | male | Female | male | Female | Male | Female | male | Female | male | Femele | Male | Female | male | Female |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { Less } \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 10 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 7\% | 23\% | 69\% | 23\% | 8\% | 0\% | \% | \% | \% | 0\% | 0\% | \% | 0\% | \% | \% \% | \% | 0\% | 0\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,001- \\ \$ 20,000 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 0\% | \% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | \% | \% | \%\% | 0\% | 0\% | \% | \%\% | 0\% | \%\% | \% | 0\% | 0\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,001- \\ \$ 30,000 \end{gathered}$ | 3,010 | 1,140 | 1,870 | 505 | 770 | 542 | 951 | 4 | 9 | 32 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 33 | 63 | 5 | 15 | 17 | 39 |
|  |  | 38\% | 62\% | 17\% | 26\% | 18\% | 32\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 30,001- \\ \$ 40,000 \end{gathered}$ | 11,865 | 3,988 | 7,877 | 2,119 | 3,024 | 1,650 | 4,373 | 10 | 15 | 55 | 70 | 8 | 9 | 83 | 229 | 25 | 60 | 38 | 97 |
|  |  | 34\% | 66\% | 18\% | 25\% | 14\% | 37\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 40,001- \\ \$ 50,000 \end{gathered}$ | 14,715 | 5,775 | 8,940 | 3,329 | 3,732 | 2,047 | 4,683 | 20 | 22 | 58 | 55 | 5 | 9 | 238 | 327 | 27 | 49 | 51 | 63 |
|  |  | 39\% | 61\% | 23\% | 25\% | 14\% | 32\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.03\% | 0.06\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,001- \\ \$ 60,000 \end{gathered}$ | 9,939 | 4,498 | 5,441 | 2,864 | 2,813 | 1,280 | 2,286 | 8 | 11 | 80 | 65 | 7 | 2 | 181 | 206 | 27 | 25 | 51 | 33 |
|  |  | 45\% | 55\% | 29\% | 28\% | 13\% | 23\% | 0.08\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | \% | 1.8\% | 2.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 60,001 \text { and } \\ \text { Over } \end{gathered}$ | 13,108 | 7,111 | 5,997 | 5,223 | 3,755 | 1,237 | 1,710 | 8 | 8 | 126 | 89 | 3 | 2 | 434 | 358 | 28 | 31 | 52 | 44 |
|  |  | 54\% | 46\% | 40\% | 29\% | 9\% | 13\% | 0.1\% | 0.06\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.02\% | 3.3\% | 2.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% |
| Hourlyl Daily | 2,992 | 1,786 | 1,206 | 466 | 113 | 1,202 | 1,042 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 74 | 37 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 2 |
|  |  | 60\% | 40\% | 16\% | 4\% | 40\% | 35\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% |
| TOTAL | 55,643 | 24,309 | 31,334 | 14,515 | 14,210 | 7,960 | 15,045 | 55 | 68 | 360 | 300 | 29 | 30 | 1,043 | 1,220 | 126 | 183 | 221 | 278 |
|  |  | 44\% | 56\% | 26\% | 26\% | 14\% | 27\% | 0.10\% | 0.12\% | 0.65\% | 0.54\% | 0.05\% | 0.05\% | 1.87\% | 2.19\% | 0.23\% | 0.33\% | 0.40\% | 0.50\% |

NOTE: Data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

WORK FORCE BY GRADE, RACE AND GENDER — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| Grade | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Flat/Slope | 33 | 27 | 6 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 82\% | 18\% | 73\% | 18\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Grade } 5 \\ & (\$ 20,765- \\ & \$ 32,002) \end{aligned}$ | 609 | 254 | 355 | 93 | 168 | 138 | 150 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 9 |
|  |  | 42\% | 58\% | 15\% | 28\% | 23\% | 25\% | 0.16\% | 0.16\% | 1.15\% | 1.64\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.99\% | 2.63\% | 0.16\% | 0.16\% | 1.31\% | 1.48\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Grade 6 } \\ (\$ 22,000- \\ \$ 34,022) \end{gathered}$ | 651 | 360 | 291 | 135 | 117 | 209 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | 55\% | 45\% | 21\% | 18\% | 32\% | 24\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.61\% | 0.15\% | 0.00\% | 1.38\% | 0.61\% | 0.31\% | 0.46\% | 0.61\% | 0.61\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Grade } 7 \\ & (\$ 23,321- \\ & \$ 36,190) \end{aligned}$ | 1,501 | 441 | 1,060 | 177 | 370 | 230 | 632 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 38 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
|  |  | 29\% | 71\% | 12\% | 25\% | 15\% | 42\% | 0.00\% | 0.27\% | 0.60\% | 0.20\% | 0.00\% | 0.13\% | 1.33\% | 2.53\% | 0.13\% | 0.13\% | 0.20\% | 0.60\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Grade } 8 \\ (\$ 24,735- \\ \$ 38,502) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,602 | 449 | 1,153 | 222 | 440 | 204 | 632 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 33 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 24 |
|  |  | 28\% | 72\% | 14\% | 27\% | 13\% | 39\% | 0.12\% | 0.31\% | 0.56\% | 0.87\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.62\% | 2.06\% | 0.06\% | 0.31\% | 0.06\% | 1.50\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Grade } 9 \\ (\$ 26,248-2 \\ \$ 40,980) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,981 | 373 | 1,608 | 212 | 677 | 142 | 849 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 47 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 15 |
|  |  | 19\% | 81\% | 11\% | 34\% | 7\% | 43\% | 0.10\% | 0.05\% | 0.35\% | 0.61\% | 0.0\% | 0.05\% | 0.30\% | 2.37\% | 0.1\% | 0.30\% | 0.15\% | 0.76\% |
| Grade 10 <br> $(\$ 27,866-$ <br> $\$ 43,630)$ | 3,087 | 960 | 2,127 | 567 | 1,005 | 326 | 984 | 5 | 5 | 27 | 19 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 45 | 11 | 24 | 13 | 38 |
|  |  | 31\% | 69\% | 18\% | 33\% | 11\% | 32\% | 0.16\% | 0.16\% | 0.87\% | 0.62\% | 0.13\% | 0.23\% | 0.23\% | 1.46\% | 0.36\% | 0.78\% | 0.42\% | 1.23\% |
| Grade 11 <br> $(\$ 29,596-$ <br> $\$ 46,472)$ | 3,553 | 850 | 2,703 | 452 | 1,064 | 351 | 1,497 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 73 | 3 | 19 | 8 | 22 |
|  |  | 24\% | 76\% | 13\% | 30\% | 10\% | 42\% | 0.11\% | 0.20\% | 0.25\% | 0.48\% | 0.00\% | 0.11\% | 0.65\% | 2.05\% | 0.08\% | 0.53\% | 0.23\% | 0.62\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade } 12 \\ & (\$ 31,450- \\ & \$ 49,552) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4,540 | 1,854 | 2,686 | 1,050 | 1,108 | 704 | 1,429 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 50 | 85 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 26 |
|  |  | 41\% | 59\% | 23\% | 24\% | 16\% | 31\% | 0.13\% | 0.18\% | 0.26\% | 0.29\% | 0.07\% | 0.04\% | 1.10\% | 1.87\% | 0.22\% | 0.33\% | 0.42\% | 0.57\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Grade } 13 \\ (\$ 33,431- \\ \$ 52,866) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6,593 | 3,212 | 3,381 | 1,805 | 1,009 | 1,238 | 2,196 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 116 | 123 | 13 | 12 | 17 | 21 |
|  |  | 49\% | 51\% | 27\% | 15\% | 19\% | 33\% | 0.06\% | 0.09\% | 0.24\% | 0.18\% | 0.05\% | 0.03\% | 1.76\% | 1.87\% | 0.20\% | 0.18\% | 0.26\% | 0.32\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade } 14 \\ & (\$ 35,555- \\ & \$ 56,416) \end{aligned}$ | 4,470 | 1,954 | 2,516 | 1,080 | 973 | 710 | 1,380 | 3 | 4 | 22 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 109 | 100 | 15 | 22 | 14 | 18 |
|  |  | 44\% | 56\% | 24\% | 22\% | 16\% | 31\% | 0.07\% | 0.09\% | 0.49\% | 0.43\% | 0.02\% | 0.00\% | 2.44\% | 2.24\% | 0.34\% | 0.49\% | 0.31\% | 0.40\% |
| $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Grade } 15 \\ (\$ 37,823- \\ \$ 60,199) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3,086 | 1,084 | 2,002 | 709 | 1,024 | 281 | 864 | 4 | 5 | 24 | 22 | 2 | 2 | 44 | 64 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 11 |
|  |  | 35\% | 65\% | 23\% | 33\% | 9\% | 28\% | 0.13\% | 0.16\% | 0.78\% | 0.71\% | 0.06\% | 0.06\% | 1.43\% | 2.07\% | 0.36\% | 0.32\% | 0.29\% | 0.36\% |

WORK FORCE BY GRADE, RACE AND GENDER — FISCAL YEAR 2009 (Continued)

| Grade | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic or <br> Latino (no ther <br> race checked) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havailan \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no racechecked) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Grade 16 } \\ (\$ 40,253- \end{array}$ | 5,096 | 1,940 | 3,156 | 1,145 | 1,737 | 625 | 1,194 | 3 | 6 | 33 | 31 | 3 | 1 | 101 | 150 | 7 | 11 | 23 | 26 |
| \$64,257) |  | 38\% | 62\% | 22\% | 34\% | 12\% | 23\% | 0.06\% | 0.12\% | 0.65\% | 0.61\% | 0.06\% | 0.02\% | 1.98\% | 2.94\% | 0.14\% | 0.22\% | 0.45\% | 0.51\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Grade } 17 \\ & (\$ 42,851- \\ & \$ 68,600) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3,282 | 1,350 | 1,932 | 835 | 1,035 | 382 | 764 | 3 | 2 | 38 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 81 | 7 | 17 | 15 | 9 |
|  |  | 41\% | 59\% | 25\% | 32\% | 12\% | 23\% | 0.09\% | 0.06\% | 1.16\% | 0.73\% | 0.03\% | 0.00\% | 2.10\% | 2.47\% | 0.21\% | 0.52\% | 0.46\% | 0.27\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Grade 18 } \\ (\$ 45,632- \\ \$ 73,231) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2,260 | 1,101 | 1,159 | 775 | 718 | 227 | 340 | 2 | 2 | 29 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 51 | 57 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 5 |
|  |  | 49\% | 51\% | 34\% | 32\% | 10\% | 15\% | 0.09\% | 0.09\% | 1.28\% | 1.46\% | 0.00\% | 0.04\% | 2.26\% | 2.52\% | 0.13\% | 0.13\% | 0.62\% | 0.22\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 19 \\ (\$ 48,646- \\ \$ 78,100) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1,644 | 855 | 789 | 631 | 539 | 131 | 187 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
|  |  | 52\% | 48\% | 38\% | 33\% | 8\% | 11\% | 0.18\% | 0.12\% | 1.58\% | 0.79\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.59\% | 2.37\% | 0.06\% | 0.12\% | 0.24\% | 0.43\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Grade } 20 \\ (\$ 51,891- \\ \$ 83,317) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1,090 | 635 | 455 | 476 | 303 | 94 | 109 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 21 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 2 |
|  |  | 58\% | 42\% | 44\% | 28\% | 9\% | 10\% | 0.09\% | 0.09\% | 1.65\% | 0.92\% | 0.09\% | 0.09\% | 3.49\% | 1.93\% | 0.37\% | 0.73\% | 0.28\% | 0.18\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 21 \\ (\$ 55,367- \\ \$ 88,892) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 965 | 546 | 419 | 446 | 275 | 53 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 45 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
|  |  | 57\% | 43\% | 46\% | 28\% | 5\% | 9\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.04\% | 0.31\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.21\% | 4.66\% | 0.31\% | 0.10\% | 0.31\% | 0.52\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade 22 } \\ & (\$ 59,085- \\ & \$ 94,872) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1,002 | 534 | 468 | 417 | 324 | 68 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
|  |  | 53\% | 47\% | 42\% | 32\% | 7\% | 9\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.40\% | 0.60\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.09\% | 4.09\% | 0.10\% | 0.10\% | 0.30\% | 0.60\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Grade } 23 \\ (\$ 63,063- \\ \$ 101,262) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 382 | 207 | 175 | 164 | 125 | 29 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
|  |  | 54\% | 46\% | 43\% | 33\% | 8\% | 7\% | 0.00\% | 0.26\% | 0.26\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 2.62\% | 3.93\% | 0.52\% | 0.26\% | 0.26\% | 1.31\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Grade 24 } \\ (\$ 67,319- \\ \$ 108,092) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 385 | 211 | 174 | 170 | 118 | 25 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 55\% | 45\% | 44\% | 31\% | 6\% | 8\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.78\% | 0.78\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.12\% | 4.94\% | 0.26\% | 0.52\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Grade } 25 \\ & (\$ 71,875- \\ & \$ 115,396) \end{aligned}$ | 127 | 78 | 49 | 60 | 38 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 61\% | 39\% | 47\% | 30\% | 8\% | 7\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.57\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.94\% | 0.79\% | 0.79\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.79\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Grade } 26 \\ (\$ 76,669- \\ \$ 123,229) \end{array}$ | 89 | 49 | 40 | 45 | 29 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 55\% | 45\% | 51\% | 33\% | 4\% | 10\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.12\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.12\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Hourly/ Daily | 2,992 | 1,786 | 1,206 | 466 | 113 | 1,202 | 1,042 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 74 | 37 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 2 |
|  |  | 60\% | 40\% | 16\% | 4\% | 40\% | 35\% | 0.17\% | 0.10\% | 0.30\% | 0.00\% | 0.13\% | 0.20\% | 2.47\% | 1.24\% | 0.47\% | 0.10\% | 0.40\% | 0.07\% |
| MRT* | 4,623 | 3,199 | 1,424 | 2,359 | 895 | 576 | 379 | 7 | 5 | 43 | 31 | 6 | 1 | 152 | 85 | 12 | 15 | 44 | 13 |
|  |  | 69\% | 31\% | 51\% | 19\% | 12\% | 8\% | 0.15\% | 0.11\% | 0.93\% | 0.67\% | 0.13\% | 0.02\% | 3.29\% | 1.84\% | 0.26\% | 0.32\% | 0.95\% | 0.28\% |
| TOTAL | 55,643 | 24,309 | 31,334 | 14,515 | 14,210 | 7,960 | 15,045 | 55 | 68 | 360 | 300 | 29 | 30 | 1,043 | 1,220 | 126 | 183 | 221 | 278 |
|  |  | 44\%\| | 56\%\| | 26\% | 26\%\| | 14\% | 27\% | 0.10\% | 0.12\% | 0.65\% | 0.54\% | 0.05\% | 0.05\% | 1.87\% | 2.19\% | 0.23\% | 0.33\% | 0.40\% | 0.50\% |

*MRT (Merit Rate Table) - Includes State Police, Physicians, Emergency Police, DNR Police, Executive Pay Plan, and MDOT employees, these employees are not
compensated within the regular salary grades.
NOTE: Data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

EXECUTIVE PAY PLAN WORK FORCE BY SALARY, GRADE, RACE AND GENDER — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| EPP Scale | total |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EthnicityHispanic or <br> Latino (no other <br> race checked) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checkec) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| ES 4 -(\$74,608$\$ 99,478$ ) | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| ES 5 - <br> $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 6 , 9 4 0 )}$ | 18 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 67\% | 33\% | 56\% | 22\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| ES $6-1$$(\$ 86,161-$$\$ 115,000)$ | 43 | 27 | 16 | 20 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 63\% | 37\% | 47\% | 28\% | 7\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| ES 7 -(\$92,640\$123,708) | 32 | 22 | 10 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 69\% | 31\% | 50\% | 22\% | 9\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| ES 8 -(\$99,637- <br> $\$ 133,112$ ) | 25 | 18 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 72\% | 28\% | 56\% | 20\% | 12\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ES 9- } \\ & (\$ 107,196- \\ & \$ 143,270) \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 16 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 70\% | 30\% | 48\% | 17\% | 9\% | 13\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ES } 10- \\ & (\$ 115,356- \\ & \$ 154,235) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 56\% | 44\% | 33\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ES } 11- \\ \mathbf{( \$ 1 2 4 , 1 7 5 -} \\ \$ 166,082) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 11 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 73\% | 27\% | 73\% | 9\% | 0\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 165 | 112 | 53 | 86 | 35 | 15 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  | 68\% | 32\% | 52\% | 21\% | 9\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |

## SECTION SIX

## STATE

## PERSONNEL

## TRANSACTIONS

## SECTION 6: PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS INTRODUCTION

The data provided in this section depicts the personnel transactions processed by the Office of Personnel Services and Benefits in Fiscal Years 2004 and 2009. This information also reflects the type of transaction by race and gender.

## SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS

Trends displayed in the following charts are highlighted as follows:

* The total number of applicants appointed to vacant positions in FY 2009 was 5,046. Of this number, $53 \%$ were females and $53 \%$ were minorities.
* African-Americans and Whites were appointed to a slightly higher percentage of positions in fiscal 2009 than in fiscal 2004.
* Females - who represent 56\% of the work force - received 55\% of all promotions in fiscal 2009 and African-Americans - who represent 41\% of the work force - received $54 \%$ of all promotions.
* Females accounted for 52\% of reclassifications in fiscal 2009, while minorities accounted for 39\% of the reclassifications.
* The total number of demotions in FY 2009 was 265. This represented an increase of 29 actions from FY 2004.
* The total number of suspensions for FY 2009 was 829, 92 more actions than in FY 2004.
* There were 304 terminations made in FY 2009. Females constituted 55\% of these actions, and $38 \%$ were minority females.

STATEWIDE PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| Type of Transaction | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Hamaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  | Hispanic or Latino (no other race checked) |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Appointments | 5,046 | 2,366 | 2,680 | 1,264 | 1,135 | 965 | 1,399 | 5 | 11 | 64 | 41 | 5 | 3 | 25 | 17 | 14 | 29 | 24 | 45 |
|  |  | 47\% | 53\% | 25\% | 22\% | 19\% | 28\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.06\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% |
| Reinstatements | 513 | 189 | 324 | 95 | 119 | 80 | 181 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 1 |
|  |  | 37\% | 63\% | 19\% | 23\% | 16\% | 35\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% |
| Promotions | 3,961 | 1,765 | 2,196 | 887 | 751 | 789 | 1,331 | 3 | 4 | 24 | 25 | 6 | 2 | 21 | 36 | 17 | 25 | 18 | 22 |
|  |  | 45\% | 55\% | 22\% | 19\% | 20\% | 34\% | 0.08\% | 0.10\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% |
| Reclassifications | 3,844 | 1,826 | 2,018 | 1,235 | 1,104 | 405 | 767 | 8 | 2 | 47 | 33 | 3 | 1 | 93 | 71 | 8 | 13 | 27 | 27 |
|  |  | 48\% | 52\% | 32\% | 29\% | 11\% | 20\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Demotions | 265 | 105 | 160 | 69 | 81 | 28 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | 40\% | 60\% | 26\% | 31\% | 11\% | 27\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Suspensions | 829 | 374 | 455 | 122 | 106 | 227 | 315 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 7 |
|  |  | 45\% | 55\% | 15\% | 13\% | 27\% | 38\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% |
| TOTAL | 14,458 | 6,625 | 7,833 | 3,672 | 3,296 | 2,494 | 4,064 | 22 | 19 | 144 | 109 | 14 | 7 | 158 | 147 | 46 | 87 | 75 | 104 |
|  |  | 46\% | 54\% | 25\% | 23\% | 17\% | 28\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% |

STATEWIDE SEPARATIONS AND TERMINATIONS — FISCAL YEAR 2009


NOTE: Data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.


STATEWIDE PERSONNEL TRANSACTION ANALYSIS -- Comparision of June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2009


SEPARATIONS AND TERMINATIONS BY AGE, RACE AND GENDER — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| AGE | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EthnicityHispanic orLatino (no otherrace checked) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Hamaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| RESIGNATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 19 \text { OR } \\ & \text { LESS } \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-29 | 656 | 217 | 439 | 117 | 169 | 93 | 240 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 30-39 | 705 | 261 | 444 | 124 | 169 | 110 | 236 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 40-49 | 548 | 198 | 350 | 80 | 142 | 97 | 174 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| 50-59 | 342 | 132 | 210 | 73 | 110 | 47 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 60-69 | 81 | 41 | 40 | 29 | 21 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 70 PLUS | 21 | 16 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 2,373 | 869 | 1,504 | 441 | 620 | 357 | 753 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 37 | 76 | 5 | 17 | 10 | 19 |
| REJECTIONS ON PROBATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $19 \text { OR }$ | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-29 | 89 | 29 | 60 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30-39 | 38 | 15 | 23 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 40-49 | 27 | 14 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 50-59 | 25 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 60-69 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 PLUS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 188 | 71 | 117 | 32 | 38 | 34 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| TERMINATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 19 \text { OR } \\ & \text { LESS } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-29 | 75 | 26 | 49 | 11 | 8 | 13 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30-39 | 66 | 26 | 40 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40-49 | 81 | 45 | 36 | 15 | 14 | 26 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 50-59 | 64 | 30 | 34 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 60-69 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 PLUS | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 304 | 136 | 168 | 53 | 52 | 73 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 2,865 | 1,076 | 1,789 | 526 | 710 | 464 | 938 | 1 | 3 | 22 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 43 | 82 | 5 | 19 | 13 | 20 |

## SECTION SEVEN

REASONABLE

## ACCOMMODATIONS

## SECTION 7: REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS

In fiscal year 2009 there were 151 requests for reasonable accommodations from State employees and applicants for State employment. Of this amount, 133 or $88 \%$ of the requests were granted. There were 22 agencies that reported data on reasonable accommodation requests, with the Department of Education, Department of Human Resources, MDOT, Maryland Automobile Insurance Fund, and Department of Health and Mental Hygiene accounting for 105 or $70 \%$ of the total employee/applicant reasonable accommodation requests reported.

STATEWIDE REQUESTS FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| Agency | Applicants | Employees | STATUS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Granted | Denied | Pending | Other |
| Assessment and Taxation | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Automobile Insurance Fund |  | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |
| Budget and Management | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Comptroller |  | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Commission on Human Relations |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Education |  | 12 | 11 |  | 1 |  |
| Environment |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Environmental Services |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| General Services |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Health and Mental Hygiene |  | 40 | 37 |  | 1 | 2 |
| Housing and Community Development |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Human Resources |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Insurance Administration |  | 7 | 6 | 1 |  |  |
| Juvenile Services | 2 | 8 | 9 |  | 1 |  |
| Natural Resources |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Planning |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Public Defenders Office |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Public Television |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| State Retirement \& Pension System |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Subsequent Injury Fund |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Transportation | 4 | 14 | 10 | 8 |  |  |
| Worker's Compensation Commission |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 10 | 141 | 133 | 13 | 3 | 2 |

NOTE: All of the agencies Statewide reported. These are the only agencies reporting reasonable accommodation requests.

# SECTION EIGHT 

STATE
EEO

COMPLAINTS

## SECTION 8: STATEWIDE EEO COMPLAINTS

* The total number of internal and external complaints statewide for fiscal 2009 was 384. Of these, 241 were internal complaints and 143 were external complaints.
* The primary basis for internal complaints was Race and Sex/Gender.
* The number of internal complaints has declined from 382 in fiscal 2004 to 241 in fiscal 2009.
* The Office of the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator processed a total of 13 EEO appeals in fiscal 2009.
* The Office investigated 5 Whistleblower complaints. All five were found to have "no probable cause".
* In fiscal 2009, statewide discrimination complaints decreased in comparison to fiscal 2004. A total of 384 complaints were received in fiscal 2009 as compared to 431 in fiscal 2008, and 415 in fiscal 2007. Trends in selected types of complaints are presented below.

| Type of <br> Internal Complaint | FY 2004 | FY 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability | 43 | 28 |
| National Origin | 16 | 8 |
| Race | 83 | 61 |
| Sex/Gender | 104 | 68 |
| Age | 7 | 17 |

NOTE: Internal complaints are those filed at the agency level, usually with a State agency's Fair Practices Officer or Equal Employment Opportunity Officer.

External complaints are those filed with the Maryland Commission on Human Relations or Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
'Other' complaints include employment related complaints, not discriminatory in basis.

STATEWIDE DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS BY AGENCY，BASIS，ISSUE AND DISPOSITION — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| Agency | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { O} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \dot{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Basis＊for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Issue＊ |  |  | Disposition＊ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\overleftarrow{む}}{\frac{1}{0}}$ | $\underset{\substack{4}}{\mathbb{C}}$ | 罝 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { K } \end{aligned}$ | 흥 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{(0} \\ & \text { ષ్x } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흔 } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { 제 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 末 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Delta} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Administrative Hearings | 123 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile Insurance Fund | 431 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BCCC | 443 | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget and Management | 305 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Comptroller of Maryland | 1，072 | 0 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Education | 1，371 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elections | 188 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environment | 896 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Environmental Services | 765 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Food Center Authority | 27 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Services | 567 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Health and Mental Hygiene | 9，420 | 20 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 1 | 8 |  | 7 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Housing and Community Development | 291 | 0 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 1 |
| Human Resources | 6，374 | 0 | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 9 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance Administration | 269 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juvenile Services | 2，519 | 7 | 30 | 21 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 3 |
| Labor，Licensing and Regulation | 1，429 | 5 | 11 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 3 | 4 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |
| Military | 336 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morgan State University | 972 | 1 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Natural Resources | 1，291 | 2 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Public Safety and Corr Services | 10，945 | 34 | 77 | 22 |  | 10 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 27 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 38 | 19 | 22 | 18 | 41 | 11 | 5 | 1 |  | 3 |
| Sheriff＇s Office | 50 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stadium Authority | 88 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| State Police | 2，200 | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |  |
| Transportation | 10，669 | 37 | 51 | 4 | 5 | 3 |  | 1 | 10 |  | 17 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 20 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 6 |  |
| TOTAL | 53，041 | 143 | 241 | 59 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 8 | 61 | 4 | 68 | 9 | 83 | 46 | 27 | 32 | 96 | 35 | 34 | 23 | 13 | 10 |

＊In order to avoid duplication，Basis，Issues，and Dispositions are only counted on Internal complaints．
（1）＂Internal＂complaints are those filed at the agency level，with a State agency＇s Fair Practices Officer or Equal Employment Opportunity Officer．

（3）＂Other＂Complaints include employment related complaints，not discriminatory in basis．
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## SECTION 9: UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF MARYLAND

The University System of Maryland includes the USM Office and the following institutions: Bowie State University, Coppin State University, Frostburg State University, Salisbury University, Towson University, University of Baltimore, University of Maryland Baltimore, University of Maryland Baltimore County, University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, University of Maryland College Park, University of Maryland Eastern Shore, and University of Maryland University College.

- There were a total of 35,803 employees in the University System of Maryland in Fiscal 2009.
- Of the total employees, 21,166 (59\%) were White, 7,073 (19\%) were African American, 105 (.3\%) were American Indian and Alaska Native, 4,178 (12\%) were Asian, 1,109 (3\%) were Hispanic or Latino, and 2,172 (6\%) were Other (no race checked).
- Males comprised 16,836 (47\%) of the work force.
- Females comprised 18,967 (53\%) of the work force.
- The majority of Faculty and Instructor positions were filled by Whites (68\%) and males (55\%).

TOTAL FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORK FORCE UTILIZATION - FISCAL YEAR 2009

| EEO Job Category | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic or <br> Latino (no other <br> race checked) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Officials and Administrators | 1,471 | 728 | 743 | 540 | 533 | 126 | 174 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 7 |
|  |  | 49\% | 51\% | 37\% | 36\% | 9\% | 12\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% |
| Faculty and Instructors | 10,657 | 5,867 | 4,790 | 4,102 | 3,197 | 599 | 687 | 17 | 16 | 523 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 520 | 447 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 132 |
|  |  | 55\% | 45\% | 38\% | 30\% | 6\% | 6\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 4.9\% | 2.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4.9\% | 4.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% |
| Faculty/Research | 2,429 | 1,527 | 902 | 946 | 614 | 39 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 464 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 17 |
|  |  | 63\% | 37\% | 39\% | 25\% | 1.6\% | 3\% | 0.04\% | 0.04\% | 19.1\% | 7.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.6\% | 1.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% |
| Faculty/Public Service | 4 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 0\% | 50\% | 0\% | 50\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Teachers' Assistants/Assoc | 6,073 | 2,986 | 3,087 | 1,418 | 1,619 | 155 | 290 | 7 | 14 | 981 | 749 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 303 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 112 |
|  |  | 49\% | 51\% | 23\% | 27\% | 3\% | 5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 16.2\% | 12.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5.2\% | 5.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% |
| Professionals | 7,396 | 2,843 | 4,553 | 1,940 | 2,790 | 500 | 1,119 | 12 | 6 | 222 | 384 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 91 |
|  |  | 38\% | 62\% | 26\% | 38\% | 7\% | 15\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 3.0\% | 5.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.4\% | 2.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% |
| Administrative Support | 3,349 | 580 | 2,769 | 263 | 1,434 | 239 | 1,098 | 1 | 12 | 35 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 |
|  |  | 17\% | 83\% | 8\% | 43\% | 7\% | 33\% | 0.03\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.7\% | 2.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% |
| TechnicalParaprofessionals | 1,740 | 693 | 1,047 | 384 | 537 | 212 | 363 | 2 | 4 | 43 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 31 |
|  |  | 40\% | 60\% | 22\% | 31\% | 12\% | 21\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 4.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.7\% | 2.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.3\% | 1.8\% |
| Skilled Craft Workers | 765 | 719 | 46 | 453 | 18 | 191 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 4 |
|  |  | 94\% | 6\% | 59\% | 2\% | 25\% | 3\% | 0.8\% | 0\% | 3.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.0\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3.7\% | 0.5\% |
| ServiceMaintenance | 1,919 | 889 | 1,030 | 221 | 155 | 578 | 610 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 213 |
|  |  | 46\% | 54\% | 12\% | 8\% | 30\% | 32\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.8\% | 11.1\% |
| TOTAL | 35,803 | 16,836 | 18,967 | 10,269 | 10,897 | 2,641 | 4,432 | 50 | 55 | 2,344 | 1,834 | 0 | 0 | 1,075 | 1,097 | 0 | 0 | 457 | 652 |
|  |  | 47\% | 53\% | 29\% | 30\% | 7\% | 12\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 7\% | 5.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% |

## SECTION TEN

## INDEPENDENT HIGHER

## EDUCATION

INSTITUTIONS

## SECTION 10: INDEPENDENT HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

## BALTIMORE CITY COMMUNITY COLLEGE

- There are 443 employees in the Baltimore City Community College work force.
- 298 or 68\% are African-American employees
- 272 or $61 \%$ are female employees


## MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

- There are 972 employees in the Morgan State University work force.
- 674 or $69 \%$ are African-American employees
- 493 or $51 \%$ are female employees


## St. MARY'S COLLEGE OF MARYLAND

- There are 430 employees in the St. Mary's College of Maryland work force.
- 60 or $14 \%$ are African-American employees
- 227 or $53 \%$ are female employees

BALTIMORE CITY COMMUNITY COLLEGE
TOTAL FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORK FORCE UTILIZATION — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| EEO Job Category | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  | Hispanic or Latino (no other race checked) |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | male | Female |
| Officials and Administrators | 16 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 50\% | 50\% | 6\% | 13\% | 44\% | 38\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Professionals | 173 | 69 | 104 | 22 | 39 | 41 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 40\% | 60\% | 13\% | 23\% | 24\% | 36\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.89\% | 0.58\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.58\% | 0.58\% |
| Technicians | 19 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 74\% | 26\% | 21\% | 0\% | 53\% | 26\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Protective Service <br> Workers: Sworn | 11 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 73\% | 27\% | 18\% | 9\% | 45\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9.09\% | 0\% |
| Protective Service Workers: NonSworn | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Administrative Support | 137 | 43 | 94 | 19 | 24 | 21 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | 31\% | 69\% | 14\% | 18\% | 15\% | 47\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.46\% | 1.46\% |
| Skilled Craft Workers | 76 | 18 | 58 | 1 | 5 | 17 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 24\% | 76\% | 1\% | 7\% | 22\% | 68\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.32\% |
| ServiceMaintenance | 10 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 0\% | 60\% | 0\% | 30\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 443 | 171 | 272 | 55 | 71 | 105 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | 39\% | 61\% | 12\% | 16\% | 24\% | 44\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.58\% | 0.90\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.90\% | 0.90\% |

BALTIMORE CITY COMMUNITY COLLEGE
PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS ANALYSIS - FISCAL YEAR 2009

| Type of Transaction | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EthnicityHispanic orLatino (no otherrace checked) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | AfricanAmerican |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havaiian \& Other Pacific I slander |  | Balance (no race checkec) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Appointments | 27 | 6 | 21 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 22.2\% | 77.8\% | 7\% | 19\% | 11\% | 59\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| Reinstatements | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 17\% | 83\% | 17\% | 17\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Promotions | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 60\% | 40\% | 40\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Reclassifications | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Demotions | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 25\% | 75\% | 0\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Transfers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 43 | 11 | 32 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 26\% | 74\% | 12\% | 16\% | 12\% | 56\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |


| Type of Separations and Terminations | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | AfricanAmerican |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  | Hispanic or Latino (no other race checked) |  |
|  | Employees | Ma/e | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Resignations | 19 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 26\% | 74\% | 11\% | 21\% | 5\% | 47\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Rejection on Probation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Terminations | 10 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 10\% | 20\% | 40\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 29 | 9 | 20 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 31\% | 69\% | 7\% | 17\% | 10\% | 45\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

TOTAL FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORK FORCE UTILIZATION — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| EEO Job Category | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | AfricanAmerican |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { American } \\ \text { Indian \& Alaska } \end{gathered}$Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no racechecked) |  | Multiracial |  | Hispanic or Latino (no other race checked) |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Officials and <br> Administrators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Professionals | 696 | 371 | 325 | 52 | 36 | 228 | 224 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 49 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | 53\% | 47\% | 7\% | 5\% | 33\% | 32\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 1\% | 0.4\% | 0\% | 0.4\% | 11\% | 7\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0\% | 0.3\% |
| Technicians | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Protective Service <br> Workers: Sworn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Protective Service Workers: NonSworn | 31 | 26 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 84\% | 16\% | 13\% | 0\% | 45\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 26\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Administrative Support | 245 | 82 | 163 | 2 | 0 | 68 | 135 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | 33\% | 67\% | 1\% | 0\% | 28\% | 55\% | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| Skilled Craft Workers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| ServiceMaintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 972 | 479 | 493 | 58 | 36 | 310 | 364 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 95 | 72 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 6 |
|  |  | 49\% | 51\% | 6\% | 4\% | 32\% | 37\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0\% | 0.3\% | 10\% | 7\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0\% | 0.6\% |

MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS ANALYSIS - FISCAL YEAR 2009

| Type of Transaction | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havailan \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  | Hispanic or <br> Latino (no other race checked) |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Appointments | 86 | 29 | 57 | 5 | 5 | 21 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 34\% | 66\% | 6\% | 6\% | 24\% | 52\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| Reinstatements | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 43\% | 57\% | 0\% | 14\% | 43\% | 43\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Promotions | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 13\% | 88\% | 0\% | 25\% | 13\% | 50\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Reclassifications | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 0.0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Demotions | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 50\% | 50\% | 0\% | 0\% | 50\% | 50\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Suspensions | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 60\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Transfers | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 113 | 39 | 74 | 6 | 8 | 28 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 35\% | 65\% | 5\% | 7\% | 25\% | 51\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| SUMMARY OF SEPARATIONS AND TERMINATIONS - FISCAL YEAR 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of Separations and Terminations | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EthnicityHispanic orLatino (no other |  |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African-American |  | AmericanIndian \& Alaska |  | Asian |  | Native Havaiian \& Other Pacific |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Balance (no race } \\ \text { checked) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Resignations | 38 | 18 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 47\% | 53\% | 3\% | 0\% | 26\% | 32\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 16\% | 5\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Rejection on Probation | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Terminations | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 67\% | 33\% | 17\% | 0\% | 50\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 45 | 23 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 51\% | 49\% | 4\% | 0\% | 29\% | 31\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 13\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |

ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF MARYLAND
TOTAL FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORK FORCE UTILIZATION — FISCAL YEAR 2009

| EEO Job Category | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic or <br> Latino (no <br> other race <br> checked) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | African- <br> American |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Havaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Officials and Administrators | 49 | 24 | 25 | 21 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 49\% | 51\% | 43\% | 49\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| Professionals | 146 | 76 | 70 | 64 | 63 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | 52\% | 48\% | 44\% | 43\% | 3\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5.5\% | 1.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.4\% |
| Technicians | 66 | 25 | 41 | 21 | 38 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 38\% | 62\% | 32\% | 58\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Protective Service Workers: Sworn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Protective Service Workers: Non-Sworn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Administrative Support | 33 | 2 | 31 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 6\% | 94\% | 6\% | 67\% | 0\% | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% |
| Skilled Craft Workers | 61 | 27 | 34 | 25 | 29 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 44\% | 56\% | 41\% | 48\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Service-Maintenance | 75 | 49 | 26 | 30 | 9 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  | 65\% | 35\% | 40\% | 12\% | 23\% | 23\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 430 | 203 | 227 | 163 | 185 | 27 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | 47\% | 53\% | 38\% | 43\% | 6\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.1\% | 1.16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.93\% | 0.93\% |

ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF MARYLAND
PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS ANALYSIS - FISCAL YEAR 2009

| Type of Transaction | total |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic or <br> Latino (no ther <br> race checkec) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | AfricanAmerican |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { American } \\ & \text { Indian \& } \\ & \text { Alaska Native } \end{aligned}$ |  | Asian |  | Native Hamaiian \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no race checked) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Appointments | 48 | 29 | 19 | 22 | 17 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 60\% | 40\% | 46\% | 35\% | 10\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Reinstatements | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 75\% | 25\% | 75\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Promotions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Reclassifications | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Demotions | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 57 | 35 | 22 | 27 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 61\% | 39\% | 47\% | 35\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

SUMMARY OF SEPARATIONS AND TERMINATIONS - FISCAL YEAR 2009

| Type of Separations and Terminations | TOTAL |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic or <br> Latino (no aher <br> race checked) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | White |  | AfricanAmerican |  | American Indian \& Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Hamailan \& Other Pacific Islander |  | Balance (no racechecked) |  | Multiracial |  |  |  |
|  | Employees | male | Female | male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Resignations | 42 | 18 | 24 | 16 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  | 43\% | 57\% | 38\% | 43\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% |
| Rejection on Probation | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 67\% | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Terminations | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 48 | 20 | 28 | 17 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  | 41.7\% | 58.3\% | 35\% | 40\% | 2\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% |

## APPENDICES

Appendix A

## EXECUTIVE ORDER

01.01.2007.16

Code of Fair Employment Practices
(Rescinds Executive Order 01.01.2007.09)

WHEREAS, The State of Maryland recognizes and honors the value and dignity of every employee and understands the importance of providing its employees with a fair opportunity to pursue their careers in an environment free of discrimination or any form of prohibited harassment;

WHEREAS, Title 5, Subtitle 2 of the State Personnel and Pensions Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland establishes an Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) program to ensure that employment decisions are based only on merit and fitness;

WHEREAS, The State is committed to providing a work environment free from discrimination on the basis of age, ancestry, color, creed, gender identity and expression, genetic information, marital status, mental or physical disability, national origin, religious affiliation, belief or opinion, race, sex, sexual orientation, or any other non-merit factor;

WHEREAS, All Executive Branch appointing authorities and managers are expected to assume personal responsibility and leadership in ensuring that fair employment practices are adhered to and that equal employment opportunity is a reality in Maryland State government; and

WHEREAS, There is a need to update prior Executive Orders to emphasize the State of Maryland's commitment to fair employment practices, to reaffirm the responsibilities of State agencies to uphold these important principles, and to reflect existing law.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, MARTIN O'MALLEY, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND, BY VIRTURE OF THE AUTHORITY VESTED IN ME BY THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS OF MARYLAND, DO HEREBY RESCIND EXECUTIVE ORDER .01.01.2007.09 AND PROCLAIM THE FOLLOWING EXECUTIVE ORDER EFFECTIVELY IMMEDIATELY.

## ARTICLE I - EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM IN STATE GOVERNMENT

A. All personnel actions concerning any employee or applicant for employment in the Executive Branch will be taken on the basis of merit and fitness, and without regard to:

1. Age;
2. Ancestry;
3. Color;
4. Creed;
5. Gender identity and expression;
6. Genetic information;
7. Marital status;
8. Mental or physical disability;
9. National origin;
10. Race;
11. Religious affiliation, belief or opinion;
12. Sex; or;
13. Sexual orientation.
B. All personnel actions concerning any skilled, professional or management service employee and any special appointee designated by the Secretary of Budget and Management, or any applicant for employment in those services or in comparable positions in an independent personnel system in the Executive Branch, shall be without regard to political affiliation, belief or opinion.
C. Discrimination against or harassment of employees on the basis of any reason prohibited by law is not permitted.
D. Retaliation against any employee who opposes discrimination or participates in an EEO investigation is not permitted.
E. Retaliation against an individual because of their refusal to submit to a genetic test or make available the results of a genetic test is not permitted.
F. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall:
(1) Recommend the appointment of a Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator who shall administer the program and coordinate the activities of the agency Fair Practices Officers, EEO Officers, and Americans with Disabilities Act Officers;
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(2) Establish an Equal Employment Opportunity Unit which will report directly to the Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator to oversee the administration of an equal employment practices program consistent with the requirements of applicable federal and State law governing equal employment opportunity, the State Personnel and Pensions Article, and this Executive Order;
(3) Upon an appropriate showing by an agency, and consistent with State and federal law, permit any bona fide occupational qualification; and
(4) Take any action, not consistent with federal or State law, to resolve employee complaints of unfair employment practices.
G. The head of each department or other independent unit in the Executive Branch shall, consistent with guidelines and regulations promulgated by the Secretary of Budget and Management:
(1) Appoint a Fair Practices Officer, and any Equal Employment Opportunity Officers, as required, for the appropriate implementation of the Equal Employment Opportunity Program in the department or unit;
(2) Ensure that the personnel practices in the department or unit are not discriminatory;
(3) Review disciplinary actions initiated against employees, employee grievances and complaints of discrimination to ensure the fair and equitable treatment of employees in their department or unit;
(4) Develop and implement policies that promote equal employment opportunity and work force diversification reflective of the availability of women, minorities, and persons with disabilities in the relevant labor market;
(5) Provide leadership and training to managers, supervisors and other employees in fair employment practices;
(6) Ensure that designated Fair Practices and Equal Employment Opportunity Officers are known to all employees in their respective agencies and that these Officers have appropriate decision-making authority consistent with relevant federal and State law, this Executive Order, and personnel regulations;
(7) Consult and cooperate fully with the Secretary of Budget and Management and the Statewide EEO Coordinator or their designees in investigating and resolving expeditiously complaints of discrimination or unfair employment practices;
(8) Provide statistical and other information requested by the Secretary of Budget and Management regarding efforts to implement the department's or unit's Equal Employment Opportunity Program;
(9) Develop and implement programs, activities and events to acknowledge and educate about diversity and cultural differences; and
(10) Implement any decision of the Secretary of Budget and Management not consistent with the spirit or requirements of this Executive Order and federal or State law.
H. Cabinet officials and other heads of departments or units are expected to lead by example in promoting fair employment practices and this Administration's policy of zero tolerance for employment discrimination.

## ARTICLE II - COMPLAINTS OF DISCRIMINATION AND UNFAIR EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES

A. It is the policy of this Administration that all complaints of discrimination or other unfair employment practices be thoroughly investigated and promptly resolved, as appropriate.
B. The Statewide EEO Coordinator shall monitor the compliance and effectiveness of each agency's EEO program and make recommendations for improvement.
C. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall develop and promulgate rules, regulations, and guidelines for the investigation and resolution of complaints of discrimination or other unfair employment practices which shall include, at a minimum:
(1) Provisions for resolving complaints informally whenever possible;
(2) Provisions for employees to file complaints with the Fair Practices or Equal Employment Opportunity Officer for the department or unit;
(3) Provisions for the review and resolution of any employment grievance, complaint involving discrimination or other unfair employment practices by the Secretary of Budget and Management prior to any appeal to the Office of Administrative Hearings;
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(4) Guidelines for cooperation with the investigation of any complaint of discrimination filed with the Maryland Commission on Human Relations, the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission or any other agency authorized by law to conduct such investigations; and
(5) Training programs for managers and supervisors in identifying and resolving complaints of discrimination or other unfair employment practices.
D. No employee shall be harassed or otherwise retaliated against for filing a complaint of discrimination or other unfair employment practice, providing information in support of any such complaint or testifying, assisting or participating in any phase of an investigation of any unfair employment practice, or on the basis of his or her refusal to submit to a genetic test or make available the results of a genetic test.
E. Discrimination or retaliation complaints by Fair Practices Officers, Equal Employment Opportunity Officers, or EEO Office Directors shall be filed with the head of the unit or their designee and may be appealed to the Statewide EEO Coordinator's Office.

## ARTICLE III - COOPERATION WITH THE MARYLAND COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS AND THE UNITED STATES EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

A. In accordance with the requirements of applicable federal and State law governing equal employment opportunity, the State Personnel and Pensions Article, and this Executive Order, all heads of departments and units in the Executive Branch shall cooperate fully with the Maryland Commission on Human Relations, the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and any other federal or State agency investigating discrimination and duly comply with any validly adopted rules, regulations, and orders for effectuating the State's policies against discrimination and resolving complaints of discrimination.
B. Every attempt shall be made to resolve complaints of discrimination within a department or unit; however, employees who file complaints of discrimination with agency Fair Practices Officers or Equal Employment Opportunity Officers shall be advised of their right to file a complaint with the Maryland Commission on Human Relations and the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
C. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall establish guidelines which provide for agency participation in mediation or arbitration of employee complaints of discriminatory or unfair employment practices.
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D. If an employee of the Maryland Commission on Human Relations files a complaint of discrimination against a State agency, the Governor shall appoint an individual to perform the functions usually performed by the Commission.

## ARTICLE IV- STATE ACTION

A. Any employee of the State who violates the laws of this State pertaining to equal employment opportunity, this Executive Order or guidelines, rules and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal from employment with the State.
B. In performing services to the public, employees of this State will not discriminate against the public or individuals for any reason prohibited by law, nor shall they authorize the use of State facilities in the furtherance of any unlawfully discriminatory purpose or by any organization which unlawfully discriminates in its membership or policies.

## ARTICLE V - ACCOMMODATIONS

A. Reasonable accommodation shall be provided for all qualified applicants for employment and State employees with disabilities, consistent with the requirements of federal and State law.
B. Meetings, hearings, and employment tests shall be conducted in an accessible manner and location, as required by federal and State law.
C. No employee shall be retaliated against for seeking a reasonable accommodation for a disability.
D. Managers and Supervisors shall receive training concerning reasonable accommodations for disabilities.

## ARTICLE VI - ANNUAL REPORTS

A. The head of each principal department or unit in the Executive Branch shall, by October 15 of each year, or as otherwise requested, submit to the Secretary of Budget and Management an annual report of activities taken in the previous fiscal year to effectuate this Code of Fair Employment Practices. The report shall contain the information required by the Secretary of Budget and Management in a form consistent with the guidelines issued by the Secretary of Budget and Management.
B. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall, by January 1 of each year, submit
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to the Governor an annual report on statewide equal employment opportunity practices. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall provide copies of the report to each department and unit, the General Assembly, and the Maryland Commission on Human Relations.

## ARTICLE VII - NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES

A. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall publish the policies of this State with regard to its fair employment practices and make copies of the publication available to all agencies.
B. Consistent with the requirements of federal and State law, the Secretary shall authorize an appropriate notice of State fair employment practices and the manner in which the notice is to be posted.
C. The heads of departments and units shall procure the publication and notice required by this Executive Order, furnish copies of the publication to all managers and supervisors and make it available to employees, and post the notice in the locations and manner required by the Secretary of Budget and Management.

## STATEWIDE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

## GOVERNOR

On the $15^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2007, Governor Martin O'Malley issued a New Code of Fair Employment Practices. Executive Order 01.01.2007.09 and later rescinded this Order and replaced it with Executive Order 01.01.2007.19 on the $22^{\text {nd }}$ day of August 2007. Governor O'Malley values and honors all State of Maryland Employees and believes in equal opportunity in employment for all employees and applicants for employment without regard to race, sex, disability, religion, genetic information, gender identity and expression and national origin and all other prohibited basis. Governor O'Malley is committed to providing a professional work environment that is free from discrimination, harassment and retaliation. As part of this initiative, the Governor believes that we need to guarantee every employee the basic protections that they deserve, and that our state government sets an example for equal employment opportunities throughout Maryland. As part of this reform the Governor has implemented the EEO Agency Cases Tracking System that tracks agency internal complaints. Reports are provided to the Governor's office on a bi-monthly basis.

## THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON FAIR PRACTICES

The Joint Committee on Fair Practices and State Personnel Oversight was established in 2009 to replace the Legislative Joint Committee on Fair Practices which was originally created by the State Personnel Management System Reform Act of 1996. The Joint Committee is comprised of eight members; four members of the State Senate and four members of the House of Delegates. The Joint Committee has oversight over employment policies and personnel systems in the Executive Branch of State Government, equal employment opportunity policies and practices, and certain procurement practices.

## SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

In accordance with Section 5-204 of the State Personnel and Pensions Article, the Secretary oversees the Equal Employment Opportunity Program for Executive Branch agencies including agencies with independent personnel systems. This law specifically requires that the Secretary shall administer the EEO Program in compliance with all State and federal laws governing equal employment opportunity; adopt regulations, policies, and directives to implement the Program; ensure that equal employment opportunity efforts are considered in evaluating the work performance of supervisors, managers, and directors of the Department; evaluate the equal employment efforts in each unit; enforce the provisions of the Governor's Code of Fair Employment Practices, and develop and submit to the Governor an annual report of the State's EEO Program.

## DEPUTY SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

The Deputy Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management is responsible for assisting the Secretary in implementing and overseeing the Equal Employment Opportunity program and ensuring its compliance with all State and federal laws governing equal employment opportunity and the Governor's Fair Employment Practices.

## STATEWIDE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COORDINATOR

In accordance with Section 5-206 of the State Personnel and Pensions Article, the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator administers and enforces the State's EEO Program. The Coordinator performs under the direction and supervision of the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management. In complying with this mandate, the Coordinator is appointed to implement EEO laws, policies and procedures, as well, serve as the State's point of contact regarding EEO related concerns. The Coordinator also reviews and investigates appeals of EEO findings and determinations by executive and independent agencies; investigates appeals of EEO findings and alleged violations of the Governor's Code of Fair Employment Practices and the State Whistleblower statue; works with agency Fair Practices, EEO and ADA Officers to ensure they establish and maintain a diverse, nondiscriminatory and accessible work place that is free of harassment and retaliation; plans and provides EEO-related training and education; and prepares the annual Statewide EEO report.

## SECRETARIES AND HEADS OF STATE AGENCIES

In accordance with Executive Order 01.01.2007.16, each Secretary and Agency Head is responsible for ensuring compliance with the State's EEO Program within his or her respective Executive Branch agency including agencies with independent personnel systems; appointing a Fair Practices Officer and any Equal Employment Opportunity Officer; ensuring that the personnel practices in the department or unit are not discriminatory; reviewing disciplinary actions initiated against employees to ensure fair and equitable treatment; developing and implementing policies that promote equal employment opportunity and work force diversification and providing leadership and training to managers, supervisors and other employees in fair employment practices.

## FAIR PRACTICES OFFICER

In accordance with State law, the Fair Practices Officer shall implement the EEO Program within the unit; investigate and, as appropriate, resolve complaints of alleged discrimination or unfair employment practices; and coordinate the activities of the equal employment opportunity offices in the unit. The Fair Practices Officer must report to the head of the agency and be an assistant secretary or an employee of the unit with stature similar to that of an assistant secretary.
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## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY OFFICER

State law mandates that the Equal Employment Opportunity Officers enforce the State's EEO Program and monitor compliance with State and federal EEO laws; investigate and resolve all internal agency employee discrimination and unfair employment complaints; monitor personnel actions adopted by the unit and ensure implementation is in compliance with all State and federal EEO laws; respond to plans and direct various programs including, equal employment opportunity, contract compliance, training and community relations; respond to complaints and requests for information from external adjudications and enforcement agencies; assist in developing EEO goals; and monitor personnel actions.

## AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) OFFICERS

The ADA Officers are responsible for advising employees and managers on issues relating to Title 1 of the Americans with Disabilities Act. This includes, but is not limited to, issues related to making determinations regarding an employee's eligibility under the ADA, recommending and implementing reasonable accommodations, and ensuring an accessible work place. The ADA Officer is also responsible for training all staff on ADA related laws and policies; ensuring agency compliance with ADA violations to shield the employer from liability; and maintaining knowledge of resources.

FY 2009 ANNUAL STATEWIDE EEO REPORT
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## FAIR PRACTICES, EEO, AND ADA OFFICERS

| AGENCY | ACRONYM | FAIR PRACTICES | EEO | ADA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative Hearings, Office of | OAH | Richard Norman | Grant Chism | Grant Chism |
| African American Museum | MAAMC | Junius Randolph | Junius Randolph | Lisa Woods |
| Aging, Maryland Department of | MDOA | Ilene Rosenthal | Shirley Williams | Judy Quamina |
| Agriculture, Department of | MDA | Douglas Wilson | Momoh Conteh | Momoh Conteh |
| Archives, Maryland State | MSA | Richard Richardson | Christopher Haley | Cecilia Smith |
| Assessments and Taxation, Department of | DAT | Annie Cure | Sheila McKenney | Sheila McKenney |
| Attorney General's Office | OAG | Charlotte Hughes | Katherine Winfree John How ard Jr. | Vacant |
| Automobile Insurance Fund, Maryland | MAIF | John F. Banghart | Charisse Walker | Nancy Dodd |
| Baltimore City Community College | BCCC | Tony Warner | Tony Warner Deidre Hill | Delia Weems |
| Bow ie State University | BSU | Glen Issac | Glen Issac | Vacant |
| Budget and Management Department | DBM | David Romans |  |  |
| Business and Economic Development, Department of | DBED | Everett J. Ross | Everett J. Ross | Cheryl Fields |
| Canal Place | Canal | Renee Bone | Renee Bone | Renee Bone |
| College Savings Plans of Maryland | CSPM | Azriel Osofsky | Azriel Osofsky | Azriel Osofsky |
| Comptroller of Maryland | COMP | Steven Barzal | Steven Barzal | Steven Barzal |
| Contract Appeal, Maryland Board of | SBCA | Loni How e Michael Carnahan Jr. | Loni How e Michael Carnahan Jr. | Loni How e |
| Coppin University | CSU | Tammy Flow ers | Tammy Flow ers | Darlene Webb Maqbool Patel |
| Deaf, Maryland Schools for the | MSD | Anny Currin | Cyndi Fries | Anny Currin |
| Education, Maryland State Department | MSDE | John E. Smeallie | Woodrow Grant, Jr. | Woodrow Grant Jr. |
| Elections State Board of | SBEL | Jacqueline Bryley | Jared DeMarinis | Roger Stitt |
| Emergency Medical Services Systems, Maryland Institute for | MIEMSS | Patricia A. Gainer | James W. Brow n Sadie Hannah | Robert Dubansky |
| Environment, Maryland Department of | MDE | Robert Alexander | Tyrone Hill Robert Alexander | Tyrone Hill |
| Environmental Services, Maryland | MES | Beth S. Wojton | Donna Oliff | Sandra Westervelt |
| Executive Department State of Maryland | EXEC | Robert Platky | Robert Platky | Vacant |
| Food Center Authority, Maryland | MFCA | Betty Allison | Rose Harrell | Betty Allison |
| Frostburg State University | FSU | Beth W. Hoffman | Beth W. Hoffman | Beth W. Hoffman |
| General Services Department of | DGS | Alvin C. Collins | Paul Ford | Janet Cora |
| Health and Mental Hygiene, Department of | DHMH | Hilda J. Davis | Lee Williamson | Felisa A. McCall-Smith |
| Health Care Alternative Dispute Resolution | HCAD | Robert Platky | Robert Platky | Vacant |
| Higher Education Commission, Maryland | MHEC | G. Edw ard Ommert | Takeia Bradley | Esther Danner |
| Housing and Community Development Department of | DHCD | Brien C. Boone | Brien C. Boone | Brien C. Boone |
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| Human Relations, Maryland Commission | MCHR | Benny F. Short | Isora Cook | Isora Cook |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human Resources Department of | DHR | Carl W. Bailey, Jr. | Gerald Stansbury | Gerald Stansbury |
| Information Technology, Department of | DOIT | Stacia Cropper |  |  |
| Insurance Administration, Maryland | MIA | Karen Stakem-Hornig | Susan Yates | Susan Yates |
| Judiciary of Maryland | JUD | Jeraldine Kavanaugh | Jeraldine Kavanaugh | Jeraldine Kavanaugh |
| Juvenile Services, Department of | DJS | Celest S. Womack | Celest S. Womack | Celest S. Womack |
| Labor, Licensing and Regulation, Department of | DLLR | Jennifer Reed | Jennifer Reed | Jennifer Reed |
| Lottery Agency, Maryland State | MSLA | Gina Smith | Michael Faulkner | Carl Beard |
| Military Department | DMIL | Peter Hinz | William H. Allen | William Allen |
| Morgan State University | MSU | Jodi A. Cavanuagh | Jodi A. Cavanaugh | Jodi A. Cavanaugh |
| Natural Resources, Department of | DNR | Monica Johnson | Richard W. Allen | Richard W. Allen |
| Peoples Counsel, Office of the | PCPS | Seva Diakoparaskevas Terri Czarski | Seva Diakoparaskevas Terri Czarski | Seva Diakoparaskevas |
| Planning, Department of | MDP | Nasrin Rahman | Nasrin Rahman | Nasrin Rahman |
| Police, Maryland State | DMSP | Capt. Christopher Finn | Capt. Christopher Finn Corporal Sonya Clark | Michelle Miller |
| Property Tax Assessment Appeals Board | PTAAB | Kent Finkelsen Julie Greene | Julie Greene | Kent Finkelsen |
| Prosecutor, Office of the State | SPO | Genie Gunthrop | Genie Gunthrop | Genie Gunthrop |
| Public Broadcasting Commission, Maryland | MPT | Larry D. Unger | Gladys Kaplan | Gladys Kaplan |
| Public Defender, Office of the | PDS | Elizabeth Julian | Lynn C. Bellamy | Lynn C. Bellamy |
| Public Safety and Correctional Services, Department of | DPSCS | Michelle Romney | Paula Green-Holt George Stamas Gilda Felicia Jones Genice Fow ler | Gene Noble |
| Public School Construction Program | PSCP | Robert C. Crocetti | Robert C. Crocetti | Robert Crocetti |
| Public Service Commission | PSC | Terry Romine | Matthew Papathakis | Donald Eveleth |
| Public Works, Board of | BPW | Doldon W. Moore, Jr. | Doldon W. Moore, Jr. | Doldon W. Moore, Jr. |
| Retirement \& Pensions Systems, Maryland State Retirement Agency | MSRA | Vacant | Patrice Wells-Sow ah | Vacant |
| St. Mary's College of Maryland | SMCM | Sally A. Davis | Melvin A. McClintock | Vacant |
| Salisbury University | SU | Amy Hasson | Jeff Boyer | Jeff Boyer |
| Sheriff's Office, Baltimore City | BCS | Karen Shelton | Karen Shelton Eness Brown | Karen Shelton |
| Stadium Authority Maryland | STAD | M. Carol Salmon David Raith | Bernadette A. Burghardt | Gary McGuigan |
| Subsequent Injury Fund | SIF | Edgar G. Dodd | Edgar G. Dodd | Edgar G. Dodd |
| Supplemental Retirement Plans, Maryland <br> Teachers and State Employees | MSRP | Anna Marie Smith | Anna Marie Smith | Anna Marie Smith |
| Tax Court, Maryland | MTC | Robert L. Zouck | John T. Hearn | Robert L. Zouck |
| Tow son University | TU | Debbie Seeberger | Daniel Leonard | Daniel Leonard |
| Treasurer's Office, Maryland State | TREA | Bernadette Benik | Shelly Reid | Vacant |

## Appendix C

| Transportation, Maryland Department of | MDOT | Jan Bryant | Joanne Ashton | Jan Bryant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maryland Aviation Administration | MAA | Angela Martin | Theolinda Ow ens | Richard Keen |
| Maryland Port Administration | MPA | Y amillette Collett | Wanda Forman | Y amillette Collett |
| Maryland Transportation Authority | MdTA | Alice Brooks | Louis W. Jones | Louis W. Jones |
| Maryland Transit Administration | MTA | Paula Cullings | Herschel D. Milliken | Joyce Callahan |
| Motor Vehicle Administration | MVA | Minnie I. Carter | Pierre Williams | Minnie I. Carter |
| State Highw ay Administration | SHA | Jennifer Jenkins | Karen Shipley | Sharon Ramsey |
| Uninsured Employer's Fund | UEF | James E. Brew er | James E. Brew er | James E. Brew er |
| University Systems of Maryland | USM | James Sansbury | Martha Warren James Sanbury | Martha Warren |
| University of Maryland | UB | William Nelson | Rebecca Spence | Jackie Truelove Rebecca Spence |
| University of Maryland Baltimore | UMB | Eaine Gill | Sheila Greenw ood | Lani Barovick |
| University of Maryland Baltimore County | UMBC | Adrienne Mercer | Adrienne Mercer | Adrienne Mercer |
| University of Maryland College Park | UMCP | Roberta Coates | Vacant | Vacant |
| University of Maryland Eastern Shore | UMES | Marie H. Billie | Marie H. Billie | Marie H. Billie |
| University of Maryland for Environmental Science | UMCES | Dennis Mesko | Dennis Mesko | Dennis Mesko |
| University of Maryland University College | UMUC | Đizabeth Nuss | Vacant | Vacant |
| Veteran Affairs, Maryland Department | MDVA | John Kearns | John Kearns | Rose Bean |
| Worker's Compensation Commission | WCC | Lisa Erlandson Turpin | Lisa Erlandson Turpin | Lisa Erlandson Turpin |

## GLOSSARY

## GLOSSARY

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION: Those actions appropriate to overcome the effects of past and present practices, policies or other barriers to equal employment opportunity.

APPOINTMENT: Filing a position with a qualified candidate and does not include a reassignment.

CAREER REINVESTMENT PROGRAM: The program created by the Office of Personnel Services and Benefits to facilitate the movement of laid-off or separated employees and employees with disabilities into other skill areas.

CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE: The number of persons 16 years of age and over, (except those in the armed forces), who are employed or who are unemployed and seeking employment in the geographic area from which an employer would recruit. (United States Department of Labor.)

COORDINATOR: The Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article, §5-201)

DEMOTION: A change from one class to another class with a lower maximum rate of compensation.

DESIGNATED POLITICAL SPECIAL APPOINTMENT: Those job functions that satisfy the political appointment criteria as stated in the law. These include:

1. Administrative support work for an Executive Service official or agency head;
2. Special Assistant to an Executive Service official or agency head;
3. Legislative Liaison or Director of Government Affairs;
4. Public Information Officer or Director of Communications;
5. Chief of Staff or Primary Executive Administrator for an agency;
6. Certain positions in the Office of the Attorney General, as provided in § 6105 of the State Government Article.

DISABILITY: With respect to an individual -

1. a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of such individual;
2. a record of such an impairment; or
3. being regarded as having such impairment. (Americans with Disabilities Act, Title I, vol. 42, United States Code §12102, et seq. 1990)

## EXECUTIVE SERVICE:

(a) Executive Branch - Except as otherwise provided by law, the following positions in the Executive Branch of State government are in the executive service:
(1) the chief administrator of a principal unit or a comparable position that is not excluded from the State Personnel Management System under §6-301 of this title as a constitutional or elected office; and
(2) a deputy secretary or assistant secretary of a principal unit or a position that the Secretary determines has similar stature.
(b) Other positions. - The executive service includes any other position that is determined by the Secretary to be in the executive service. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §6-404)

INDEPENDENT: Independent Authority (used to designate positions which in agencies, other than MDOT, with personnel systems which are independent of the SPMS - e.g. Judiciary, Maryland Stadium Authority, and others).

INFORMAL COMPLAINT: A complaint received at the originating agency and/or appealed to the Office of Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator.

FORMAL COMPLAINT: A complaint filed with the Maryland Commission on Human Relations, Department of Justice, Courts, and/or Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

## MANAGEMENT SERVICE:

(a) Executive Branch - Except as otherwise provided by law, a position in the Executive Branch of State government is in the management service if the position:
(1) primarily involves direct responsibility for the oversight and management of personnel and financial resources;
(2) requires the exercise of discretion and independent judgment; and
(3) is not in the executive service.
(b) Other positions. - The management service includes any other position that is determined by the Secretary to be in the management service. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §6-403)

MULTIRACIAL: Two or more races.

NEW HIRES: An employee who was hired for the first time or rehired after a break in service for permanent full-time employment.

PERMANENT PART-TIME EMPLOYEE: An employee who works an average of 50\% or more but less than 100\% of the regular workweek. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §7-701)

PRINCIPAL UNIT: A principal department or other principal independent unit of State government.

## PROFESSIONAL SERVICE:

(a) Executive Branch - Except as otherwise provided by law, a position in the Executive Branch of State government is in the professional service if the position:
(1) requires knowledge of an advanced type in a field of science or learning customarily acquired by a course of specialized intellectual instruction and study; and
(2) normally requires a professional license, advanced degree, or both
(b) Other positions. - The professional service includes any other position that is determined by the Secretary to be in the professional service. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §6-402)

PROGRAM: Equal Employment Opportunity Program established under the Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article, Title 5.

PROMOTION: A change from one class to another class with a higher maximum rate of compensation.

PROMOTIONAL CANDIDATE: An employee in a non-temporary position in the skilled or professional services who is not a special appointment.

PROTECTED GROUP: A group that is specifically protected by Maryland law from discrimination.

SECRETARY: means, except as otherwise provided by law, the Secretary of Budget and Management or the Secretary's designee.

## SKILLED SERVICE:

(a) Executive Branch - Except as provided in this title or otherwise provided by law, all positions in the Executive Branch of State government that are included in the State Personnel Management System are in the skilled service.
(b) Judicial Branch - Except as otherwise provided by law, the following positions in the Judicial Branch of State government are in the skilled service.
(1) clerical and administrative positions in the District Court of Maryland; and
(2) full-time constables in the District Court of Maryland.
(c) Other positions. - The skilled service includes any other position that is specified by law to be in the service. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §6-401)

SPECIAL APPOINTEES: Except as otherwise provided by law, individuals in the following positions in the skilled service, professional service, management service, or executive service are considered special appointments:
(1) a position to which an individual is directly appointed by the Governor by an appointment that is not provided by the Maryland Constitution;
(2) a position to which an individual is directly appointed by the Board of Public Works;
(3) as determined by the Secretary, a position which performs a significant policy role or provides direct support to a member of the executive service;
(4) a position that is assigned to the Government House;
(5) a position that is assigned to the Governor's Office; and
(6) any other position that is specified by law to be a special appointment. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §6-405)

UNDER-UTILIZATION: Having a lower number of protected group employees in the overall work force and within categories than would reasonably be expected by their availability in the relevant Civilian Labor Force.

UNIFORM POLICE: Used exclusively for uniformed police positions at the Maryland State Police - Not used for other police positions.

UTILIZATION ANALYSIS: A statistical comparison of an agency's work force in various job categories with the relevant Civilian Labor Force.

## RACIALIETHNIC CATEGORIES

WHITE (not of Hispanic origin): A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, North Africa.

AFRICAN-AMERICAN (not of Hispanic origin): A person having origin in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKAN NATIVE: All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of North or South America, including Central America, and who maintain cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.

ASIAN: A person having origin in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam.

HISPANIC OR LATINO: A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR PACIFIC ISLANDER: All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of the Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

MULTIRACIAL: Two or more races.

## DESCRIPTION OF JOB CATEGORIES

Officials and Administrators: Occupations in which employees set broad policies, exercise overall responsibility for execution of these policies or direct individual departments or special phases of the agency's operations, or provide specialized consultation on a regional, district or area basis. Includes: department heads, bureau chiefs, division and fire chief and inspectors, examiners (bank, hearing, motor vehicle, warehouse), inspectors (construction, building, safety, rent-and-housing, fire, A.B.C. Board, license, dairy, livestock, transportation), assessors, tax appraisers and investigators, coroners, farm managers, and kindred workers.

Professionals: Occupations which require specialized and theoretical knowledge which is usually acquired through college training or through work experience and other training which provides comparable knowledge. Includes: personnel and labor relations workers, social workers, doctors, psychologists, registered nurses, economists, dieticians, lawyers, system analysts, accountants, engineers, employment and vocational rehabilitation counselors, teachers or instructors, police and fire captains and lieutenants, librarians, management analysts, airplane pilots and navigators, surveyors and mapping scientists, and kindred workers.

Protective Service Workers: Occupations in which workers are entrusted with public safety, security and protection from destructive forces. Includes: police patrol officers, fire fighters, guards, deputy sheriffs, bailiffs, correctional officers, detectives, marshals, harbor patrol officers, game and fish wardens, park rangers (except maintenance), and kindred workers.

Administrative Support : Occupations in which workers are responsible for internal and external communication, recording and retrieval of data and/or information and other paperwork required in an office. Includes: bookkeepers, messengers, clerk-typists, stenographers, court transcribers, hearing reporters, statistical clerks, dispatchers, license distributors, payroll clerks, office machine and computer operators, telephone operators, legal assistants, sales workers, cashiers, toll collectors, and kindred workers.

Service-Maintenance: Occupations in which workers perform duties which result in or contribute to the comfort, convenience, hygiene or safety of the general public or which contribute to the upkeep and care of buildings, facilities or grounds of public property. Workers in this group may operate machinery. Includes: chauffeurs, laundry and dry cleaning operatives, truck drivers, bus drivers, garage laborers, custodial employees, gardeners and grounds keepers, refuse collectors, construction laborers, park rangers, (maintenance), farm workers (except managers), craft apprentices/trainees/helpers, and kindred workers.

Skilled Craft Workers: Occupations in which workers perform jobs which require special manual skill and a thorough and comprehensive knowledge of the processes involved in the work, which is acquired through on-the-job training and experience or through apprenticeship or other formal training programs. Includes: mechanics and repairers, electricians, heavy equipment operators, stationary engineers, skilled machining occupations, carpenters, compositors and typesetters, power plant operators, water and sewage treatment plant operators, kindred workers.

Technicians: Occupations which require a combination of basic scientific or technical knowledge and manual skill which can be obtained through specialized postsecondary school education or through equivalent on-the-job training. Includes: computer programmers, drafters, survey and mapping technicians, licensed practical nurses, photographers, radio operators, technical illustrators, highway technicians, technicians (medical, dental, electronic, physical sciences), police and fire sergeants, inspectors (production or processing inspectors, testers and weighers), and kindred workers.

